

positive impact is the ability to quickly change and ask pertinent questions to assist clients with their exporting needs regarding matchmaking services, organization promotions, trade missions, market research and other trade promotional activities.

II. Method of Collection

The information will be collected through Export.gov or sent via email and then completed by client electronically.

III. Data

OMB Control Number: 0625–0143.

Form Number(s): ITA–4096P.

Type of Review: Regular submission; renewal of a currently approved collection.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit organizations.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 50,000.

Estimated Time per Response: 5–25 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 20,833 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: \$0.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: November 26, 2014.

Glenna Mickelson,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2014–28352 Filed 12–1–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–FP–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brenda E. Waters, Office of AD/CVD Operations, Customs Liaison Unit, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482–4735.

Background

Each year during the anniversary month of the publication of an antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation, an interested party, as defined in section 771(9) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), may request, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213, that the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) conduct an administrative review of that antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation.

All deadlines for the submission of comments or actions by the Department discussed below refer to the number of calendar days from the applicable starting date.

Respondent Selection

In the event the Department limits the number of respondents for individual examination for administrative reviews initiated pursuant to requests made for the orders identified below, the Department intends to select respondents based on U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) data for U.S. imports during the period of review. We intend to release the CBP data under Administrative Protective Order (“APO”) to all parties having an APO within five days of publication of the initiation notice and to make our decision regarding respondent selection within 21 days of publication of the initiation **Federal Register** notice. Therefore, we encourage all parties interested in commenting on respondent selection to submit their APO applications on the date of publication of the initiation notice, or as soon thereafter as possible. The Department invites comments regarding the CBP data and respondent selection within

five days of placement of the CBP data on the record of the review.

In the event the Department decides it is necessary to limit individual examination of respondents and conduct respondent selection under section 777A(c)(2) of the Act:

In general, the Department finds that determinations concerning whether particular companies should be “collapsed” (*i.e.*, treated as a single entity for purposes of calculating antidumping duty rates) require a substantial amount of detailed information and analysis, which often require follow-up questions and analysis. Accordingly, the Department will not conduct collapsing analyses at the respondent selection phase of this review and will not collapse companies at the respondent selection phase unless there has been a determination to collapse certain companies in a previous segment of this antidumping proceeding (*i.e.*, investigation, administrative review, new shipper review or changed circumstances review). For any company subject to this review, if the Department determined, or continued to treat, that company as collapsed with others, the Department will assume that such companies continue to operate in the same manner and will collapse them for respondent selection purposes. Otherwise, the Department will not collapse companies for purposes of respondent selection. Parties are requested to (a) identify which companies subject to review previously were collapsed, and (b) provide a citation to the proceeding in which they were collapsed. Further, if companies are requested to complete the Quantity and Value Questionnaire for purposes of respondent selection, in general each company must report volume and value data separately for itself. Parties should not include data for any other party, even if they believe they should be treated as a single entity with that other party. If a company was collapsed with another company or companies in the most recently completed segment of this proceeding where the Department considered collapsing that entity, complete quantity and value data for that collapsed entity must be submitted.

Deadline for Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), a party that requests a review may withdraw that request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. The regulation provides that the Department may extend this time if it is reasonable to do so. In order to provide parties

additional certainty with respect to when the Department will exercise its discretion to extend this 90-day deadline, interested parties are advised that, with regard to reviews requested on the basis of anniversary months on or after December 2014, the Department does not intend to extend the 90-day deadline unless the requestor demonstrates that an extraordinary

circumstance prevented it from submitting a timely withdrawal request. Determinations by the Department to extend the 90-day deadline will be made on a case-by-case basis.

The Department is providing this notice on its Web site, as well as in its "Opportunity to Request Administrative Review" notices, so that interested parties will be aware of the manner in

which the Department intends to exercise its discretion in the future.

