

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory
Commission

[Docket No. AD09–3–000]

**Compliance With Mandatory Reliability
Standards; Guidance Order on
Compliance Audits Conducted by the
Electric Reliability Organization and
Regional Entities**

Issued January 15, 2009.

Before Commissioners: Joseph T. Kelliher,
Chairman; Sudeen G. Kelly, Marc Spitzer,
Philip D. Moeller, and Jon Wellinghoff

1. In this order, the Commission provides guidance on conducting compliance audits to the North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC), the certified Electric Reliability Organization (ERO), and the eight Regional Entities to which NERC has delegated responsibility for enforcing Commission-approved Reliability Standards within the United States.

2. NERC and Regional Entities conduct compliance audits of registered entities subject to mandatory Reliability Standards approved by the Commission. They conduct these audits pursuant to procedures approved by the Commission under FPA sections 215(c)(2)(C) (certification of the ERO) and 215(e)(4) (approval of delegation agreements), which, among other things, require that the ERO and Regional Entities provide fair and impartial procedures for enforcement of reliability standards.¹ This order provides guidance to the ERO and Regional Entities with respect to implementation of Section 3.1 of NERC's Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program (CMEP), which the Commission approved on April 19, 2007 pursuant to FPA sections 215(c)(2) and 215(e)(4).² This guidance stems from Commission staff observations of audits that NERC and the Regional Entities have conducted into whether particular users, owners and operators of the Bulk-Power System are complying with Reliability Standards.³

3. We require that NERC and Regional Entities "base their compliance audit processes in the U.S. on professional auditing standards recognized in the U.S., such as Generally Accepted Accounting Standards, Generally Accepted Government Auditing

Standards, and standards sanctioned by the Institute of Internal Auditors."⁴ We allow flexibility for NERC and the Regional Entities to implement their compliance audit programs in that they are to base their audit processes on these auditing standards.

4. Nevertheless, our staff has observed that additional consistency in compliance audit processes among NERC and the Regional Entities within the United States would be beneficial. We expect NERC and Regional Entities to implement the following guidance, as appropriate, in ongoing compliance audits and in all compliance audits that commence on or after the date of this order.

**A. Audit Team Leadership and
Training**

5. In order to resolve possible perceptions that a Regional Entity's compliance staff is not sufficiently independent from the audited entity, such as the Regional Entity itself or its affiliate, NERC staff sometimes leads compliance audit teams in which Regional Entity staff participates. This is intended to assess compliance in an unbiased or professional manner.⁵ In these audits, Regional Entity staff should serve as subject matter experts, rather than lead the audit or advise on its conduct or scope. NERC staff should control the scope and conduct of a NERC-led audit and refrain from seeking advice from or involving Regional Entity staff on the direction or findings of the audit. NERC and Regional Entity staff should assume these roles from the beginning of the pre-audit stage of such a NERC-led audit until the completion of the final audit report.⁶

6. CMEP section 3.1.5 requires that for all compliance audits conducted after January 1, 2008, each audit team member must successfully complete all NERC or NERC-approved Regional Entity auditor training applicable to the audit. We suggest that NERC and Regional Entities ensure that this audit

training include skills in interviewing, choosing samples of matters to be audited, and evaluating evidence.

B. Pre-Audit Procedures

7. We suggest that NERC, in the context of developing, reviewing and updating its pre-audit questionnaires, ensure that audit team requests for information and documents about specific matters are as consistent as possible among the Regional Entities.⁷ For organizing requests for data and information, all compliance audit teams should use a database consisting of a spreadsheet that serves as a checklist for all requirements of Reliability Standards that are to be audited.

8. Compliance audit teams should request that registered entities: (1) organize responses to data requests and other audit evidence into the format that the audit team will use to match evidence to compliance with particular requirements; and (2) cross-reference the information provided to the audit team to specific requirements of the Reliability Standards being audited. Registered entities' responses should label all information that is responsive to a particular audit team request relating to specific requirements.

9. Each audit team should allot sufficient time to complete its review of responses to pre-audit data requests before beginning site visits or similar efforts. During pre-audit preparation, audit teams should identify and examine any mitigation plans and associated documentation pertaining to standard requirements to be audited, including assessing, as relevant, whether mitigation plan milestones have been met, mitigation plans have been completed in a timely manner and whether completion of a mitigation plan was sufficient to bring the registered entity into compliance with applicable requirements.⁸

**C. Procedures During the Compliance
Audit**

10. A compliance audit should ascertain that the registered entity is in compliance with a requirement or that there is evidence that a violation of the

⁴ *North American Electric Reliability Corp.*, 122 FERC ¶ 61,245, at P 42 n.29 (2008). NERC currently so provides in CMEP section 3.1. The Commission further clarified the matter recently by requiring that in CMEP section 3.1, NERC substitute the term "Generally Accepted Auditing Standards" for "Generally Accepted Accounting Standards." *North American Electric Reliability Corp.*, 125 FERC ¶ 61,330, at P 14 n.11 (2008).

⁵ For example, NERC staff will lead any audit team conducting a compliance audit of the reliability coordinator function of the Western Electricity Coordinating Council (WECC), a Regional Entity. *North American Electric Reliability Corp.*, 119 FERC ¶ 61,059, at P 35, 39 (2007).

⁶ This guidance does not apply to compliance audits that NERC leads for other reasons, such as when NERC personnel have specialized technical knowledge of particular standards.

