

Agency: DOL–OWCP.

Title of Collection: Death Gratuity.

OMB Control Number: 1240–0017.

Affected Public: Individuals or Households.

Total Estimated Number of Respondents: 262.

Total Estimated Number of Responses: 262.

Total Estimated Annual Time Burden: 67 hours.

Total Estimated Annual Other Costs Burden: \$0.

Dated: August 10, 2016.

Michel Smyth,

Departmental Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 2016–19614 Filed 8–16–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–CH–P

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

Notice of Centennial Challenges Space Robotics Challenge

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

NOTICE: (16–056).

ACTION: Notice of Centennial Challenges Space Robotics Challenge.

SUMMARY: This notice is issued in accordance with 51 U.S.C. 20144(c). The Space Robotics Challenge is open and teams that wish to compete may now register. Centennial Challenges is a program of prize competitions to stimulate innovation in technologies of interest and value to NASA and the nation. The Space Robotics Challenge is a prize competition with a \$1,000,000 total prize purse to be divided among teams that develop software to increase the autonomy of dexterous humanoid robots. Teams will use software to control a simulated R5 to resolve problems in a virtual environment. NASA is providing the prize purse. Space Center Houston in partnership with Nine Sigma will manage the challenge.

DATES: Challenge registration opens August 16, 2016 and will remain open until September 16, 2016.

Other important dates:

September 19, 2016—Qualification Software version 1

November 15, 2016—Qualification Deadline

December 1, 2016—Qualification Results Announced

June 13–16, 2017—Virtual Competition

ADDRESSES: The Space Robotics Challenge is a virtual competition. The qualification rounds will take place at participant labs and the final

competition will take place at Space Center Houston/Johnson Space Center.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To register for or get additional information regarding the Space Robotics Challenge, please visit:

www.spaceroboticschallenge.com.

For general information on the NASA Centennial Challenges Program please visit: <http://www.nasa.gov/challenges>. General questions and comments regarding the program should be addressed to Monsi Roman, Centennial Challenges Program, NASA Marshall Space Flight Center Huntsville, AL 35812. Email address: hq-stmd-centennialchallenges@mail.nasa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Summary

The following virtual challenge scenario serves as a backdrop for developing coding advancements that enable the autonomy of humanoid robotics:

In the not too distant future, R5 as arrived on Mars along with supplies ahead of a human mission. Overnight a dust storm damaged the habitat and solar array, and caused the primary communication antenna to become misaligned. R5 must now repair an air leak in the habitat, deploy a new solar panel, and align the communication antenna.

Teams will use software to control a simulated R5 in order to resolve the problems caused by the dust storm. Each team will be evaluated according to a scoring metric that considers the number of tasks completed and the time required to complete the tasks.

The competition arena will contain a rover, solar panels, communication dish, and a habitat on a Martian plain. Each component will be within eyesight and walking distance of each other. Practice environments, similar to those used in the final competition, will be provided to teams.

I. Prize Amounts

The total Space Robotics prize purse is \$1,000,000 (one million U.S. dollars).
\$100,000 Engagement Challenge
\$300,000 Qualifying round (The top 20 qualifying teams will receive \$15,000 each)
\$600,000 Virtual Competition with prizes as follows:

First place: \$125,000

Second place: \$100,000

Third Place: \$50,000

Fourth Place: \$25,000

\$50,000 bonus prizes awarded to as many as 6 teams

II. Eligibility

To be eligible to win a prize, competitors must:

(1) Register and comply with all requirements in the rules and Team Agreement;

(2) In the case of a private entity, shall be incorporated in and maintain a primary place of business in the United States, and in the case of an individual, whether participating singly or in a group, shall be a citizen or permanent resident of the United States; and

(3) Not be a Federal entity or Federal employee acting within the scope of their employment.

III. Rules

The complete rules for the Space Robotics Challenge can be found at: www.spaceroboticschallenge.com.

Cheryl Parker,

NASA Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 2016–19627 Filed 8–16–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510–13–P

NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

National Endowment for the Arts

Arts Advisory Panel Meetings

AGENCY: National Endowment for the Arts, National Foundation on the Arts and Humanities.

ACTION: Notice of meetings.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended, notice is hereby given that one meeting of the Arts Advisory Panel to the National Council on the Arts will be held by teleconference.

DATES: All meetings are Eastern time and ending times are approximate: *International* (review of applications): This meeting will be closed. *Date and time:* September 7, 2016; 10:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m.

ADDRESSES: National Endowment for the Arts, Constitution Center, 400 7th St. SW., Washington, DC, 20506.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Further information with reference to these meetings can be obtained from Ms. Kathy Plowitz-Worden, Office of Guidelines & Panel Operations, National Endowment for the Arts, Washington, DC, 20506; plowitzk@arts.gov, or call 202/682–5691.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The closed portions of meetings are for the purpose of Panel review, discussion,

evaluation, and recommendations on financial assistance under the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965, as amended, including information given in confidence to the agency. In accordance with the determination of the Chairman of July 5, 2016, these sessions will be closed to the public pursuant to subsection (c)(6) of section 552b of title 5, United States Code.