Opportunity To Request A Review: Not later than the last day of December 2014,¹ interested parties may request administrative review of the following orders, findings, or suspended investigations, with anniversary dates in December for the following periods:

	Period of review
Antidumping Duty Proceedings	
Brazil: Carbon Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings, A-351-602	12/1/13-11/30/14
Chile: Certain Preserved Mushrooms, A-337-804	12/1/13-11/30/14
India:	
Carbazole Violet Pigment 23, A-533-838	12/1/13-11/30/14
Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products, A-533-820	12/1/13-11/30/14
Commodity Matchbooks, A-533-848	12/1/13-11/30/14
Stainless Steel Wire Rod, A-533-808	12/1/13-11/30/14
Indonesia: Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products, A-560-812	12/1/13-11/30/14
Japan:	
Prestressed Concrete Steel Wire Strand, A-588-068	12/1/13-11/30/14
Welded Large Diameter Line Pipe, A-588-857	12/1/13-11/30/14
Republic of Korea: Welded ASTM A-312 Stainless Pipe, A-580-810	12/1/13-11/30/14
Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Uncovered Innerspring Units, A-552-803	12/1/13-11/30/14
South Africa: Uncovered Innerspring Units, A-791-821	12/1/13-11/30/14
Taiwan:	
Carbon Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings, A-583-605	12/1/13-11/30/14
Steel Wire Garment Hangers, A-583-849	12/1/13-11/30/14
Welded ASTM A-312 Stainless Steel Pipe, A-583-815	12/1/13-11/30/14
The People's Republic of China:	
Carbazole Violet Pigment 23, A-570-892	12/1/13-11/30/14
Cased Pencils, A-570-827	12/1/13-11/30/14
Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether Or Not Assembled into Modules, A-570-979	12/1/13-11/30/14
Hand Trucks and Parts Thereof, A-570-891	12/1/13-11/30/14
Honey, A-570-863	12/1/13-11/30/14
Malleable Cast Iron Pipe Fittings, A-570-881	12/1/13-11/30/14
Multilayered Wood Flooring, A-570-970	12/1/13-11/30/14
Porcelain-On-Steel Cooking Ware, A-570-506	12/1/13-11/30/14
Silicomanganese, A-570-828	12/1/13-11/30/14
Countervailing Duty Proceedings	
India:	
Carbazole Violet Pigment 23, C-533-839	1/1/13-12/31/13
Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products, C-533-821	1/1/13-12/31/13
Commodity Matchbooks, C-533-849	1/1/13-12/31/13
Indonesia: Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products, C-560-813	1/1/13-12/31/13
Thailand: Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products, C-549-818	1/1/13-12/31/13
The People's Republic of China:	
Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether Or Not Assembled into Modules, C-570-980	1/1/13-12/31/13
Multilayered Wood Flooring, C-570-971	1/1/13-12/31/13

Suspension Agreements

None

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), an interested party as defined by section 771(9) of the Act may request in writing that the Secretary conduct an administrative review. For both antidumping and countervailing duty reviews, the interested party must specify the individual producers or exporters covered by an antidumping finding or an antidumping or countervailing duty order or suspension agreement for which it is requesting a

review. In addition, a domestic interested party or an interested party described in section 771(9)(B) of the Act must state why it desires the Secretary to review those particular producers or exporters. If the interested party intends for the Secretary to review sales of merchandise by an exporter (or a producer if that producer also exports merchandise from other suppliers) which was produced in more than one country of origin and each country of origin is subject to a separate order, then the interested party must state

specifically, on an order-by-order basis, which exporter(s) the request is intended to cover.

Note that, for any party the Department was unable to locate in prior segments, the Department will not accept a request for an administrative review of that party absent new information as to the party's location. Moreover, if the interested party who files a request for review is unable to locate the producer or exporter for which it requested the review, the interested party must provide an

¹ Or the next business day, if the deadline falls on a weekend, federal holiday or any other day when the Department is closed.

explanation of the attempts it made to locate the producer or exporter at the same time it files its request for review, in order for the Secretary to determine if the interested party's attempts were reasonable, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.303(f)(3)(ii).