⁷ Cf. *Guidance on Filing Reliability Notices of Penalty*, 124 FERC ¶ 61,015, at P 21 (2008) (Notice of Penalty Guidance Order) (observing that the format and content of compliance staff forms and questionnaires directly influence the quality and relevance of the information and documentation elicited in response).

⁸ We recently highlighted the importance of ascertaining whether a mitigation plan has been completed on time, including adequate review of documentation or self-certifications submitted by a registered entity, so as to bring the registered entity into compliance with applicable requirements. Notice of Penalty Guidance Order at P 35–37.

¹ 16 U.S.C. 824o(c)(2)(C) and 824o(e)(4) (2006).

² *North American Electric Reliability Corp.*, 119 FERC ¶ 61,060, at P 41 (2007).

³ The Commission disclosed these observation audits in the *2008 Report on Enforcement* (Docket No. AD07–13–001) at 26 (issued October 31, 2008).

requirement has occurred. A compliance audit team should not consider or discuss whether a monetary penalty or some other sanction would be appropriate if the Regional Entity finds that the registered entity has violated the requirement. Nor should a compliance audit team base its decision regarding whether evidence of a violation exists upon the resources or time needed for litigation or settlement of a related notice of alleged violation.⁹ The Commission would look with disfavor on the conclusions of a compliance audit that is based in any way on these considerations.

11. We emphasize that NERC and Regional Entities need to be as consistent as possible about the level of evidence or documentation that is needed to demonstrate compliance for particular requirements.

12. A compliance audit conducted by NERC or a Regional Entity should include an assessment of the registered entity's Reliability Standards compliance program. We suggest that NERC and the Regional Entities discuss how NERC's audit guidelines and audit data requests and questionnaires could better elicit information on the factors discussed in our recent Policy Statement on Compliance.¹⁰

13. If an audit team learns about a situation that does not appear to involve a current or ongoing violation of a Reliability Standard requirement, but instead represents an area of concern that could become a violation, we expect the team to notify the registered entity of the situation, discuss it with the entity, and document such discussions in the compliance audit report. We remind audit teams that they are expected to fully test compliance with any non-actively monitored standard if the teams find evidence during the audit of non-compliance with such a standard.¹¹

14. We believe implementation of this guidance will improve the consistency of compliance audits relating to Reliability Standards and result in greater compliance with them.

By the Commission.

Kimberly D. Bose,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. E9-2527 Filed 2-5-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

⁹ This separation of roles is consistent with our own staff's practice. See 2008 Report on Enforcement at 26-27.

¹⁰ Compliance with Statutes, Regulations, and Orders, 125 FERC ¶ 61,058 (2008).

¹¹ See NERC Rule of Procedure 401.6 and CMEP section 3.1.4.

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP09-51-000]

Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America LLC; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

January 29, 2009.

Take notice that on January 22, 2009, Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America LLC (Natural), 3250 Lacey Road, Suite 700, Downers Grove, Illinois 60515, filed in Docket No. CP09-51-000, an application pursuant to sections 157.205, 157.208, 157.211 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (NGA) as amended, to construct, install, own, operate, and maintain facilities necessary to deliver natural gas to the Southwest Louisiana Lateral (Southwest Loop), which is partially owned by Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco), and to Bridgeline Holdings, L.P. (Bridgeline), both located in Johnson Bayou, Cameron Parish, Louisiana, under Natural's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-402-000,¹ all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to the public for inspection.

Natural proposes to construct, install, own, operate, and maintain a metering platform, dual metering facilities, taps, and such other appurtenant facilities required to effect the interconnects to deliver up to 200,000 Dth/day of natural gas to the Southwest Loop or to deliver up to 200,000 Dth/day of natural gas to Bridgeline. Natural states that it would cost an estimated \$11,900,000 to construct the proposed facilities.

Any questions concerning this application may be directed to Bruce H. Newsome, Vice President, Regulatory Products and Services, Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America LLC, 3250 Lacey Road, 7th Floor, Downers Grove, Illinois 60515-7918, or via telephone at (630) 725-3070, or by e-mail bruce_newsome@kindermorgan.com.

This filing is available for review at the Commission or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov>, using the "eLibrary" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number filed to access the document. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at FERC OnlineSupport@ferc.gov or call toll-free at (866) 206-3676, or, for TTY, contact

¹ 20 FERC ¶ 62,415 (1982).

(202) 502-8659. Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages intervenors to file electronically.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 60 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.205), a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefore, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the allowed time for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the NGA.

Kimberly D. Bose,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. E9-2523 Filed 2-5-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. PR08-17-000]

Bay Gas Storage Company, Ltd.; Notice of Staff Panel

January 30, 2009.

Take notice that the Commission will convene a staff panel in the above-referenced proceeding on Thursday, February 26, 2009, at 9:30 a.m. (EDT), in a room to be designated at the offices of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

This Staff Panel will review the factual basis for Bay Gas's 2008 annual adjustment to its Lost And Unaccounted For (LAUF) gas tracker/true-up mechanism. A previous Commission Order in this docket, 126 FERC ¶ 61,018 (January 12, 2009), ordered this Staff Panel to gather evidence in order to determine whether the updated LAUF recovery percentages reflected in Bay Gas's petition are fair and equitable.

FERC conferences are accessible under section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. For accessibility accommodations please send an e-mail to accessibility@ferc.gov or call toll free