

9. If a member of the public complains about information set forth or referenced with endorsement in a DOE or DOE-sponsored document and does not request correction under the OMB and DOE guidelines, then the complaint is not subject to processing as a request for correction under those guidelines.

10. If a member of the public requests correction of information first disseminated more than one year prior to the request and the information does not have a continuing significant impact on DOE projects or policy decisions or on important private sector decisions, DOE may regard the information as stale for purposes of responding to the request.

11. DOE may devise additional procedures on a case-by-case basis as may be appropriate to process requests for correction.

V. DOE Reporting Requirements

On an annual basis, the Office of the CIO (OCIO) will report to the Director of OMB on the requests for corrections received under these Guidelines. DOE elements must designate a reporting official, except as agreed otherwise between the DOE Element and the OCIO. The OCIO will work with the DOE Element reporting officials to develop the annual OMB report beginning January 1, 2004. The report will include the number of complaints received, nature of complaints (*e.g.*, request for deletion or correction) and how they are resolved (*i.e.* number corrected, denied, or pending review).

[FR Doc. 02-25402 Filed 10-4-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER00-2413-010, et al.]

American Electric Power Service Corporation, et al. Electric Rate and Corporate Filings

September 27, 2002.

The following filings have been made with the Commission. The filings are listed in ascending order within each docket classification.

1. American Electric Power Service Corporation

[Docket Nos. ER00-2413-010]

Take notice that on September 25, 2002, American Electric Power Service Corporation, on behalf of the operating companies of the American Electric Power System (collectively AEP) filed a

proposed amendment to its Open Access Transmission Tariff in compliance with the Commission's August 2, 2002 Letter Order, 100 FERC ¶ 61,150 (2002) in the above-referenced docket.

AEP requests an effective date of July 1, 2000 for the proposed amendment. Copies of AEP's filing have been served upon AEP's transmission customers and the public service commissions of Arkansas, Indiana, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Ohio, Oklahoma, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia and West Virginia.

Comment Date: October 16, 2002.

2. Continental Electric Cooperative Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER02-1118-003]

Take notice that on September 25, 2002, Continental Electric Cooperative Services, Inc., submitted to Federal Energy Regulatory Commission a modification to its rate schedule.

Comment Date: October 16, 2002.

3. Just Energy, LLC

[Docket No. ER02-2134-001]

Take notice that on September 25, 2002, Just Energy, LLC (Just Energy) tendered for filing a compliance filing in the above-referenced docket involving Just Energy, LLC Tariff Sheet No. 1.

Comment Date: October 16, 2002.

4. Southern California Edison Company

[Docket No. ER02-2263-001]

Take notice that on September 25, 2002, Southern California Edison Company (SCE) tendered for filing a revised rate sheet for its market-based rate tariff (FERC Electric Tariff No. 8). The purpose of this filing is to comply with the Commission's August 29, 2002 Letter Order in the above-referenced case.

Copies of this filing were served upon the Service List compiled by the Secretary in this docket.

Comment Date: October 16, 2002.

5. Ameren Services Company

[Docket No. ER02-2534-001]

Take notice that on September 24, 2002, Ameren Services Company (ASC) tendered for filing a Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service Agreement between ASC and Illinois Municipal Electric Company. ASC asserts that the purpose of the Agreement is to replace the unexecuted Agreement in Docket No. ER 02-2534-000 with the executed Agreement.

Comment Date: October 15, 2002.

6. Ameren Services Company

[Docket No. ER02-2594-000]

Take notice that on September 24, 2002, Ameren Services Company (ASC)

tendered for filing Service Agreements for Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service and Non-Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service between ASC and TECO EnergySource, Inc., Peabody Energy Corporation, Wisconsin Public Service Corporation and PSEG Energy Resources & Trade LLC (the parties). ASC asserts that the purpose of the Agreements is to permit ASC to provide transmission service to the parties pursuant to Ameren's Open Access Transmission Tariff.

Comment Date: October 15, 2002.

