Notices

Federal Register

Vol. 87, No. 56

Wednesday, March 23, 2022

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-533-902]

Organic Soybean Meal From India: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that countervailable subsidies are being provided to producers and exporters of organic soybean meal from India.

DATES: Applicable March 23, 2022.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Chien-Min Yang, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5484.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 3, 2021, Commerce published the *Preliminary Determination* in the **Federal Register**. ¹ In the *Preliminary Determination*, and in accordance with section 705(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930 as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(4), Commerce aligned the final determination of this CVD investigation with the final determination in the companion antidumping duty investigation of organic soybean meal from India. On December 8, 2021, Commerce released its Post-Preliminary

Analysis.² For a complete description of the events that followed the Preliminary Determination and Post-Preliminary Analysis, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.³ The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at https://access.trade.gov. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at https://access.trade.gov/ public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx.

Period of Investigation

The period of investigation is January 1, 2020, through December 31, 2020.

Scope of the Investigation

The product covered by this investigation is organic soybean meal from India. For a complete description of the scope of this investigation, *see* Appendix I.

Scope Comments

During the course of this investigation, Commerce did not receive scope comments from any interested parties. Thus, Commerce is not modifying the scope language as it appeared in the *Preliminary Determination*. See Appendix I for the final scope of the investigation.

Analysis of Subsidy Programs and Comments Received

The subsidy programs under investigation, and the issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties in this investigation, are discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. For a list of the issues raised by parties, and to which we responded in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, see Appendix II of this notice.

Methodology

Commerce conducted this investigation in accordance with section 701 of the Act. For each of the subsidy programs found countervailable,

Commerce determines that there is a subsidy, *i.e.*, a financial contribution by an "authority" that gives rise to a benefit to the recipient, and that the subsidy is specific. For a full description of the methodology underlying our final determination, *see* the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

In making this final determination, Commerce relied, in part, on the facts otherwise available on the record pursuant to section 776(a) of the Act. Additionally, as discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, because the Government of India and various producers or exporters of subject merchandise did not act to the best of their abilities in responding to our requests for information, we drew adverse inferences, where appropriate, in selecting from among the facts otherwise available, pursuant to section 776(b) of the Act. For further information, see the section "Use of Facts Otherwise Available and Adverse Inferences" in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Verification

Commerce was unable to conduct onsite verification of the information relied upon in making its final determination in this investigation. However, we took additional steps in lieu of on-site verification to verify the information relied upon in making this final determination, in accordance with section 782(i) of the Act.⁵

Changes Since the Preliminary Determination

Based on our review and analysis of the comments received from parties, we made certain changes to the subsidy rate calculations for Bergwerff Organic India Private Limited (Bergwerff), All Others rate and the calculation of AFA rates. For a discussion of these changes, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

All-Others Rate

In this investigation, the only individually calculated rate that is not

¹ See Organic Soybean Meal from India: Preliminary Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination and Alignment of Final Determination with Final Antidumping Duty Determination, 86 FR 49514 (September 3, 2021) and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).

 $^{^2}$ See Memorandum, "Post-Preliminary Analysis Memorandum," dated December 8, 2021.

³ See Memorandum, "Issues and Decisions Memorandum for the Final Affirmative Determination of the Countervailing Duty Investigation of Organic Soybean Meal from India," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decisions Memorandum).

⁴ See sections 771(5)(B) and (D) of the Act regarding financial contribution; section 771(5)(E) of the Act regarding benefit; and section 771(5A) of the Act regarding specificity.

⁵ See Commerce's Letter, "Countervailing Duty Investigation of Organic Soybean Meal From India: In Lieu of On-Site Verification Questionnaire," dated December 15, 2021; see also Bergwerff's Letter, "Organic Soybean Meal From India: In Lieu of Onsite Verification Questionnaire Response," dated December 22, 2021.

zero, de minimis or based entirely on facts otherwise available is the rate calculated for Bergwerff. Consequently, the rate calculated for Bergwerff is also assigned as the rate for all other producers and exporters not individually examined in this investigation.

