administrative protection orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.34(d)(1997). Failure to timely notify the Department in writing of the return/destruction of APO material is a sanctionable violation.

This changed circumstances review, partial revocation of the antidumping duty order, and notice are in accordance with sections 751(b) and (d) and 782(h) of the Act and sections 351.216, 351.2221(c)(3), and 351.222(g) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: March 23, 2000.

Richard Moreland,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00–8287 Filed 4–4–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), Article 1904 Binational Panel Reviews: Notice of Termination of Panel Review

AGENCY: NAFTA Secretariat, United States Section, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of termination of the panel review of the final injury determination made by the International Trade Commission, respecting Live Cattle from Canada (Secretariat File No. USA/CDA-99-1904-07).

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Notice of Consent Motion to Terminate the Panel Review by the Complainant, the panel review is terminated as of March 15, 2000. Complaints were filed pursuant to Rule 39, Notices of Appearance were filed pursuant to Rule 40, however, no panel has been appointed. All 'participants'' in this review as defined in Rule 3 of the Rules of Procedure for Article 1904 Binational Panel Review have consented to the motion for termination. Pursuant to Rule 71(2) of the Rules of Procedure for Article 1904 Binational Panel Review, this panel review is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

review of final determinations in

Caratina L. Alston, United States
Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat, Suite
2061, 14th and Constitution Avenue,
Washington, DC 20230, (202) 482–5438.
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Chapter
19 of the North American Free-Trade
Agreement ("Agreement") establishes a
mechanism to replace domestic judicial

antidumping and countervailing duty cases involving imports from a NAFTA country with review by independent binational panels. When a Request for Panel Review is filed, a panel is established to act in place of national courts to review expeditiously the final determination to determine whether it conforms with the antidumping or countervailing duty law of the country that made the determination.

Under Article 1904 of the Agreement, which came into force on January 1, 1994, the Government of the United States, the Government of Canada and the Government of Mexico established Rules of Procedure for Article 1904 Binational Panel Reviews ("Rules"). These Rules were published in the Federal Register on February 23, 1994 (59 FR 8686). The panel review in this matter was requested and terminated pursuant to these Rules.

Dated: March 15, 2000.

Caratina L. Alston,

United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat. [FR Doc. 00–8288 Filed 4–4–00; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–GT–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 033100B]

Raised Footrope Whiting Trawl Exemption Requests and Notifications; Proposed Information Collection; Request for Comments

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Proposed collection; comment request.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before June 5, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Linda Engelmeier, Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 5327, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington DC 20230 (or via Internet at LEngelme@doc.gov).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Peter Christopher, NMFS, 1 Blackburn Drive, Gloucester, MA 01930, 978–281–9288.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

The Massachusetts Division of Marine Fisheries has been conducting an experimental fishery, referred to as the Raised Footrope Whiting Trawl Experimental Fishery (Raised Footrope Experiment), to allow trawlers to target whiting, red hake, dogfish and other small mesh species using a raised footrope trawl. The experiment was designed to assess the effectiveness of a raised footrope small mesh otter trawl in reducing bycatch of regulated multispecies. The proposed Framework Adjustment 35 to the Multispecies Fishery Management Plan will recommend that the Raised Footrope Experiment become a multispecies exempted fishery. The collection-ofinformation requirements are: (1) A request for a certificate to fish in the Raised Footrope Whiting Trawl Exemption; and (2) a notification of intention to withdraw from the Raised Footrope Whiting Trawl Exemption. Requests for a certificate identify the person, the vessel name, the permit number, and how long he/she intends to fish in the exemption area (no less than seven days but not more than four months).

II. Method of Collection

Requests and notifications are made by telephone.

III. Data

OMB Number: None. Form Number: None.

Type of Review: Regular submission. Affected Public: Business and other for-profit (commercial fishermen).

Estimated Number of Respondents: 288.

Estimated Time Per Response: 2 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 231 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: \$81.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the