7. Midwest Independent Transmission System

[Docket No. ER02-2595-000]

Take notice that on September 24, 2002, the Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc. (Midwest ISO) tendered for filing proposed Rate Schedules 16 and 17 for incorporation into the Midwest ISO Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT), FERC Electric Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1. The Midwest ISO's proposed Schedules 16 and 17 provide for the collection of costs incurred by the Midwest ISO to provide Financial Transmission Rights (FTRs), establish and implement within its footprint day-ahead and real-time energy markets (Energy Markets) and to facilitate the creation of a joint and common market by and between the Midwest ISO and the PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.

The Midwest ISO has requested an effective date of November 25, 2002.

The Midwest ISO seeks waiver of the Commission's regulations, 18 CFR 385.2010 with respect to service on all required parties. The Midwest ISO has electronically served a copy of this filing upon all Midwest ISO Members, Member representatives of Transmission Owners and Non-Transmission Owners, the Midwest ISO Advisory Committee participants, Policy Subcommittee participants, as well as all state commissions within the region. In addition, the filing has been electronically posted on the Midwest ISO's Web site at www.midwestiso.org under the heading "Filings to FERC" for other interested parties in this matter. The Midwest ISO will provide hard copies to any interested parties upon request.

Comment Date: October 15, 2002.

8. El Paso Electric Company

[Docket No. ER02-2596-000]

Take notice that on September 25, 2002, El Paso Electric Company (EPE) on behalf of itself tendered for filing the executed Shiprock-Four Corners Project

345-kV Switchyard Interconnection Agreement (IA) between the Four Corner Participants and the Interconnection Participants.

The Four Corner Participants consist of the joint owners of a 345-kV Switchyard and include EPE, Arizona Public Service Company (APS), Public Service Company of New Mexico, Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District, Southern California Edison Company and Tucson Electric Power Company.

The Interconnection Participants consist of Public Service of Colorado, Tri-State Generation and Transmission Association, Inc., and the United States of America acting by and through the Administrator, Western Area Power Administration, Department of Energy. The Interconnection Participants have rights to a 230-kV Shiprock-Four Corners Transmission Line that is being upgraded to 345-kV, and desire an interconnection to the 345-kV Switchyard of the Four Corner Participants. The IA establishes the rates, terms and conditions for installation, operation and maintenance of interconnection facilities related to the Shiprock-Four Corners Project 345-kV Switchyard. Under the terms of the IA, the Interconnection Participants will reimburse APS for the construction costs of the interconnection facilities and these interconnection facilities will permit the relocation of the termination of the Shiprock-Four Corners Transmission Line to the 345-kV Switchyard.

A copy of this filing has been served on parties to the IA, the Public Utility Commission of Texas, the New Mexico Public Regulation Commission, and the United States Department of Agriculture, Rural Utilities Service ("RUS").

Comment Date: October 16, 2002.

9. PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.

[Docket Nos. ER02-2597-000]

Take notice that on September 24, 2002 PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. (PJM), submitted for filing signature pages to the PJM Transmission Owners Agreement (TOA) executed by Rock Springs Generation, L.L.C. (RSG) and CED Rock Springs, Inc. (CEDRS) and a revised Attachment L to PJM's Open Access Transmission Tariff. PJM states that execution of the TOA by RSG and CEDRS follows amendments to the TOA accepted by the Commission on August 22, 2002, in Docket No. ER02-2256-000 and, upon acceptance subject to the proposed effective date, will obviate the need for the Facilities Operation Agreement among PJM, RSG and CEDRS that PJM filed in Docket No. ER02-

1726-000 on May 6, 2002. The revised Attachment L adds RSG and CEDRS to the list of PJM Transmission Owners. PJM requests an effective date of April 29, 2002, for the executed signature pages to the TOA and the revised Attachment L.

Copies of this filing were served upon the official service lists of Docket Nos. ER02-1726-000 and ER02-2256-000, all members of PJM, and the state electric utility regulatory commissions within the PJM region.

Comment Date: October 15, 2002.

10. Commonwealth Edison Company

[Docket No. ER02-2598-000]

Take notice that on September 25, 2002, Commonwealth Edison Company (ComEd) submitted for filing four unexecuted Service Agreements entered into between ComEd and NRG Power Marketing Inc. under ComEd's Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT).

