

## Disclosure

Commerce intends to disclose the calculations performed for these final results of review within five days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

## Notification to Interested Parties

These final results are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: January 12, 2022.

**Lisa W. Wang,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

## Appendix I

### List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Changes Since the Preliminary Results
- IV. Scope of the Order
- V. Period of Review
- VI. Rescission of Administrative Review, in Part
- VII. Subsidies Valuation Information
- VIII. Analysis of Programs
- IX. Discussion of Comments
  - Comment 1: Whether Electricity Is Subsidized by the Government of the Republic of Korea (GOK)
  - Comment 2: Whether Commerce's Determination that Port Usage Rights Provide a Countervailable Benefit Is Unsupported by Evidence and Contrary to Law
  - Comment 3: Whether Commerce Incorrectly Countervailed the Reduction for Sewerage Usage Fees
  - Comment 4: Whether the Restructuring of KG Dongbu Steel's Existing Loans by GOK-Controlled Financial Institutions Constitutes a Financial Contribution and a Benefit to KG Dongbu Steel
  - Comment 5: Whether the Restructured Loans Provided to KG Dongbu Were Specific
  - Comment 6: Whether Commerce Should Use the Interest Rates From Loans Provided by Private Banks Participating in the Creditor Bank Committee as Benchmarks
  - Comment 7: Whether KG Dongbu Steel Is Equityworthy and the 2015–2018 Debt-to-Equity Swaps Should Be Countervailed
  - Comment 8: Whether Subsidies Prior to Dongbu Steel's Change in Ownership Pass Through to KG Dongbu Steel
  - Comment 9: Whether Commerce Incorrectly Calculated the Uncreditworthy Discount Rate Used for Allocating the Benefits From Long-Term Loans, Bonds, and Equity Infusions
  - Comment 10: Whether Commerce Incorrectly Calculated the Discount Rate for the 2019 Government Equity Infusion
- XI. Recommendation

## Appendix II

### List of Non-Selected Companies

1. Ajin H & S Co., Ltd.
2. AJU Steel Co., Ltd.
3. B&N International
4. CDS Global Logistics
5. Dong A Hwa Sung Co., Ltd.
6. Dongkuk International, Inc.
7. Korea Clad Tech. Co., Ltd.
8. Pantos Logistics Co., Ltd.
9. PL Special Steel Co., Ltd.
10. POSCO
11. POSCO C&C
12. POSCO Coated & Color Steel Co., Ltd.
13. POSCO Daewoo Corp.
14. Samsung C&T Corporation
15. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.
16. Sanglim Steel Co., Ltd.
17. SeAH Coated Metal
18. SeAH Steel Corporation
19. Seajin St. Industry, Ltd.
20. Sejung Shipping Co., Ltd.
21. Seun Steel Co., Ltd.
22. Segye Chemical Industry Co., Ltd.
23. Shandongsheng Cao Xian Yalu Mftd.
24. Shengzhou Hanshine Import and Export Trade
25. Soon Hong Trading Co., Ltd.
26. Southern Steel Sheet Co., Ltd.
27. SSangyong Manufacturing
28. Sung A Steel Co., Ltd.
29. SW Co., Ltd.
30. SY Co., Ltd.
31. Syon
32. TCC Steel. Co., Ltd.
33. Young Steel Korea Co., Ltd.
34. Young Sun Steel Co.
35. Young Steel Co.

[FR Doc. 2022–00939 Filed 1–18–22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C–533–884]

### Glycine From India: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2018–2019

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that countervailable subsidies are being provided to producers and exporters of glycine from India during the period of review (POR), September 4, 2018, through December 31, 2019.

**DATES:** Applicable January 19, 2022.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Davina Friedmann, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0698.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

## Background

On July 16, 2021, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of this administrative review in the **Federal Register**.<sup>1</sup> On October 21, 2021, Commerce extended the final results of review by 60 days, until January 12, 2022.<sup>2</sup> On November 16, 2021, Commerce issued a post-preliminary decision.<sup>3</sup> We invited parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results* and on the Post-Preliminary Decision. On November 29 and 30, 2021, case briefs were timely filed by GEO Specialty Chemicals, Inc. (the petitioner), Avid Organics Private Limited (Avid), and Kumar Industries, India (Kumar).<sup>4</sup> On December 6, 2021, timely rebuttal briefs were submitted to Commerce by the petitioner, Avid, Kumar and Paras Intermediates Private Limited (Paras).<sup>5</sup> For a full description of the events that occurred since the *Preliminary Results*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.<sup>6</sup>

## Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the order is glycine from India. For the complete description of the scope of the order, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

## Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in interested parties' briefs are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues raised by interested parties, and

<sup>1</sup> See *Glycine from India: Preliminary Results of the Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2018–2019*, 86 FR 37738 (July 16, 2021) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

<sup>2</sup> See Memorandum, “Glycine from India: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review,” dated October 21, 2021.

