

motion with respect to the '574 patent but not with respect to the Asserted Design Patents.

On August 17, 2021, the ALJ issued Order No. 38 denying Hyperice's motion to amend the complaint and the notice of investigation to reflect proper inventorship. That same day, the ALJ issued Order No. 39 granting OUII's motion to terminate the Asserted Design Patents for lack of standing. Hyperice filed a timely petition for review of Order No. 39 and OUII filed a response to the petition.

On November 22, 2021, the Commission determined to review in part Order No. 39 and, on review, affirm with modifications the ALJ's denial of limited relief under section 337(g)(1) as to the Defaulting Respondents. The Commission adopted Order No. 39's finding that Hyperice lacked standing to assert the Asserted Design Patents in this investigation. Accordingly, the Commission terminated the Asserted Design Patents from the investigation.

On August 20, 2021, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 40) granting in part Hyperice's motion for summary determination of violation of section 337. Specifically, the ID found: (1) That Hyperice established the importation requirement as to Defaulting Respondents Kinghood, Manybo, Shenzhen Infein, and Hong Kong Yongxu, but not Kula; (2) that Defaulting Respondents Kinghood, Manybo, Shenzhen Infein, and Hong Kong Yongxu infringe one or more of claims 1–7, 9, 14, and 15 of the '574 patent; (3) that Hyperice's domestic industry products practice at least one claim of the '574 patent; and (4) that Hyperice has proven that a domestic industry exists within the United States related to articles protected by that patent. Accordingly, the ALJ found that four of the five Defaulting Respondents have infringed one or more of claims 1–7, 9, 14, and 15 of the '574 patent in violation of section 337. No petitions for review of the ID were filed.

The ALJ concurrently issued a Recommended Determination ("RD") on the issues of remedy and bonding. The RD recommended the issuance of a GEO and a CDO against Kinghood and setting the bond during the period of Presidential review in the amount of one hundred percent (100%) of the entered value.

On October 20, 2021, the Commission determined to review the ID in part and requested briefing on one issue it determined to review, and on remedy, the public interest, and bonding. 86 FR 59187 (Oct. 26, 2021). Specifically, the Commission determined to review the ID's finding that Hyperice satisfied the

economic prong of the domestic industry requirement with respect to the '574 patent. The Commission adopted the ID's findings that Hyperice provided undisputed evidence that Kinghood's, Manybo's, and Shenzhen Infein's accused products infringe claims 1–7, 9, 14 and 15 of the '574 patent and that Hong Kong Yongxu's accused products infringe claims 1–7, 14 and 15 of the '574 patent. Although Hyperice provided undisputed evidence that Kula's accused products infringe claims 1–7, 9, 14 and 15 of the '574 patent, the Commission adopted the ID's finding that there is insufficient evidence of importation of Kula's accused products. On November 3, 2021, Hyperice and OUII filed their initial written submissions regarding the issue on review, and on remedy, the public interest, and bonding. OUII further filed a response brief on November 10, 2021.

Having examined the record of this investigation, including the ID and the submissions received, the Commission has determined to affirm the ID's finding that Hyperice satisfied the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement as to the '574 patent.² Accordingly, the Commission finds a violation of section 337 as to respondents Kinghood, Manybo, Shenzhen Infein, and Hong Kong Yongxu with respect to the '574 patent.

The Commission has determined that the appropriate remedy in this investigation is: (1) A GEO prohibiting the unlicensed importation of therapeutic handheld percussive massage devices for applying percussive massage to a person's body that infringe one or more of claims 1–7, 9, 14, and 15 of the '574 patent; and (2) a CDO prohibiting respondent Kinghood from further importing, selling, and distributing infringing products in the United States. The Commission has also determined that the public interest factors enumerated in paragraphs 337(d)(1) and (f)(1), 19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1) and (f)(1), do not preclude issuance of these remedial orders. Finally, the Commission has determined that the bond during the period of Presidential review pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337(j) shall be in the amount of one hundred percent (100%) of the entered value of the imported articles. The Commission's order was delivered to the President and to the United States Trade Representative on the day of its issuance. The investigation is hereby terminated.

² Chair Kearns does not join his colleagues in finding the economic prong requirement met under section 337(a)(3)(B), and therefore does not find a violation of section 337.

Commissioners Karpel and Schmidlein would issue CDOs directed to respondents Kinghood, Manybo, Shenzhen Infein, Kula, and Hong Kong Yongxu pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337(g)(1).