Dated: August 12, 2016.

Kathy Plowitz-Worden,

Panel Coordinator, National Endowment for the Arts.

[FR Doc. 2016-19644 Filed 8-16-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7537-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2014-0203]

Conduct of Operations

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Standard review plan—final section revision; final issuance.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is issuing final revisions to the following sections in Chapter 13, “Conduct of Operations,” of NUREG-0800, “Standard Review Plan for the Review of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear Power Plants: LWR Edition”: Section 13.1.1, “Management and Technical Support Organization”; Section 13.1.2–13.1.3, “Operating Organization”; Section 13.2.1, “Reactor Operator Requalification Program; Reactor Operator Training”; Section 13.2.2, “Non-licensed Plant Staff Training”; and Section 13.5.1.1, “Administrative Procedures—General.”

DATES: The effective date of this Standard Review Plan (SRP) update is September 16, 2016.

ADDRESSES: Please refer to Docket ID NRC-2014-0203 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may access publicly-available information related to this document using any of the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking Web site:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC-2014-0203. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher; telephone: 301-415-3463; email: Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov. For technical questions, contact the individual listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.

- *NRC’s Agencywide Documents Access and Management System*

(ADAMS): You may obtain publicly-available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select “ADAMS Public Documents” and then select “Begin Web-based ADAMS Search.” For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC’s Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by email to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. For the convenience of the reader, the ADAMS accession numbers are provided in a table in the “Availability of Documents” section of this document.

- *NRC’s PDR:* You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC’s PDR, Room O1-F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

- The NRC posts its issued staff guidance on the NRC’s external Web page (<http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/nuregs/staff/sr0800/>).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mark D. Notich, Office of New Reactors, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001; telephone: 301-415-3053; email: Mark.Notich@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

On September 24, 2014 (79 FR 57141), the NRC published for public comment the proposed revisions to Chapter 13 of the SRP. A summary of the comments and the NRC staff’s disposition of the comments are available in a separate document, “Public Comment Response Table SRP Section 13.1.1 through 13.5.1.1” (ADAMS Accession No. ML15008A024).

The Office of New Reactors is revising these sections from their current versions. Details of specific changes in the proposed revisions are included at the end of each of the proposed sections.

The changes to this SRP chapter reflect NRC staff’s current review methods and practices based on lessons learned from the NRC’s reviews of design certification and combined license applications completed since the last revision of this chapter.

II. Backfitting and Issue Finality

Issuance of these revised SRP sections does not constitute backfitting as defined in § 50.109 of title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), “Backfitting,” (the Backfit rule) or otherwise be inconsistent with the issue finality provisions in 10 CFR part 52. The NRC’s position is based upon the following considerations:

1. *The SRP positions would not constitute backfitting, inasmuch as the SRP is internal guidance directed at the NRC staff with respect to their regulatory responsibilities.*

The SRP provides guidance to the NRC staff on how to review an application for NRC’s regulatory approval in the form of licensing. Changes in internal staff guidance are not matters for which either nuclear power plant applicants or licensees are protected under either the Backfit Rule or the issue finality provisions of 10 CFR part 52.

2. *The NRC staff has no intention to impose the SRP positions on existing licensees either now or in the future.*

The staff does not intend to impose or apply the positions described in the SRP to existing (already issued) licenses and regulatory approvals. Therefore, the issuance of a final SRP—even if considered guidance that is within the purview of the issue finality provisions in 10 CFR part 52—need not be evaluated as if it were a backfit or as being inconsistent with issue finality provisions. If, in the future, the staff seeks to impose a position in the SRP on holders of already issued licenses in a manner which does not provide issue finality as described in the applicable issue finality provision, then the staff must make the showing as set forth in the Backfit Rule or address the criteria for avoiding issue finality as described in the applicable issue finality provision.

3. *Backfitting and issue finality do not—with limited exceptions not applicable here—protect current or future applicants.*

Applicants and potential applicants are not, with certain exceptions, protected by either the Backfit Rule or any issue finality provisions under 10 CFR part 52. This is because neither the Backfit Rule nor the issue finality provisions under 10 CFR part 52—with certain exclusions discussed in the next paragraph—were intended to apply to every NRC action that substantially changes the expectations of current and future applicants.

The exceptions to the general principle are applicable whenever an applicant references a 10 CFR part 52 license (e.g., an early site permit) and/or NRC regulatory approval (e.g., a design certification rule) with specified issue finality provisions. The staff does not, at this time, intend to impose the positions represented in the SRP in a manner that is inconsistent with any issue finality provisions. If, in the future, the staff seeks to impose a position in the SRP in a manner that does not provide issue finality as