issue, USEPA found that the Petitioner did not provide any information to show that USEPA had made inconsistent determinations or that IDEM's approach differed from USEPA's. In response to the second issue, USEPA found that the petitioner did not specify any information which demonstrated a conflict between IDEM's decisions and USEPA's Prevention of Significant Deterioration regulations. The Petitioner has 60 days from the date of this notice to file a petition in the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit.

Dated: August 25, 2006.

#### Norman Niedergang,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5. [FR Doc. E6–14853 Filed 9–7–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-8218-2]

## National Environmental Justice Advisory Council; Notice of Charter Renewal

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice of Charter Renewal.

The Charter for the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) National Environmental Justice Advisory Council (NEJAC) will be renewed for an additional two-year period, as a necessary committee which is in the public interest, in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), 5 U.S.C. App. 2 section 9(c). The purpose of the NEJAC is to provide advice and recommendations to the Administrator on issues associated with integrating environmental justice concerns into EPA's outreach activities, public policies, science, regulatory, enforcement, and compliance decisions.

It is determined that NEJAC is in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Agency by law.

Inquiries may be directed to Charles Lee, NEJAC Designated Federal Officer, U.S. EPA, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW. (Mail Code 2201A), Washington, DC 20460.

Dated: September 1, 2006.

## Barry E. Hill,

Director, Office of Environmental Justice, Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance.

[FR Doc. E6–14885 Filed 9–7–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6679-1]

# Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at 202–564–7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 7, 2006 (71 FR 17845).

## **Draft EISs**

EIS No. 20060138, ERP No. D–BLM– K65305–CA, United States Gypsum Expansion/Modernization Project, Expand and Upgrade Plaster City Plant to Increase Wallboard Production Capacity with Related Increases in Water Supply, Right-of-Way Grant, Imperial County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about impacts to watershed resources, including water quality and habitat, groundwater quality and quantity, and air quality and that these impacts should be avoided or mitigated. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20060205, ERP No. D–AFS– D65036–PA, Allegheny National Forest, Proposed Revised Land and Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Elk, Forest, McKean, and Warren Counties, PA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about potential adverse impacts to water quality and aquatic and terrestrial resources. Management activities of most concern include oil, gas, mineral extraction, and associated infrastructure, especially roads. The final EIS should further minimize impacts or include adequate mitigation measures to reduce sedimentation, habitat loss and fragmentation. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20060258, ERP No. D–FRC– L05236–OR, Clackamas River Hydroelectric Project, Application for Relicensing of a Existing 173 megawatt(MS) Project, (FERC No. 2195–011) Clackamas River Basin, Clackamas County, OR.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about potential exceedance of the temperature water quality standard and requested additional information on dissolved oxygen in salmonid spawning and rearing areas. Rating EC2.

#### **Final EISs**

EIS No. 20060254, ERP No. F-FHW-C40166-NY, Southtowns Connector/Buffalo Outer Harbor Project,
Improvements on the NY Route 5
Corridor from Buffalo Skyway Bridge to NY Route 179, in the City of Buffalo, City of Lackawanna and Town of Hamburg, Erie County, NY. Summary: EPA does not object to the

Summary: EPA does not object to the preferred alternative.

EIS No. 20060302, ERP No. F–NPS– E65077–FL, Fort King National Historic Landmark, Special Resource Study, Implementation, Second Seminole War Site, City of Ocala, Marion County, FL.

Summary: EPA does not object to the proposed project.

EIS No. 20060310, ERP No. F–IBR–G31003–NM, Long-Term
Miscellaneous Purposes Contract
Abstract, To Use Carlsbad Project
Water for Purposes Other than
Irrigation, Eddy County, NM.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

Dated: September 5, 2006.

#### Ken Mittelholtz,

Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. E6–14887 Filed 9–7–06; 8:45 am]

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6678-9]

# **Environmental Impacts Statements;** Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 or http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

Filed 08/28/2006 Through 09/01/2006 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 20060361, Draft Supplement, NRS, WV, Lost River Subwatershed of the Potomac River Watershed Project, Construction of Site 16 on Lower Cove Run and Deletion of Site 23 on Upper Cove Run, U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit, Hardy County, WV, Comment Period Ends: 10/25/ 2006, Contact: Ronald L. Hilliard 304– 284–7560.

EIS No. 20060362, Draft EIS, NOA, AK, Alaska Groundfish Harvest Specifications Project, Establish Harvest Strategy for the Bering Sea