Final Determination

Commerce determines the total estimated net countervailable subsidy rates to be:

Company	Subsidy rate (percent)
Bergwerff Organic India Private Limited 6	9.57
Shanti Worldwide	283.91
Shri Sumati Oil Industries Pvt. Ltd	283.91
Navivot International Pvt. Ltd	283.91
Ish Agritech Pvt. Ltd 7	283.91
Satguru Organics Pvt. Ltd 8	283.91
Radiance Overseas 9	283.91
Swastik Enterprises 10	283.91
Soni Soya Products Limited 11	283.91
Raj Foods International 12	283.91
Vantage Organic Foods Pvt. Ltd ¹³	283.91
Shree Bhagwati Oil Mill 14	283.91
Pragati Organics 15	283.91
All Öthers	9.57

Disclosure

Commerce intends to disclose to interested parties its calculations and analysis performed in this final determination within five days of its public announcement, or if there is no public announcement, within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Continuation of Suspension of Liquidation

As a result of our Preliminary Determination, and pursuant to sections 703(d)(1)(B) and (d)(2) of the Act, Commerce instructed U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to suspend liquidation of entries of subject merchandise as described in the scope of the investigation section entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after September 3, 2021, the date of publication of the Preliminary Determination in the Federal Register. In accordance with section 703(d) of the Act, effective January 1, 2022, we instructed CBP to discontinue the suspension of liquidation of all entries at that time, but to continue the suspension of

liquidation of all entries from September 3, 2021, through December 31, 2021.

If the U.S. International Trade Commission (ITC) issues a final affirmative injury determination, we will issue a CVD order and require a cash deposit of estimated countervailing duties for such entries of subject merchandise in the amounts indicated above, in accordance with section 706(a) of the Act. If the ITC determines that material injury, or threat of material injury, does not exist, this proceeding will be terminated, and all estimated duties deposited or securities posted as a result of the suspension of liquidation will be refunded or canceled.

ITC Notification

In accordance with section 705(d) of the Act, Commerce will notify the ITC of its final affirmative determination that countervailable subsidies are being provided to producers and exporters of organic soybean meal from India. As Commerce's final determination is affirmative, in accordance with section 705(b) of the Act, the ITC will determine, within 45 days, whether the domestic industry in the United States is materially injured, or threatened with material injury. In addition, we are making available to the ITC all nonprivileged and nonproprietary information related to this investigation. We will allow the ITC access to all privileged and business proprietary information in our files, provided the ITC confirms that it will not disclose such information, either publicly or under an administrative protective order (APO), without the written consent of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Notification Regarding APO

In the event that the ITC issues a final negative injury determination, this notice will serve as the only reminder to parties subject to the APO of their responsibility concerning the destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

This determination is issued and published pursuant to sections 705(d) and 771(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.210(c).

Dated: March 17, 2022.

Lisa W. Wang,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

Scope of the Investigation

The merchandise subject to the investigation is certified organic soybean meal. Certified organic soybean meal results from the mechanical pressing of certified organic soybeans into ground products known as soybean cake, soybean chips, or soybean flakes, with or without oil residues. Soybean cake is the product after the extraction of part of the oil from soybeans. Sovbean chips and sovbean flakes are produced by cracking, heating, and flaking soybeans and reducing the oil content of the conditioned product. "Certified organic soybean meal" is certified by the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) National Organic Program (NOP) or equivalently certified to NOP standards or NOP-equivalent

⁶ As discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum, Commerce has found the following company to be cross-owned with Bergwerff: Suminter India Organics Private Limited.

⁷ See Preliminary Decision Memorandum at section VII, "Use of Facts Otherwise Available and Adverse Inferences."

⁸ *Id*.

⁹ Id.

¹⁰ Id.

¹¹ Id. ¹² Id.

¹³ Id

¹⁴ *Id*

¹⁵ Id.

standards under an existing organic equivalency or recognition agreement.

Certified organic soybean meal subject to this investigation has a protein content of 34 percent or higher.

Organic soybean meal that is otherwise subject to this investigation is included when incorporated in admixtures, including but not limited to prepared animal feeds. Only the organic soybean meal component of such admixture is covered by the scope of this investigation.

The products covered by this investigation are currently classified under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings: 1208.10.0010 and 2304.00.0000. Certified organic soybean meal may also enter under HTSUS 2309.90.1005, 2309.90.1015, 2309.90.1020, 2309.90.1030, 2309.90.1032, 2309.90.1035, 2309.90.1045, 2309.90.1050, and 2308.00.9890.

The HTSUS subheadings and specifications are provided for convenience and customs purposes; the written description of the scope is dispositive.