<sup>3</sup> See Memorandum, “Countervailing Duty Administrative Review of Glycine from India, 2018–2019: Post-Preliminary Decision,” dated November 16, 2021 (Post-Preliminary Decision).

<sup>4</sup> See Petitioner's Letter, “Glycine from India: GEO Specialty Chemical's Case Brief,” dated November 29, 2021; Avid's Letter, “Glycine from India: Case Brief—Avid Organic's Pvt. Ltd.,” dated November 29, 2021; and Kumar's Letter, “Certain Glycine from India (C–533–884) Kumar Industries—Case Brief,” dated November 30, 2021.

<sup>5</sup> See Petitioner's Letter, “Glycine from India: GEO Specialty Chemicals' Rebuttal Brief,” dated December 6, 2021; Avid's Letter, “Glycine from India: Rebuttal Brief—Avid Organics Pvt. Ltd.,” dated December 6, 2021; Kumar's Letter, “Certain Glycine from India (C–533–884) Kumar Industries—Rebuttal Brief,” dated December 6, 2021; and Paras' Letter, “Paras Intermediates Private Limited (“Paras”) Administrative Rebuttal Brief: Countervailing Duty Investigation on Glycine from India,” dated December 6, 2021.

<sup>6</sup> See Memorandum, “Glycine from India: Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2018–2019,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

to which Commerce responded in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, is provided in the appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout>.

### Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our review and analysis of the comments received from parties, we made certain changes to the net subsidy rates calculated for Avid and Kumar,

and for companies not selected for individual review. These changes are explained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

### Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). For each of the subsidy programs found countervailable, we find that there is a subsidy, *i.e.*, a government-provided financial contribution that gives rise to a benefit to the recipient, and the subsidy is specific.<sup>7</sup> For a full description of the methodology underlying all of Commerce's conclusions, *see* the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

### Companies Not Selected for Individual Review

For the companies not selected for individual examination, because the rates calculated for Avid and Kumar are above *de minimis* and not based entirely on facts available, we applied a subsidy rate based on a weighted-average of the subsidy rates calculated for Avid and Kumar using publicly ranged sales data submitted by the respondents.<sup>8</sup> This is consistent with the methodology that we would use in an investigation to establish the all-others rate, pursuant to section 705(c)(5)(A) of the Act.

### Final Results of Administrative Review

We determine the following net countervailable subsidy rates for the period September 4, 2018, through December 31, 2019:

Company	2018 Subsidy rate (percent <i>ad valorem</i> )	2019 Subsidy Rate (percent <i>ad valorem</i> )
Avid Organics Private Limited .....	5.01	5.16
Kumar Industries (India) .....	11.81	3.75
Mulji Mehta Enterprises .....	3.92	4.35
Mulji Mehta Pharma .....	3.92	4.35
Paras Intermediates Private Limited .....	3.92	4.35
Rudraa International .....	3.92	4.35
Studio Disrupt .....	3.92	4.35

### Disclosure

Commerce will disclose to the parties in this proceeding the calculations performed for these final results of review within five days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

### Assessment Rates

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(2), Commerce will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, countervailing duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review, for the above-listed companies at the applicable *ad valorem* assessment rates listed. Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**.<sup>9</sup> If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has

expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

### Cash Deposit Requirements

In accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act, Commerce intends to instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties in the amounts shown for each of the companies listed above on shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review. For all non-reviewed firms, Commerce will instruct CBP to continue to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties at the most recent company-specific or all-others rate applicable to the company, as appropriate. These cash deposit requirements, effective upon publication of these final results, shall remain in effect until further notice.

### Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the

destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

### Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these final results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: January 12, 2022.

**Lisa W. Wang,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

### Appendix

#### List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Rate for Non-Examined Companies Under Review

*Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Proceedings*, 86 FR 3995 (January 15, 2021).

