

subject merchandise to the United States.<sup>3</sup>

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(i)(I) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(i), BASACO certified that it did not export subject merchandise to the United States during the period of investigation (“POI”).<sup>4</sup> In addition, pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(i)(II) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iii)(A), BASACO certified that, since the initiation of the investigation, it has never been affiliated with any Vietnamese exporter or producer who exported subject merchandise to the United States during the POI, including those respondents not individually examined during the investigation.<sup>5</sup> As required by 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iii)(B), BASACO also certified that its export activities were not controlled by the central government of Vietnam.<sup>6</sup>

In addition to the certifications described above, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iv), BASACO submitted documentation establishing the following: (1) The date on which it first shipped subject merchandise for export to the United States; (2) the volume of its first shipment; and (3) the date of its first sale to an unaffiliated customer in the United States.<sup>7</sup>

Finally, the Department conducted a U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) database query and confirmed the price, quantity, date of sale, and date of entry of the sale at issue.<sup>8</sup>

#### Initiation of New Shipper Review

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(d)(1), and based on the evidence provided by BASACO, we find that the request submitted by BASACO meets the requirements for initiation of the NSR for shipments of fish fillets from Vietnam produced and exported by BASACO.<sup>9</sup> The POR is August 1, 2013, through July 31, 2014.<sup>10</sup> Absent a determination that the case is extraordinarily complicated, the Department intends to issue the preliminary results of this NSR within

180 days from the date of initiation and the final results within 270 days from the date of initiation.<sup>11</sup>

It is the Department’s usual practice, in cases involving non-market economy countries, to require that a company seeking to establish eligibility for an AD rate separate from the country-wide rate provide evidence of *de jure* and *de facto* absence of government control over the company’s export activities. Accordingly, we will issue a questionnaire to BASACO that will include a section requesting information with regard to BASACO’s export activities for separate rate purposes. The review of BASACO will proceed if the response provides sufficient indication that it is not subject to either *de jure* or *de facto* government control with respect to its exports of fish fillets.

We will instruct CBP to allow, at the option of the importer, the posting, until the completion of the review, of a bond or security in lieu of a cash deposit for each entry of the subject merchandise from the requesting company in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iii) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(e). Because BASACO certified that it both produced and exported the subject merchandise, the sale of which is the basis for the NSR request, we will instruct CBP to permit the use of a bond only for subject merchandise which BASACO both produced and exported.

Interested parties requiring access to proprietary information in this NSR should submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective order, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 and 19 CFR 351.306.

This initiation and notice are published in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act, 19 CFR 351.214 and 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: September 24, 2014.

**Gary Taverman,**

*Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2014–23562 Filed 10–1–14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–570–977]

#### High Pressure Steel Cylinders From the People’s Republic of China: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013–2014

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (“the Department”) is rescinding the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on high pressure steel cylinders from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”) for the period June 1, 2013, through May 31, 2014.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* October 2, 2014.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Alexander Montoro, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0238.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On July 31, 2014, based on a timely request for review by Norris Cylinder Company (“Norris”) and Beijing Tianhai Industry Co., Ltd. (“BTIC”),<sup>1</sup> the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on high pressure steel cylinders from the PRC covering the period June 1, 2013, through May 31, 2014.<sup>2</sup> The review covers only BTIC.<sup>3</sup> On September 9, 2014, Norris and BTIC withdrew their requests for an administrative review.<sup>4</sup> No other party requested a review of

<sup>1</sup> See Request for Second Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on High Pressure Steel Cylinders from the People’s Republic of China filed by BTIC on June 30, 2014, and see High Pressure Steel Cylinders from the People’s Republic of China Revised Request for Administrative Review and Entry of Appearance filed by Norris on June 30, 2014.

<sup>2</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 79 FR 44390 (July 31, 2014) (“*Initiation Notice*”).

<sup>3</sup> *Id.*, 79 FR 44392.

<sup>4</sup> See Withdrawal of Request for an Administrative Review of Antidumping Duty Order on High Pressure Steel Cylinders from the People’s Republic of China filed by Norris on September 9, 2014, and see Withdrawal of Review Request in the Administrative Review of Antidumping Duty Order on High Pressure Steel Cylinders from the People’s Republic of China filed by BTIC on September 9, 2014.

<sup>3</sup> *Id.* at 2 and at Exhibit 1.

<sup>4</sup> *Id.*

<sup>5</sup> *Id.*

<sup>6</sup> *Id.*

<sup>7</sup> *Id.* at Exhibit 2–4.