As explained in *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003), and *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011) the Department clarified its practice with respect to the collection of final antidumping duties on imports of merchandise where intermediate firms are involved. The public should be aware of this clarification in determining whether to request an administrative review of merchandise subject to antidumping findings and orders.²

Further, as explained in *Antidumping Proceedings: Announcement of Change in Department Practice for Respondent Selection in Antidumping Duty Proceedings and Conditional Review of the Nonmarket Economy Entity in NME Antidumping Duty Proceedings*, 78 FR 65963 (November 4, 2013), the Department clarified its practice with regard to the conditional review of the non-market economy (NME) entity in administrative reviews of antidumping duty orders. The Department will no longer consider the NME entity as an exporter conditionally subject to administrative reviews. Accordingly, the NME entity will not be under review unless the Department specifically receives a request for, or self-initiates, a review of the NME entity.³ In administrative reviews of antidumping duty orders on merchandise from NME countries where a review of the NME entity has not been initiated, but where an individual exporter for which a review was initiated does not qualify for a separate rate, the Department will issue a final decision indicating that the company in question is part of the NME entity. However, in that situation, because no review of the NME entity was conducted, the NME entity's entries were not subject to the review and the rate for the NME entity is not subject to change as a result of that review (although the rate for the individual exporter may change as a function of the

finding that the exporter is part of the NME entity).

Following initiation of an antidumping administrative review when there is no review requested of the NME entity, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate entries for all exporters not named in the initiation notice, including those that were suspended at the NME entity rate.

All requests must be filed electronically in Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System ("IA ACCESS") on the IA ACCESS Web site at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov>.⁴ Further, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303(f)(1)(i), a copy of each request must be served on the petitioner and each exporter or producer specified in the request.

The Department will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of "Initiation of Administrative Review of Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation" for requests received by the last day of December 2014. If the Department does not receive, by the last day of December 2014, a request for review of entries covered by an order, finding, or suspended investigation listed in this notice and for the period identified above, the Department will instruct CBP to assess antidumping or countervailing duties on those entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of (or bond for) estimated antidumping or countervailing duties required on those entries at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption and to continue to collect the cash deposit previously ordered.

For the first administrative review of any order, there will be no assessment of antidumping or countervailing duties on entries of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the relevant provisional-measures "gap" period of the order, if such a gap period is applicable to the period of review.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: November 19, 2014.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2014-28413 Filed 12-1-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

² See also the Enforcement and Compliance Web site at <http://trade.gov/enforcement/>.

³ In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(1), parties should specify that they are requesting a review of entries from exporters comprising the entity, and to the extent possible, include the names of such exporters in their request.

⁴ See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Electronic Filing Procedures; Administrative Protective Order Procedures*, 76 FR 39263 (July 6, 2011).

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-840]

Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review: Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From India

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On October 10, 2014, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated a changed circumstances review and published a notice of preliminary results of changed circumstances review of the antidumping duty order on certain frozen warmwater shrimp (shrimp) from India.¹ In that notice, we preliminarily determined that Premier Marine Products Private Limited (PPL) is the successor-in-interest to Premier Marine Products (PMP) for purposes of determining antidumping duty cash deposits and liabilities. No interested party submitted comments on, or requested a public hearing to discuss, the *Initiation and Preliminary Results*. For these final results, the Department continues to find that PPL is the successor-in-interest to PMP.

DATES: *Effective Date:* December 2, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Blaine Wiltse or Stephen Banea, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-6345 or (202) 482-0656, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 22, 2014, PPL requested that the Department conduct an expedited changed circumstances review under 19 CFR 351.221(c)(3)(iii) to confirm that PPL is the successor-in-interest to PMP for purposes of determining antidumping duty cash deposits and liabilities.

On October 10, 2014, the Department initiated this changed circumstances review and published the notice of preliminary results, determining that PPL is the successor-in-interest to PMP. See *Initiation and Preliminary Results*, 79 FR at 61290. In the *Initiation and Preliminary Results*, we provided all

¹ See *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from India: Initiation and Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review*, 79 FR 61290 (October 10, 2014) (*Initiation and Preliminary Results*).