

Contact Lenses.” Released and content current as of October 8, 2019. <https://www.fda.gov/inspections-compliance-enforcement-and-criminal-investigations/press-releases/pensacola-woman-pleads-guilty-selling-counterfeit-contact-lenses>.

\*21. CDC. “National Diabetes Statistics Report: 2020: Estimates of Diabetes and Its Burden in the United States.” Accessed March 29, 2022. <https://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/pdfs/data/statistics/national-diabetes-statistics-report.pdf>.

\*22. FDA. “The FDA Warns Against Use of Previously Owned Test Strips or Test Strips Not Authorized for Sale in the United States: FDA Safety Communication.” Issued April 8, 2019. Content current as of April 8, 2019. <https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-warns-about-risks-using-home-use-test-strips-are-pre-owned-or-not-authorized-sale-us-including>.

\*23. Id.

\*24. FDA. Office of Regulatory Affairs Reporting, Analysis, and Decision Support System (ORADSS). 2022 data as of July 12, 2022.

25. FDA. Administrative Destruction: Preliminary—Regulatory Impact Analysis, Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis, Unfunded Mandates Reform Act Analysis, 2022. <https://www.fda.gov/about-fda/reports/economic-impact-analyses-fda-regulations>.

## List of Subjects in 21 CFR Part 1

Cosmetics, Drugs, Exports, Food labeling, Imports, Labeling, Reporting, and recordkeeping requirements.

Therefore, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs, 21 CFR part 1 is proposed to be amended as follows:

## PART 1—GENERAL ENFORCEMENT REGULATIONS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 1 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 15 U.S.C. 1333, 1453, 1454, 1455, 4402; 19 U.S.C. 1490, 1491; 21 U.S.C. 321, 331, 332, 333, 334, 335a, 342, 343, 350c, 350d, 350e, 350j, 350k, 352, 355, 360b, 360ccc, 360ccc–1, 360ccc–2, 362, 371, 373, 374, 379j–31, 381, 382, 384, 384a, 384b, 384d, 387, 387a, 387c, 393; 42 U.S.C. 216, 241, 243, 262, 264, 271; Pub. L. 107–188, 116 Stat. 594, 668–69; Pub. L. 111–353, 124 Stat. 3885, 3889.

■ 2. In § 1.94 revise paragraphs (a) and (c) to read as follows:

### § 1.94 Hearing on refusal of admission or destruction.

(a) If it appears that the article may be subject to refusal of admission or that the article is a drug or device that may be subject to destruction under section 801(a) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, the division director shall give the owner or consignee a written or electronic notice to that effect, stating the reasons therefor. The notice shall specify a place and a period of time

during which the owner or consignee shall have an opportunity to introduce testimony. Upon timely request giving reasonable grounds therefor, such time and place may be changed. Such testimony shall be confined to matters relevant to the admissibility or destruction of the article, and may be introduced orally or in writing.

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) If the article is a drug or device that may be subject to destruction under section 801(a) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, the division director may give the owner or consignee a single written or electronic notice that provides the notice of refusal of admission and the notice of destruction of an article described in paragraph (a) of this section. The division director may also combine the hearing on refusal of admission with the hearing on destruction of the article described in paragraph (a) of this section into a single proceeding.

Dated: September 30, 2022.

**Robert M. Califf,**

*Commissioner of Food and Drugs.*

[FR Doc. 2022–21809 Filed 10–6–22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4164–01–P**

## GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

### 41 CFR Part 105–64

[GSPMR Case 2022–105–1; Docket No. GSA–GSPMR–2022–0017; Sequence No. 1]

RIN 3090–AK62

### General Services Administration Property Management Regulations, (GSPMR), Enterprise Data & Privacy Management Office (IDE); Social Security Number Fraud Prevention

**AGENCY:** Enterprise Data & Privacy Management Office (IDE), General Services Administration (GSA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The General Service Administration (GSA) is proposing to amend GSA’s regulations under the Privacy Act. The revisions would clarify and update the language of procedural requirements pertaining to the inclusion of Social Security account numbers (SSNs) on documents that GSA sends by mail. These revisions are necessary to implement the Social Security Number Fraud Prevention Act of 2017, which restricts the inclusion of Social Security account Numbers (SSNs) on documents sent by mail by the Federal Government.

**DATES:** Interested parties should submit written comments to the Regulatory

Secretariat Division at the address shown below on or before December 6, 2022 to be considered in the formation of the final rule.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments in response to GSA–IDE case 2202–001 to: [Regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov): <https://www.regulations.gov>. Submit comments via the Federal eRulemaking portal by searching for “GSPMR Case 2022–105–1”. Select the link “Comment Now” that corresponds with GSPMR Case 2022–105–1. Follow the instructions provided at the “Comment Now” screen. Please include your name, company name (if any), and “GSPMR Case 2022–105–1” on your attached document. If your comment cannot be submitted using <https://www.regulations.gov>, call or email the points of contact in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document for alternate instructions.