ComEd seeks waiver of the Commission's notice requirements to permit an effective date of January 1, 2002 for all of the Service Agreements. Copies of the filing were served upon NRG Power Marketing Inc. and the Illinois Commerce Commission.

Comment Date: October 16, 2002.

11. Central Power and Light Company

[Docket No. ER02-2599-000]

Public Service Company of Oklahoma Southwestern Electric Power Company West Texas Utilities Company

Take notice that on September 25, 2002, Central Power and Light Company, Public Service Company of Oklahoma, Southwestern Electric Power Company and West Texas Utilities Company (collectively, the Companies) submitted for filing a refund report in compliance with the letter order issued July 26, 2002 in the above-referenced dockets (July 26 Letter Order). The July 26 Letter Order established the rates that enable the calculation of refunds required by the Commission's November 8, 2001 order in the above-referenced dockets. Central Power and Light Company, *et al.*, 97 FERC ¶ 61,157 (2001) (Remand Order). The Companies state that a copy of the filing has been served on all parties to this proceeding, all customers under the tariff and the Public Utility Commission of Texas, the Louisiana Public Service Commission, the Arkansas Public Service Commission and the Oklahoma Corporation Commission.

Comment Date: October 16, 2002.

12. Laurent Cusson, Richard Legault, Donald Tremblay

[Docket Nos. ID-3695-001, ID-3694-001, and ID-3826-000]

Take notice that on September 23, 2002, Laurent Cusson, Richard Legault and Donald Tremblay submitted to Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) Abbreviated Applications for Authorization to Hold Interlocking Positions pursuant to Section 305 of the Federal Power Act and Part 45 of the Commission's Regulations.

Comment Date: October 15, 2002.

13. Central Power and Light Company, Public Service Company of Oklahoma, Southwestern Electric Power Company, West Texas Utilities Company

[Docket Nos. OA97-24-008, ER97-881-005, ER98-4609-005, and ER98-4511-006]

Take notice that on September 25, 2002, Central Power and Light Company, Public Service Company of Oklahoma, Southwestern Electric Power Company and West Texas Utilities Company (collectively, the Companies) submitted for filing revised pages to the Companies' Transmission Coordination Agreement (TCA). The revised provisions of the TCA govern the allocation of transmission service revenues between the Companies during the locked-in time period from January 1, 1997 to June 15, 2000. These changes to the TCA are needed to reflect the effect of the refunds the Companies were required to make in compliance with the letter order issued July 26, 2002 in Central Power and Light Co., *et al.*, Docket Nos. OA97-24-007, *et al.* (July 26 Letter Order). The July 26 Letter Order established the rates required by the Commission's November 8, 2001 order in the same dockets. Central Power and Light Company, *et al.*, 97 FERC 61,157 (2001).

The Companies state that a copy of the filing has been served on all parties to Docket Nos. OA97-24-000, *et al.*, the Public Utility Commission of Texas, the Louisiana Public Service Commission, the Arkansas Public Service Commission and the Oklahoma Corporation Commission.

Comment Date: October 16, 2002.

Standard Paragraph

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be

taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date, and, to the extent applicable, must be served on the applicant and on any other person designated on the official service list. This filing is available for review at the Commission or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov>, using the "FERRIS" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, call (202) 502-8222 or TTY, (202) 502-8659. Protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper; see 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-25399 Filed 10-4-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER02-2126-000, et al.]

Consolidated Edison Company, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Filings

September 25, 2002.

The following filings have been made with the Commission. The filings are listed in ascending order within each docket classification.

1. Consolidated Edison Company

[Docket No. ER02-2126-003]

Take notice that on September 23, 2002, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., (Con Edison) tendered for filing an Amendment to its prior filings in these dockets of an unexecuted Interconnection Agreement (Agreement) between Con Edison and PSEG Power in-City I, LLC (PSEG Power), Con Edison's Amendment was filed in response to the letter order issued on August 28, 2002 by the Director, OMTR/Tariffs and Rates—East.

