Spokane Valley, WA, 99212, or call (509) 536–1200.

Dated June 13, 2008.

Robert B. Towne,

District Manager.

[FR Doc. E8–13847 Filed 6–18–08; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[CO-200-0777-XZ-241A]

Notice of Meeting, Front Range Resource Advisory Council (Colorado)

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management,

Interior.

ACTION: Notice of Public Meeting.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA) and the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (FACA), the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Front Range Resource Advisory Council (RAC) will meet as indicated below.

DATES: The meeting will be held July 15, 2008 from 9:15 a.m. to 4 p.m.

ADDRESSES: Bureau of Land Management Royal Gorge Field Office, 3028 East Main Street, Canon City, Colorado 81212.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Dow, (719) 269–8559.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The 15member Council advises the Secretary of the Interior, through the Bureau of Land Management, on a variety of planning and management issues associated with public land management in the Royal Gorge Field Office and San Luis Valley, Colorado. Planned agenda topics include: Manager updates on current land management issues including presentations and discussions on the South Park Land Tenure Adjustment Plan Amendment-Environmental Assessment, Implementation of the Arkansas River Travel Management Plan and the Over the River project.

All meetings are open to the public. The public is encouraged to make oral comments to the Council at 9:30 a.m. or written statements may be submitted for the Council's consideration. Depending on the number of persons wishing to comment and time available, the time for individual oral comments may be limited. Summary minutes for the Council Meeting will be maintained in the Royal Gorge Field Office and will be available for public inspection and reproduction during regular business hours within thirty (30) days following

the meeting. Meeting Minutes and agenda (10 days prior to each meeting) are also available at: http://www.blm.gov/rac/co/frrac/co_fr.htm.

Dated: June 11, 2008.

Roy L. Masinton,

Field Manager, Royal Gorge Field Office. [FR Doc. E8–13852 Filed 6–18–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–JB–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-533]

In the Matter of Certain Rubber Antidegradants, Components Thereof, and Products Containing Same; Notice Regarding Remand Proceeding

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice clarifies that the parties to the remand proceeding which was the subject of the Commission's June 3, 2008, notice and order are complainant Flexsys America L.P., respondents Sinorgchem Co. and Sovereign Chemical Company, and the Commission investigative attorney.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James A. Worth, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3065. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov) The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http:// edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on March 29, 2005, based on a complaint brought by Flexsys America L.P. ("Flexsys"), alleging a violation of section 337 in the importation, the sale for importation, or the sale after importation of certain rubber antidegradants, components thereof, or products containing same by reason of

infringement of claims 30 or 61 of U.S. Patent No. 5,117,063 ("the '063 patent"), or claims 7 or 11 of U.S. Patent No. 5,608,111 ("the '111 patent"), or claims 1, 32, or 40 of U.S. Patent No. 6,140,538 ("the '538 patent"). 70 FR 15,855 (Mar. 29, 2005). The patents teach processes for the production of 4–ADPA and alkylated derivatives of 4–ADPA. One of these alkylated derivatives, 6–PPD, is used to prevent the degradation of rubber.

The complaint named as respondents Sinorgchem Co. ("Sinorgchem") of Shandong, China, as well as Sovereign Chemical Company ("Sovereign"), Korea Kumho Petrochemical Co., Ltd. ("KKPC"), Vilax Corporation ("Vilax"), and Stolt-Nielson Transportation Group Ltd. ("Stolt-Nielson"). It was alleged that the accused rubber antidegradant products were made using the patented processes. The investigation was terminated with regard to the '538 patent, and with regard to Vilax and Stolt-Nielson.

On February 16, 2006, the ALJ issued his final initial determination ("final ID" or "ID"). The ALJ found that Sinorgchem and Sovereign had violated section 337 by infringing the asserted claims of the '063 and '111 patents, but found that KKPC had not. All parties petitioned for review of various parts of the final ID.

The Commission reviewed the ALJ's final ID in its entirety, and solicited further briefing from the parties on the issues on review, as well as the on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. 71 FR 20131 (April 19, 2006). On review, the Commission found the asserted claims to be infringed by Sinorgchem and Sovereign, made a determination of violation of section 337 by Sinorgchem and Sovereign, and issued a limited exclusion order. The limited exclusion order barred the unauthorized importation into the United States by Sinorgchem and Sovereign of 4-ADPA, made by a process covered by claim 30 of the '063 patent or claim 7 of the '111 patent, and 6-PPD, made by a process covered by claim 61 of the '063 patent or claim 11 of the '111 patent.

Sinorgchem appealed the Commission's final determination of violation to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit ("Federal Circuit"). Flexsys did not appeal the Commission's final determination that KKPC had not violated section 337. On December 21, 2007, the Federal Circuit issued its judgment vacating and remanding the Commission's final determination of violation for further proceedings consistent with the Court's opinion. Sinorgchem Co., Shandong v.