**Instructions:** Please submit comments only and cite GSA–IDE Case 2202–001, in all correspondence related to this case. Comments received generally will be posted without change to <https://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal and/or business confidential information provided. To confirm receipt of your comment(s), please check <https://www.regulations.gov>, approximately two to three days after submission to verify posting.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Laura Gerhardt, Privacy Office, Enterprise Data & Privacy Management Office (IDE), General Services Administration, at 202–322–8246 or email [gsa.privacyact@gsa.gov](mailto:gsa.privacyact@gsa.gov) for clarification of content. For information pertaining to status or publication schedules, contact the Regulatory Secretariat Division at 202–501–4755 or [GSARegSec@gsa.gov](mailto:GSARegSec@gsa.gov). Please cite GSPMR Case 2022–105–1.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Background

The Social Security Number Fraud Prevention Act of 2017 (the Act) (Pub. L. 115–59; 42 U.S.C. 405 note), which was signed on September 15, 2017, restricts Federal agencies from including individuals’ SSNs on documents sent by mail, unless the head of the agency determines that the inclusion of the SSN on the document is necessary (section 2(a) of the Act). The Act requires agency heads to issue regulations specifying the circumstances under which inclusion of a SSN on a document sent by mail is necessary. These regulations, which must be issued not later than five years after the date of enactment, shall include instructions for the partial redaction of SSNs where feasible, and shall require that SSNs not

be visible on the outside of any package sent by mail (section 2(b) of the Act). This proposed rule would revise the Agency regulations under the Privacy Act (41 CFR part 105–64), consistent with these requirements in the Act. The proposed revisions would clarify the language of procedural requirements pertaining to the inclusion of SSNs on documents that the Agency sends by mail.

## II. Executive Orders 12866 and 13563

Executive Orders (E.O.s) 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess all costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety effects, distributive impacts, and equity). E.O. 13563 emphasizes the importance of quantifying both costs and benefits, of reducing costs, of harmonizing rules, and of promoting flexibility. This is not a significant regulatory action and, therefore, was not subject to review under section 6(b) of E.O. 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, dated September 30, 1993.

## III. Congressional Review Act

The Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (OIRA) has determined that this rule is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C. 804(2). Subtitle E of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (codified at 5 U.S.C. 801–808), also known as the Congressional Review Act or CRA, generally provides that before a “major rule” may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. The General Services Administration will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States. A major rule under the CRA cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**.

## IV. Regulatory Flexibility Act

GSA does not expect this proposed rule to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, *et seq.* This rule does not impose a requirement for small businesses to report or keep records on any of the requirements contained in this rule.

Therefore, an Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis has not been

performed. GSA invites comments from small business concerns and other interested parties on the expected impact of this rule on small entities.

GSA will also consider comments from small entities concerning the existing regulations in subparts affected by the rule in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 610. Interested parties must submit such comments separately and should cite 5 U.S.C. 610 (GSPMR Case 2022–105–1), in correspondence.

## V. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act does not apply because the changes to the GSA–IDE do not impose recordkeeping or information collection requirements, or the collection of information from offerors, contractors, or members of the public that require the approval of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under 44 U.S.C. 3501, *et seq.*

### List of Subjects in 41 CFR Part 105–64

Privacy.

**Laura Gerhardt,**

*Acting Chief Privacy Officer, Office of the Deputy Chief Information Officer, General Services Administration.*

Therefore, GSA proposes to amend 41 CFR part 105–64 as set forth below:

### PART 105–64—GSA PRIVACY ACT RULES

- 1. The authority citation for 41 CFR part 105–64 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 5 U.S.C. 552a.

- 2. Amend § 105–64.001 by adding in alphabetical order the definition “Un-redacted SSN Mailed Documents Listing” to read as follows:

#### § 105–64.001 What terms are defined in this part?

\* \* \* \* \*

*Un-redacted SSN Mailed Documents Listing (USMDL)* means the Agency approved list, as posted at [GSA PRIVACY WEBSITE], designating those documents for which the inclusion of the Social Security account number (SSN) is determined to be necessary to fulfill a compelling Agency business need when the documents are requested by individuals outside the Agency or other Federal agencies, as determined by the Administrator or their designee.

- 3. Amend § 105–64.107 by adding paragraph (c) to read as follows:

#### § 105–64.107 What standards of conduct apply to employees with privacy-related responsibilities?

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) In all documents sent by mail, employees shall redact SSNs if such

redaction is permissible. Where full redaction is not possible due to agency requirements, partial redaction to create a truncated SSN shall be preferred to no redaction. The following conditions must be met for the inclusion of an unredacted (full) SSN or partially redacted (truncated) SSN on any document sent by mail on behalf of the agency:

(1) The inclusion of the full SSN or truncated SSN of an individual must be required or authorized by law;

(2) The inclusion of the full SSN or truncated SSN of an individual must be determined by the Administrator or their designee to be necessary to fulfill a compelling Administration business need;

(3) The full SSN of an individual may be included only on documents listed on the USMDL; and

(4) The full SSN, the truncated SSN, or any part of the SSN of an individual must not be visible from the outside of the envelope or package.

[FR Doc. 2022–21506 Filed 10–6–22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6820–34–P**

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Part 73

[MB Docket No. 22–347; RM–11932; DA 22–1009; FR ID–106914]

### Television Broadcasting Services Lincoln, Nebraska

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission has before it a petition for rulemaking filed by The University of Nebraska (Petitioner), the licensee of noncommercial educational television station KUON–TV, channel \*12, Lincoln, Nebraska. The Petitioner requests the substitution of channel \*27 for channel \*12 at Lincoln in the Table of TV Allotments.

**DATES:** Comments must be filed on or before November 7, 2022 and reply comments on or before November 21, 2022.

**ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, Office of the Secretary, 45 L Street NE, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve counsel for the Petitioner as follows: Derek Teslik, Esq., Gray Miller Persh, 2233 Wisconsin Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20007.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Joyce Bernstein, Media Bureau, at (202)