<sup>7</sup> See sections 771(5)(B) and (D) of the Act regarding financial contribution; section 771(5)(E) of the Act regarding benefit; and section 771(5A) of the Act regarding specificity.

<sup>8</sup> See Memorandum, "Final Results Calculation of Subsidy Rate for Non-Examined Companies Under Review," dated concurrently with this notice.

<sup>9</sup> See *Notice of Discontinuation of Policy to Issue Liquidation Instructions After 15 days in Applicable*

## V. Changes Since the Preliminary Results

## VI. Subsidies Valuation

## VII. Analysis of Programs

## VIII. Analysis of Comments

Comment 1: Calculation of a Single Rate for the Period of Review Covering 2018 and 2019

Comment 2: Application of Adverse Facts Available (AFA) to Kumar

Comment 3: Pre- and Post-Shipment Finance Program: Interest Rate Benchmark for Kumar

Comment 4: Interest Equalization Scheme on Pre- and Post-Shipment Rupee-Denominated Export Credit Program: Sales Denominator for Kumar

Comment 5: Duty Drawback Program: Benefit Calculation for Avid

Comment 6: Interest Subsidy Under Scheme for Assistance of Micro, Small, and Medium-sized Enterprises (MSMEs) as per Gujarat Industrial Policy 2009: Benefit Calculation for Avid

Comment 7: Export Promotion Capital Goods Scheme: Benefit Calculation for Avid

## IX. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2022-00954 Filed 1-18-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## International Trade Administration

[A-580-874]

### Certain Steel Nails From the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2019–2020

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that producers and/or exporters subject to this administrative review made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value during the period of review (POR), July 1, 2019, through June 30, 2020.

**DATES:** Applicable January 19, 2022.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Eva Kim, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-8283.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On July 19, 2021, Commerce published the preliminary results of the 2019–2020 administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on certain steel nails from the Republic of Korea (Korea).<sup>1</sup> We invited interested parties

to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. A full description of the events since the *Preliminary Results* is contained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.<sup>2</sup> Commerce conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

#### Scope of the Order<sup>3</sup>

The products covered by the AD Order are steel nails from Korea. A full description of the scope of the Order is contained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

#### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs are listed in the appendix to this notice and addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

#### Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made no changes to the margin calculation for the sole mandatory respondent, Daejin Steel Company (Daejin), since the *Preliminary Results*.

#### Rate for Non-Examined Company

Generally, when calculating margins for non-selected respondents, Commerce looks to section 735(c)(5) of the Act for guidance, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others margin in an investigation. Section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act provides that when calculating the all-others margin, Commerce will exclude any zero and *de minimis* weighted average dumping margins, as well as any weighted-average dumping margins based on total facts available.

*Administrative Review and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2019–2020*, 86 FR 38015 (July 19, 2021) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

<sup>2</sup> See Memorandum, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Steel Nails from the Republic of Korea; 2019–2020,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

<sup>3</sup> See *Certain Steel Nails from the Republic of Korea, Malaysia, the Sultanate of Oman, Taiwan, and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Antidumping Duty Orders*, 80 FR 39994 (July 13, 2015) (Order).

Accordingly, Commerce's usual practice has been to average the margins for selected respondents, excluding margins that are zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available. In this review, we calculated a weighted-average dumping margin of 3.22 percent for Daejin, the sole mandatory respondent. In accordance with section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, Commerce assigned Daejin's calculated weighted-average dumping margin, *i.e.*, 3.22 percent, to the non-selected company in these final results. Accordingly, we have applied a rate of 3.22 percent to the non-selected company, *i.e.*, Koram Inc.

#### Final Results of Administrative Review

Commerce determines that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period July 1, 2019, through June 30, 2020:

Producer/exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Daejin Steel Company .....	3.22
Koram Inc .....	3.22

#### Disclosure

Commerce intends to disclose the calculations performed for these final results within five days of the date of publication of this notice, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

#### Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review. We intend to calculate importer- (or customer-) specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for each importer (or customer's) examined sales and the total entered value of the sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). Where an importer- (or customer-) specific rate is zero or *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

Commerce's “reseller policy” will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by companies included in these final results of review for which the reviewed companies did not know that the merchandise they sold to the intermediary (*e.g.*, a reseller, trading company, or exporter) was destined for the United States. In such

<sup>1</sup> See *Certain Steel Nails from the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty*