

paragraphs (a)(1) and (a)(2) of this AD per a method approved by the Manager, Los Angeles Certification Office (ACO), FAA.

(1) Do an inspection (between 39 and 63 inches outboard of the airplane center line on both the left and right sides of the wings) to find cracks in the lower rear cap of the wing front spar, front spar web, and lower skin of the wings localized under the front spar lower cap. Special detailed inspection procedures must be sufficiently reliable to determine the location, size, and orientation of the cracks.

(2) Develop repetitive inspection intervals that prevent crack growth from exceeding the minimum residual strength required to support limit load on the affected structure. The repetitive inspection intervals must be approved by the Manager, Los Angeles ACO. Thereafter, do the inspection approved per paragraph (a)(1) of this AD at the intervals approved per this paragraph.

#### Initial and Repetitive Inspections for Model C-87A Airplanes

(b) For all Model C-87A airplanes: Within 30 days after the effective date of this AD, do the actions specified in paragraphs (b)(1) and (b)(2) of this AD per a method approved by the Manager, Los Angeles ACO.

(1) Do an inspection (between 39 and 63 inches outboard of the airplane center line on both the left and right sides of the wings) to find cracks in the lower rear cap of the wing front spar, front spar web, and lower skin of the wings localized under the front spar lower cap. Special detailed inspection procedures must be sufficiently reliable to determine the location, size, and orientation of the cracks.

(2) Develop repetitive inspection intervals that prevent crack growth from exceeding the minimum residual strength required to support limit load on the affected structure. The repetitive inspection intervals must be approved by the Manager, Los Angeles ACO. Thereafter, do the inspection approved per paragraph (b)(1) of this AD at the intervals approved per this paragraph.

#### If Any Cracking Is Found

(c) If any crack is found during any inspection required by this AD, before further flight, do the action(s) specified in paragraphs (c)(1) and (c)(2) of this AD per a method approved by the Manager, Los Angeles ACO.

(1) Repair or replace the cracked part or structure.

(2) Repeat the inspection required by paragraph (a)(1) of this AD at reduced intervals approved by the Manager, Los Angeles ACO, to find cracks before the growth is critical and exceeds the minimum residual strength required to support limit load on the affected structure.

#### Alternative Methods of Compliance

(d) In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, the Manager, Los Angeles ACO, FAA, is authorized to approve alternative methods of compliance for this AD.

#### Effective Date

(e) This amendment becomes effective on September 18, 2003.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on August 19, 2003.

**Ali Bahrami,**

*Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*  
[FR Doc. 03-22382 Filed 9-2-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Food and Drug Administration

#### 21 CFR Part 573

[Docket No. 1998F-0196]

### Food Additives Permitted in Feed and Drinking Water of Animals; Selenium Yeast

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is amending the regulations for food additives permitted in feed to provide for the safe use of selenium yeast as a source of selenium in animal feeds for beef and dairy cattle and to provide a description of the food additive. This action is in response to a food additive petition filed by Alltech Biotechnology Center.

**DATES:** This rule is effective September 3, 2003. Submit written objections and request for hearing by November 3, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit written objections and requests for a hearing to the Division of Dockets Management (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. Submit electronic objections to <http://www.fda.gov/dockets/ecomments>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sharon Benz, Center for Veterinary Medicine (HFV 228), Food and Drug Administration, 7500 Standish Pl., Rockville, MD 20855, 301-827-6656.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Background

In a notice published in the **Federal Register** of May 12, 1998 (63 FR 26193), FDA announced that a food additive petition (animal use) (FAP 2238) had been filed by Alltech Biotechnology Center, 3031 Catnip Hill Pike, Nicholasville, KY 40356. The petition proposed to amend the food additive regulations in § 573.920 *Selenium* (21 CFR 573.920) to provide for the safe use of selenium yeast as a source of selenium in animal feeds for poultry, swine, and cattle. Based on the

information in the petition, the selenium food additive regulation was amended to include the use of selenium yeast in feed for chickens on June 6, 2000 (65 FR 35823). FDA sought additional data from the sponsor before approving use in other species. After this data was submitted for turkeys and swine, the selenium food additive regulation was amended to extend its use in turkeys and swine on July 17, 2002 (67 FR 46850). Additional data submitted by the sponsor and further amendments to the petition provide information to extend its use to beef and dairy cattle. The notice of filing provided for a 60-day comment period on the petitioner's environmental assessment. No substantive comments have been received.

In the regulation in § 571.1(c) (21 CFR 571.1(c)), paragraph E of the form for petitions requires full reports of investigations of the safety of a food additive. The Center for Veterinary Medicine (CVM) evaluated information in the petition and in the scientific literature and has determined that there is an acceptable daily intake of 0.4 milligram (mg) per person per day for selenium in the human diet. It has further determined that when supplemental selenium is incorporated at the maximal allowable levels of 0.3 part per million (ppm) of complete feeds, selenium levels in edible animal products are at or below the upper limit of the normal range of selenium in untreated animals. These upper limits are as follows: Swine, 0.8 ppm in muscle and 1.1 ppm in liver, and dairy cattle (milk) 0.14 ppm. Further, CVM considers the normal range for selenium in beef (liver) is 0.1 to 1.2 ppm; turkeys, 0.6 ppm in muscle and 1.4 ppm in liver; for chicken (liver) 0.1 to 0.9 ppm and for eggs 0.1 to 0.5 ppm.

