

antidumping duty cases.<sup>18</sup> Pursuant to this refinement in practice, for merchandise that was not reported in the U.S. sales databases submitted by an exporter individually examined during this review, but that entered under the case number of that exporter (*i.e.*, at the individually-examined exporter's cash deposit rate), the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the PRC-wide rate. Additionally, pursuant to this refinement, if the Department determines that an exporter under review had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter's case number will be liquidated at the PRC-wide rate.

### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For the companies listed above the cash deposit rate will be their respective rate established in the final results of this review, except if the rate is zero or *de minimis* (*i.e.*, less than 0.5 percent), then no cash deposit will be required; (2) for previously investigated PRC and non-PRC exporters not listed above that have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the exporter-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that for the PRC-wide entity; and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

### Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the

Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

### Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213.

Dated: December 31, 2014.

**Paul Piquado,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

### Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

1. Background
2. Period of Review
3. Extension of Preliminary Results
4. Scope of the Order
5. Selection of Respondents
6. Non-Market Economy Country
7. Separate Rate
8. Rate for Non-Examined, Separate Rate Respondents
9. The PRC-wide Entity
10. Surrogate Country and Surrogate Value Data
11. Date of Sale
12. Fair Value Comparisons
13. Affiliation and Single Entity Status
14. U.S. Price
15. Value Added Tax
16. Factor Valuations
17. Adjustment Under Section 777(A)(f) of the Act
18. Currency Conversion

[FR Doc. 2015-00197 Filed 1-8-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-970]

### Multilayered Wood Flooring From the People's Republic of China; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review; 2012–2013

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (“the Department”) is conducting a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on multilayered wood flooring (“MLWF”) from the People's Republic of China (“PRC”). The review covers one exporter of subject merchandise, Linyi Anying Wood Co., Ltd., (“Anying”). We preliminarily find that Anying has not made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value. The period of review (“POR”) for

Anying is December 1, 2012, through November 30, 2013.<sup>1</sup>

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* January 9, 2015.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Maisha Cryor or Robert Bolling, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5831 or (202) 482-3434, respectively.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the order includes MLWF, subject to certain exceptions.<sup>2</sup> The subject merchandise is currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”) subheadings: 4412.31.0520; 4412.31.0540; 4412.31.0560; 4412.31.2510; 4412.31.2520; 4412.31.4040; 4412.31.4050; 4412.31.4060; 4412.31.4070; 4412.31.4075; 4412.31.4080; 4412.31.5125; 4412.31.5135; 4412.31.5155; 4412.31.5165; 4412.31.6000; 4412.31.9100; 4412.32.0520; 4412.32.0540; 4412.32.0560; 4412.32.0565; 4412.32.0570; 4412.32.2510; 4412.32.2520; 4412.32.2525; 4412.32.2530; 4412.32.3125; 4412.32.3135; 4412.32.3155; 4412.32.3165; 4412.32.3175; 4412.32.3185; 4412.32.5600; 4412.39.1000; 4412.39.3000; 4412.39.4011; 4412.39.4012; 4412.39.4019; 4412.39.4031; 4412.39.4032; 4412.39.4039; 4412.39.4051; 4412.39.4052; 4412.39.4059; 4412.39.4061; 4412.39.4062; 4412.39.4069; 4412.39.5010; 4412.39.5030; 4412.39.5050; 4412.94.1030; 4412.94.1050; 4412.94.3105; 4412.94.3111; 4412.94.3121; 4412.94.3131; 4412.94.3141; 4412.94.3160; 4412.94.3171; 4412.94.4100; 4412.94.5100; 4412.94.6000; 4412.94.7000; 4412.94.8000; 4412.94.9000; 4412.94.9500; 4412.99.0600; 4412.99.1020; 4412.99.1030;

<sup>1</sup> See *Multilayered Wood Flooring from the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review*, 79 FR 5374 (January 31, 2014) (“*Initiation Notice*”).

<sup>2</sup> See Memorandum from Gary Taverman, Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance (“*Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review, 2012–2013: Multilayered Wood Flooring from the People's Republic of China*,” dated December 31, 2014 (“*Preliminary Decision Memorandum*”) for a full description of the Scope of the Order.

<sup>18</sup> See *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011), for a full discussion of this practice.

4412.99.1040; 4412.99.3110;  
4412.99.3120; 4412.99.3130;  
4412.99.3140; 4412.99.3150;  
4412.99.3160; 4412.99.3170;  
4412.99.4100; 4412.99.5100;  
4412.99.5105; 4412.99.5115;  
4412.99.5710; 4412.99.6000;  
4412.99.7000; 4412.99.8000;  
4412.99.9000; 4412.99.9500;  
4418.71.2000; 4418.71.9000;  
4418.72.2000; 4418.72.9500; and  
9801.00.2500.

The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes only; the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

#### Extension of Deadlines for Preliminary Results

On June 27, 2014, the Department extended the time period for issuing the preliminary results of this review by 120 days, until November 20, 2014.<sup>3</sup> On November 12, 2014, the Department aligned this new shipper review with the second administrative review of MLWF from the PRC.<sup>4</sup> On November 20,

2014, the Department extended the preliminary results until December 31, 2014, to align with the second administrative review of this proceeding.<sup>5</sup>

#### Methodology

The Department is conducting this review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1)(B) and 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”) and 19 CFR 351.214. The Department calculated export prices in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Because the PRC is a nonmarket economy country (“NME”) within the meaning of section 771(18) of the Act, the Department calculated normal value in accordance with section 773(c) of the Act.