Appendix II

List of Topics Discussed in the Decision Memorandum:

I. Summary

II. Background

III. Scope of the Investigation

IV. Use of Facts Otherwise Available and Adverse Inferences: Non-Cooperative and Non-Responsive Companies

V. Subsidies Valuation

VI. Analysis of Programs

VII. Analysis of Comments

Comment 1: Whether Bergwerff Failed to Identify an Affiliated Supplier

Comment 2: Whether Commerce Should Apply Adverse Facts Available (AFA) to Bergwerff for Failing to Report Use of an Export Promotion Scheme

Comment 3: Whether Commerce Should Countervail the Duty Drawback Benefits Received by Bergwerff for Organic Soybeans

Comment 4: Whether Commerce Should Have Selected Additional Respondents for Individual Examination in this Investigation

Comment 5: Whether Commerce Should Apply Total AFA to Shanti Overseas (India) Ltd.

Comment 6: Whether Commerce Should Recalculate the Benefits Received Under the Duty-Free Importation of Capital Goods and Raw Materials, Components, Consumables, Intermediates, Spare Parts and Packing Material, and Exemption from Central Sales Tax (CST) on Purchases of Capital Goods and Raw Materials, Components, Consumables, Intermediates, Spare Parts, and Packing Material

Comment 7: Whether Commerce Should Countervail the Exemption from Payment of Central Sales Tax (CST) on Purchases of Capital Goods and Raw Materials, Components, Consumables, Intermediates, Spare Parts and Packing Materials

Comment 8: Whether Commerce Should Recalculate the Benefits Received Under the Merchandise Export Incentive Scheme (MEIS) Program

Comment 9: Whether Commerce Should Countervail the Pre-Shipment and Post-Shipment Export Financing Program

Comment 10: Whether Commerce Assigned the AFA Rate Twice for the SGMP Exemption from Electricity Duty and Cess on Electricity Supplied to a Special Economic Zone (SEZ) Unit Program

Comment 11: Whether Commerce Should Countervail the Advance Authorization Program (AAP) and the Duty Drawback (DDB) Program

Comment 12: Whether Commerce Should Apply AFA to the Non-Cooperative Mandatory Respondents that Withdrew from Participation in the Investigation

Comment 13: Whether Commerce Should Apply AFA to the Government of India (GOI)

Comment 14: Whether Commerce Correctly Initiated the Transportation and Marketing Assistance (TMA) for Special Agriculture Products

VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2022-06155 Filed 3-22-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

U.S. Section Membership Opportunities for the United States-India CEO Forum

AGENCY: International Trade Administration (ITA), Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice.

The Department of Commerce, ITA, is amending the Notice published at 87 FR 9318 (February 18, 2022), regarding the dates for submission of applications for appointment, or reappointment, to the U.S. Section of the U.S.-India CEO Forum. ITA will accept applications on a rolling basis for membership on the U.S. Section of the Forum for terms that will begin upon appointment and will expire on December 31, 2024. Immediate consideration will now be given to applications received by April 6, 2022. ITA will accept nominations under this notice on an on-going basis during the charter term to fill vacancies as they arise.

ADDRESSES: For inquiries and an application, please contact Noor Sclafani, International Trade Specialist, Office of South Asia, U.S. Department of Commerce, by email at noor.sclafani@trade.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Noor Sclafani, International Trade Specialist, Office of South Asia, U.S. Department of Commerce, telephone: (202) 823–1840. **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Please refer to Notice published at 87 FR 9318 (February 18, 2022).

Dated: March 18, 2022.

Jed Diemond,

Deputy Director, Office of South Asia. [FR Doc. 2022–06164 Filed 3–22–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-HE-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-831]

Fresh Garlic From the People's Republic of China: Final Results and Final Rescission, In Part, of the 26th Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2019–2020

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) has completed its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from the People's Republic of China (China) for the period of review (POR) November 1, 2019, through October 31, 2020. We determine that mandatory respondent, Jining Shunchang Import & Export Co., Ltd. (Shunchang) failed to establish its eligibility for a separate rate and, therefore, is part of the China-wide entity. We are rescinding the review with respect to Zhengzhou Harmoni Spice Co., Ltd. (Harmoni).

DATES: Applicable March 23, 2022.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jacob Saude, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0981.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 30, 2021, Commerce published the preliminary results of the twenty-sixth administrative review of fresh garlic from China.¹ No interested party submitted comments concerning the *Preliminary Results* or requested that a hearing be held. Commerce conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

¹ See Fresh Garlic from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results, Preliminary Rescission, and Final Rescission, In Part, of the 26th Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2019– 2020, 86 FR 67911 (November 30, 2021) (Preliminary Results), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.