Con Edison states that copies of the filing were served upon PSEG Power, the New York Independent System Operator, and the New York Public Service Commission.

Comment Date: October 15, 2002.

2. PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.

[Docket No. ER02-2519-002]

Take notice that on September 23, 2002, PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. (PJM), submitted for filing an amendment to its August 29, 2002 and September 11, 2002 filings in this docket. In its August 29, 2002 filing, PJM amended the Appendix of Attachment K of the PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff (PJM Tariff) and Schedule 1 of the Amended and Restated Operating Agreement of PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. (Operating Agreement) to establish a Spinning Reserve market for PJM and PJM West and new compensation rules. On September 11, 2002 PJM amended its August 29, 2002 filing to include conforming amendments to both the PJM Tariff and Operating Agreement consistent with the Spinning Reserve market and compensation rules. PJM hereby submits one further amendment in light of the implementation of the Spinning Reserve market and compensation rules.

PJM requests an effective date of December 1, 2002 for the amendments filed on August 29, 2002, September 11, 2002, and September 23, 2002. Copies of this filing were served upon all parties listed on the official service list in Docket No. ER02-2519-000, all PJM members, and each state electric utility regulatory commission in the PJM control area and PJM West region.

Comment Date: October 10, 2002.

3. New England Power Company

[Docket No. ER02-2568-000]

Take notice that on September 20, 2002, New England Power Company (NEP) submitted for filing Original Service Agreement No. 214 between NEP and Lake Road Generating Company, L.P. (Lake Road) under NEP's open access transmission tariff, New England Power Company, FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 9. The Service Agreement consists of a related facilities agreement between NEP and Lake Road concerning upgrades to transmission facilities operated by NEP in Rhode Island to accommodate the interconnection of Lake Road's new generating station to the transmission system of Connecticut Light and Power in Connecticut.

Comment Date: October 11, 2002.

4. NorthWestern Energy, L.L.C.

[Docket No. ER02-2569-000]

Take notice that on September 20, 2002, NorthWestern Energy, L.L.C. filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) a Change in Rate Filing and Request for Certain Waivers.

Comment Date: October 11, 2002.

5. Minnesota Power

[Docket No. ER02-2570-000]

Take notice that on September 20, 2002, Minnesota Power tendered for filing the complete wholesale rate schedule, designated as required by Commission Order No. 614, for the City of Biwabik, Minnesota (Biwabik). This filing included revised rates, which would allow Biwabik the option to purchase wholesale Renewable and/or High-Efficiency Energy from Minnesota Power, for resale to Biwabik's retail customers. Minnesota Power requests January 1, 2003 as the effective date for these revised rates.

In separate filings with the Commission, Minnesota Power also submitted complete, revised wholesale rate schedules, designated as required by Order No. 614, for the following categories of Minnesota Power wholesale customers: (a) Resale Service—Full Requirements Municipalities and Rural Utilities Customers (Full Requirements Customers): Cities of Aitkin, Buhl, Ely, Gilbert, Keewatin, Mountain Iron, Nashwauk, Pierz, Randall and Two Harbors; the Public Utilities Commission of Brainerd; the City of Grand Rapids—Public Utilities Commission; and the City of Proctor—Public Utilities Commission (all located in the State of Minnesota); and (b) Resale Service—Partial Requirements Municipalities Customers (Partial Requirements Customers): City of Hibbing—Public Utilities Commission and City of Virginia—Department of Public Utilities (both located in the State of Minnesota). Minnesota Power's separate filings for each of its Full Requirements Customers and Partial Requirements Customers also included revised rates that would allow those Customers the option to purchase wholesale Renewable and/or High-Efficiency Energy for resale to their retail customers. Minnesota Power requested an effective date of January 1, 2003 for those revised rates.

Comment Date: October 11, 2002.

6. Avista Corporation

[Docket No. ER02-2571-000]

Take notice that on September 20, 2002, Avista Corporation (Avista), tendered for filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission pursuant to 18 CFR 35.12 Service Agreement No. 120, which is an Agreement for Firm and Non-Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service Under Avista Corporation's Open Access Transmission Tariff—FERC