For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum, dated concurrently with these results and hereby adopted by this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a

public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (“ACCESS”).<sup>6</sup> ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov> and in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn>. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

#### Preliminary Results of New Shipper Review

The Department preliminarily determines that the following weighted-average dumping margin exists for the POR from December 1, 2012 through November 30, 2013:

Exporter	Producer	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Linyi Anying Wood Co., Ltd .....	Linyi Anying Wood Co., Ltd .....	0.00

#### Disclosure and Public Comment

The Department intends to disclose calculations performed for these preliminary results to the parties within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). Interested parties may submit case briefs no later than 30 days after the date of publication of these preliminary results of review.<sup>7</sup> Rebuttals to case briefs may be filed no later than five days after the time limit for filing case briefs.<sup>8</sup> A table of contents, list of authorities used, and an executive summary of issues should accompany any briefs submitted to the Department. This summary should be limited to five pages total, including footnotes.

Any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication of this notice.<sup>9</sup> Hearing requests should

contain the following information: (1) The party’s name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of the issues to be discussed. Oral presentations will be limited to issues raised in the briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, parties will be notified of the time and date for the hearing to be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.<sup>10</sup>

The Department intends to issue the final results of this new shipper review, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such comments, within 90 days of publication of these preliminary results, pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act.

#### Assessment Rates

Upon issuing the final results of this new shipper review, the Department shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of the final results of this new shipper review. If the individually examined respondent’s weighted-average dumping margin is above *de minimis*, we will calculate importer-specific *ad valorem* duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer’s examined sales to the total entered value of those same sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).<sup>11</sup>

<sup>3</sup> See Memorandum to Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, regarding “Multilayered Wood Flooring from the People’s Republic of China: Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review” (June 27, 2014).

<sup>4</sup> See Memorandum to the File, regarding “Alignment of the New Shipper Review of Multilayered Wood Flooring from the People’s Republic of China with the Second Administrative Review of Multilayered Wood Flooring from the People’s Republic of China,” dated November 12, 2014.

<sup>5</sup> See Memorandum to the File, regarding “Extension of Deadline for the Preliminary Results of the New Shipper Review of Multilayered Wood Flooring from the People’s Republic of China,” dated November 20, 2014.

<sup>6</sup> On November 24, 2014, Enforcement and Compliance changed the name of Enforcement and Compliance’s AD and CVD Centralized Electronic Service System (“IA ACCESS”) to AD and CVD Centralized Electronic Service System (“ACCESS”). The Web site location was changed from <http://iaaccess.trade.gov> to <http://access.trade.gov>. The Final Rule changing the references to the

Regulations can be found at 79 FR 69046 (November 20, 2014).

<sup>7</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(c); see also 19 CFR 351.303 (for general filing requirements).

<sup>8</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(d).

<sup>9</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

<sup>10</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310(d).

<sup>11</sup> In these preliminary results, the Department applied the assessment rate calculation method adopted in *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012).

We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this new shipper review when the importer-specific assessment rate calculated in the final results of this review is above *de minimis*. Where either the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis*, or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties. The Department announced a refinement to its assessment practice in NME cases. Pursuant to this refinement in practice, for entries that were not reported in the U.S. sales databases submitted by Anying for this new shipper review, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the PRC-wide rate. In addition, if the Department determines that the exporter under review had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter's case number (*i.e.*, at that exporter's rate) will be liquidated at the PRC-wide rate.<sup>12</sup>

The final results of this new shipper review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.

#### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this new shipper review for shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For the companies listed above that have a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that rate established in the final results of this new shipper review (except, if the rate is zero or *de minimis*, then a zero cash deposit will be required); (2) for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters not listed above that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing producer/exporter-specific combination rate; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that for the PRC-

wide entity, or 58.84 percent; and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC producer/exporter combination that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214.

Dated: December 30, 2014.

**Paul Piquado,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

#### Appendix I—List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

1. Summary
2. Background
3. Scope of the Order
4. Discussion of the Methodology
5. Bona Fide Sale Analysis
6. Non-Market Economy Country Status
7. Separate Rates
8. Absence of De Jure Control
9. Absence of De Facto Control
10. Surrogate Country
11. Economic Comparability
12. Significant Producer of Comparable Merchandise
13. Data Availability
14. Date of Sale
15. Fair Value Comparisons
16. Differential Pricing Analysis
17. Results of the Differential Pricing Analysis
18. U.S. Price
19. Value Added Tax
20. Normal Value
21. Factor Valuations
22. Currency Conversion
23. Section 777A(f) of the Act

[FR Doc. 2015-00194 Filed 1-8-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-919, A-602-806]

#### Electrolytic Manganese Dioxide From the People's Republic of China and Australia: Continuation of the Antidumping Duty Order on the People's Republic of China, Revocation of the Antidumping Duty Order on Australia

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** As a result of the determinations by the Department of Commerce (the "Department") and the International Trade Commission (the "ITC") in their five year (sunset) reviews that revocation of the antidumping duty ("AD") order on electrolytic manganese dioxide ("EMD") from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States, the Department is publishing a notice of continuation of the AD order on EMD from the PRC. In addition, as a result of the ITC's determination that revocation of the AD order on EMD from Australia is not likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time, the Department is revoking the AD order on EMD from Australia.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* January 9, 2015.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Howard Smith, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: 202-482-5193.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

##### Background

On October 7, 2008, the Department published the AD orders on EMD from the PRC and Australia.<sup>1</sup> On September 3, 2013, the Department published the notice of initiation of the first sunset review of the AD orders on EMD from the PRC and Australia, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930,

<sup>1</sup> See *Antidumping Duty Order: Electrolytic Manganese Dioxide from Australia*, 73 FR 58538 (October 7, 2008) ("Australia Order"); see also *Antidumping Duty Order: Electrolytic Manganese Dioxide from the People's Republic of China*, 73 FR 58537 (October 7, 2008).

<sup>12</sup> For a full discussion of this practice, see *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011).