While temporary remote operating procedures are in place in response to COVID–19, the Office of the Secretary is not able to serve parties that have not retained counsel or otherwise provided a point of contact for electronic service. Accordingly, pursuant to Commission Rules 201.16(a) and 210.7(a)(1) (19 CFR 201.16(a), 210.7(a)(1)), the Commission orders that the Complainant complete service for any party without a method of electronic service noted on the attached Certificate of Service and shall file proof of service on the Electronic Document Information System (EDIS).

The Commission vote for this determination took place on December 16, 2021.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR part 210.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 16, 2021.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2021–27700 Filed 12–21–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1228]

Certain Automated Storage and Retrieval Systems, Robots, and Components Thereof Notice of Request for Submissions on the Public Interest

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that on December 13, 2021, the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") issued an Initial Determination on Violation of Section 337. The ALJ also issued a Recommended Determination on remedy and bonding should a violation be found in the above-captioned investigation. The Commission is soliciting submissions on public interest issues raised by the recommended relief should the Commission find a violation. This notice is soliciting comments from the public only.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cathy Chen, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2392. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 provides that, if the Commission finds a violation, it shall exclude the articles concerned from the United States:

unless, after considering the effect of such exclusion upon the public health and welfare, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, and United States consumers, it finds that such articles should not be excluded from entry.

19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1).

The Commission is soliciting submissions on public interest issues raised by the recommended relief should the Commission find a violation, specifically: A limited exclusion order directed to infringing articles imported, sold for importation, and/or sold after importation. The ALJ does not recommend a cease and desist order against Respondents Ocado Group Plc, Ocado Solutions Ltd., Ocado Solutions USA Inc., Ocado Innovation Ltd., Ocado Operating Ltd., and Ocado Central Services Ltd. Parties are to file public interest submissions pursuant to 19 CFR 210.50(a)(4).

The Commission is interested in further development of the record on the public interest in this investigation. Accordingly, members of the public are invited to file submissions of no more than five (5) pages, inclusive of attachments, concerning the public interest in light of the ALJ's Recommended Determination on Remedy and Bonding issued in this investigation on December 13, 2021. Comments should address whether issuance of the recommended remedial order in this investigation, should the Commission find a violation, would affect the public health and welfare in the United States, competitive conditions in the United States

economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or United States consumers.

In particular, the Commission is interested in comments that:

(i) explain how the articles potentially subject to the recommended remedial order are used in the United States;

(ii) identify any public health, safety, or welfare concerns in the United States relating to the recommended order;

(iii) identify like or directly competitive articles that complainant, its licensees, or third parties make in the United States which could replace the subject articles if they were to be excluded;

(iv) indicate whether complainant, complainant's licensees, and/or third-party suppliers have the capacity to replace the volume of articles potentially subject to the recommended order within a commercially reasonable time; and

(v) explain how the recommended order would impact consumers in the United States.

Written submissions must be filed no later than by close of business on Friday, January 14, 2022.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document electronically on or before the deadlines stated above. The Commission's paper filing requirements in 19 CFR 210.4(f) are currently waived. 85 FR 15798 (March 19, 2020). Submissions should refer to the investigation number ("Inv. No. 337–TA–1228") in a prominent place on the cover page and/or the first page. (See *Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures*, https://www.usitc.gov/documents/handbook_on_filing_procedures.pdf). Persons with questions regarding filing should contact the Secretary (202–205–2000).

Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment by marking each document with a header indicating that the document contains confidential information. This marking will be deemed to satisfy the request procedure set forth in Rules 201.6(b) and 210.5(e)(2) (19 CFR 201.6(b) & 210.5(e)(2)). Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. A redacted non-confidential version of the document must also be filed simultaneously with any confidential filing. All information, including confidential business information and documents for which confidential treatment is properly sought, submitted to the Commission for purposes of this investigation may be

disclosed to and used: (i) By the Commission, its employees and Offices, and contract personnel (a) for developing or maintaining the records of this or a related proceeding, or (b) in internal investigations, audits, reviews, and evaluations relating to the programs, personnel, and operations of the Commission including under 5 U.S.C. Appendix 3; or (ii) by U.S. government employees and contract personnel, solely for cybersecurity purposes. All contract personnel will sign appropriate nondisclosure agreements. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection on EDIS.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 17, 2021.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2021–27757 Filed 12–21–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives

[OMB Number 1140–0071]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection of eComments Requested; Extension With Change of a Currently Approved Collection; Notification to Fire Safety Authority of Storage of Explosive Materials

AGENCY: Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, Department of Justice.

ACTION: 30-Day notice.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF), Department of Justice (DOJ), will submit the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for an additional 30 days until January 21, 2022.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain. Find this particular information collection by selecting