#### II. Conclusion

FDA concludes that the data establish the safety and utility of selenium yeast, for use as proposed and that the food additive regulations should be amended as set forth in this document.

#### III. Public Disclosure

In accordance with § 571.1(h), the petition and the documents that FDA considered and relied upon in reaching its decision to approve the petition are available for inspection at the Center for Veterinary Medicine by appointment with the information contact person. As provided in § 571.1(h), the agency will delete from the documents any materials that are not available for public disclosure before making the documents available for inspection.

#### IV. Environmental Impact

The agency has determined under 21 CFR 25.32(r) that this action is of a type that does not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. Therefore, neither an environmental assessment nor an environmental impact statement is required.

#### V. Objections and Hearing Requests

Any person who will be adversely affected by this regulation may file with the Division of Dockets Management (see **ADDRESSES**) written objections by (see **DATES**). Each objection must be separately numbered, and each numbered objection must specify with particularity the provisions of the regulation to which objection is made and the grounds for the objection. Each numbered objection on which a hearing is requested must state that a hearing is requested. Failure to request a hearing for any particular objection will constitute a waiver of the right to a hearing on that objection. Each numbered objection for which a hearing is requested must include a detailed description and analysis of the specific factual information intended to be presented in support of the objection in the event that a hearing is held. Failure to include such a description and analysis for any particular objection will constitute a waiver of the right to a hearing on the objection. Three copies of all documents must be submitted and must be identified with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document. Any objections received in response to the regulation may be seen in the Division of Dockets Management between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

#### List of Subjects in 21 CFR Part 573

Animal feeds, food additives.

■ Therefore, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs and redelegated to the Center for Veterinary Medicine, 21 CFR part 573 is amended as follows:

#### PART 573—FOOD ADDITIVES PERMITTED IN FEED AND DRINKING WATER OF ANIMALS

■ 1. The authority citation for 21 CFR part 573 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 21 U.S.C. 321, 342, 348.

■ 2. Section 573.920 is amended by revising paragraph (h) to read as follows:

#### § 573.920 Selenium.

\* \* \* \* \*

(h) The additive selenium yeast is added to complete feed for chickens,

turkeys, swine, beef cattle and dairy cattle at a level not to exceed 0.3 part per million.

(1) Selenium yeast is a dried, nonviable yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) cultivated in a fed-batch fermentation which provides incremental amounts of cane molasses and selenium salts in a manner which minimizes the detrimental effects of selenium salts on the growth rate of the yeast and allows for optimal incorporation of inorganic selenium into cellular organic material. Residual inorganic selenium is eliminated in a rigorous washing process and must not exceed 2 percent of the total selenium content in the final selenium yeast product.

(2) Guaranteed organic selenium content from selenium yeast must be declared on the selenium yeast product label.

(3) Usage of this additive must conform to the requirements of paragraphs (d)(1), (e), and (f) of this section.

Dated: August 19, 2003.

**Stephen F. Sundlof,**

*Director, Center for Veterinary Medicine.*

[FR Doc. 03-22358 Filed 9-2-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4160-01-S**

#### DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

#### Coast Guard

#### 33 CFR Part 165

[COTP Tampa 02-053]

RIN 1625-AA00

**Security Zones; Tampa Bay, Port of Tampa, Port of Saint Petersburg, Port Manatee, Rattlesnake, Old Port Tampa, and Crystal River, Florida**

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is establishing security zones in Tampa Bay, Port of Tampa, Port of Saint Petersburg, Port Manatee, Rattlesnake, Old Port Tampa, and Crystal River, Florida. These zones are needed to ensure public safety and security in the greater Tampa Bay area. Entry into these zones is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port, or their designated representative.

**DATES:** This final rule is effective on September 3, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents mentioned in this preamble

as being available in the docket, are part of docket [COTP Tampa 02-053] and are available for inspection or copying at Marine Safety Office Tampa, 155 Columbia Drive, Tampa, Florida 33606-3598 between 7:30 a.m. and 3 p.m. Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** LT Heath Hartley, Coast Guard Marine Safety Office Tampa, at (813) 228-2189 extension 123.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Regulatory History

On February 12, 2003, we published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) entitled "Security Zones; Tampa, Saint Petersburg, Port Manatee, Rattlesnake, Old Port Tampa and Crystal River, Florida" in the **Federal Register** (68 FR 7093). We did not receive any letters commenting on the proposed rule. No public hearing was requested, and none was held. Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**. Delaying the effective date of this rule would be contrary to the public interest since immediate action is needed to continue to protect the public and the ports and waterways of the United States. The Coast Guard will issue a broadcast notice to mariners and place Coast Guard vessels in the vicinity of these zones from time to time to advise mariners of these restrictions.

#### Background and Purpose

The terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, killed thousands of people and heightened the need for development of various security measures throughout the seaports of the United States, particularly those vessels and facilities which are frequented by foreign nationals and are of interest to national security. Following these attacks by well-trained and clandestine terrorists, national security and intelligence officials have warned that future terrorists attacks are likely. The Captain of the Port of Tampa has determined that these security zones are necessary to protect the public, ports, and waterways of the United States from potential subversive acts.

These security zones are similar to temporary security zones established for vessels, waterfront facilities and bridges that were previously published in the **Federal Register** (68 FR 14328, March 25, 2003).

#### Discussion of Comments and Changes

No comments were received. Therefore no substantive changes have