<sup>8</sup> The Department will place the results of the completed CBP database query along with BASACO’s entry documents on the record shortly after the publication of this notice.

<sup>9</sup> See Memorandum to the File from Alexander Montoro, International Trade Compliance Analyst, “Initiation of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review: Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam (A–552–801)” dated concurrently with and hereby adopted by this notice.

<sup>10</sup> See 19 CFR 351.214(g)(1)(i)(B).

<sup>11</sup> See section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act.

this company or any other exporter of subject merchandise.

### Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the party that requested the review withdraws its request within 90 days of the publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. In this case, Norris and BTIC timely withdrew their requests by the 90-day deadline, and no other party requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order. As a result, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), we are rescinding the administrative review of high pressure steel cylinders from the PRC for the period June 1, 2013, through May 31, 2014, in its entirety.

### Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protect (“CBP”) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Because the Department is rescinding this administrative review in its entirety, the entries subject to this administrative review shall be assessed antidumping duties at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, if appropriate.

### Notifications

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of the antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby

requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: September 24, 2014.

**Christian Marsh,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2014–23558 Filed 10–1–14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Patent and Trademark Office

[Docket No. PTO–P–2014–0053]

#### Grant of Interim Extension of the Term of U.S. Patent No. 5,454,779; ResQPump®/ResQPOD® ITD

**AGENCY:** United States Patent and Trademark Office, Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Interim Patent Term Extension.

**SUMMARY:** The United States Patent and Trademark Office has issued a third order granting interim extension under 35 U.S.C. 156(d)(5) for a one-year interim extension of the term of U.S. Patent No. 5,454,779.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mary C. Till by telephone at (571) 272–7755; by mail marked to her attention and addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, Mail Stop Hatch-Waxman PTE, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313–1450; by fax marked to her attention at (571) 273–7755; or by email to [Mary.Till@uspto.gov](mailto:Mary.Till@uspto.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 156 of Title 35, United States Code, generally provides that the term of a patent may be extended for a period of up to five years if the patent claims a product, or a method of making or using a product, that has been subject to certain defined regulatory review, and that the patent may be extended for interim periods of up to one year if the regulatory review is anticipated to extend beyond the expiration date of the patent.

On August 29, 2014, the Regents of the University of California timely filed an application under 35 U.S.C. 156(d)(5) for a third interim extension of the term of U.S. Patent No. 5,454,779. The patent claims the medical device, ResQPump® in connection with the ResQPOD® ITD. The application indicates that a Premarket Approval Application, PMA No. P110024, for the medical device has been filed, and is currently undergoing

regulatory review before the Food and Drug Administration for permission to market or use the product commercially.

Review of the application indicates that, except for permission to market or use the product commercially, the subject patent would be eligible for an extension of the patent term under 35 U.S.C. 156, and that the patent should be extended for one year as required by 35 U.S.C. 156(d)(5)(B). Because it is apparent that the regulatory review period will continue beyond the extended expiration date of the patent, October 3, 2014, interim extension of the patent term under 35 U.S.C. 156(d)(5) is appropriate.

An interim extension under 35 U.S.C. 156(d)(5) of the term of U.S. Patent No. 5,454,779 is granted for a period of one year from the extended expiration date of the patent.

Dated: September 24, 2014.

**Andrew Hirshfeld,**

*Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examination Policy United States Patent and Trademark Office.*

[FR Doc. 2014–23467 Filed 10–1–14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–16–P**

## COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

### Limitations of Duty- and Quota-Free Imports of Apparel Articles Assembled in Beneficiary Sub-Saharan African Countries From Regional and Third-Country Fabric

**AGENCY:** Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (CITA).

**ACTION:** Publishing the New 12-Month Cap on Duty- and Quota-Free Benefits.

**DATES:** Effective October 1, 2014.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Don Niewiaroski, Jr., International Trade Specialist, Office of Textiles and Apparel, U.S. Department of Commerce, (202) 482–2496.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

**Authority:** Title I, Section 112(b)(3) of the Trade and Development Act of 2000 (TDA 2000), Pub. L. 106–200, as amended by Division B, Title XXI, section 3108 of the Trade Act of 2002, Pub. L. 107–210; Section 7(b)(2) of the AGOA Acceleration Act of 2004, Pub. L. 108–274; Division D, Title VI, section 6002 of the Tax Relief and Health Care Act of 2006 (TRHCA 2006), Pub. L. 109–432, and section 1, Pub. L. 112–163, August 10, 2012; Presidential Proclamation 7350 of October 2, 2000 (65 FR 59321); and Presidential Proclamation 7626 of November 13, 2002 (67 FR 69459).