

verification media, credentials and security badges and maintaining associated databases.

- Records may be disclosed to Departmental contractors concerning their own current and former employees to facilitate the control and accountability of DOT identification and verification media, credential and security badges issued to contract employees.

- See Prefatory Statement of General Routine Uses.

DISCLOSURE TO CONSUMER REPORTING AGENCIES:

None.

POLICIES AND PRACTICES FOR STORING, RETRIEVING, ACCESSING, RETAINING, AND DISPOSING OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

STORAGE:

The records are maintained in an electronic database and may be on computer disks/chips, magnetic tape, and paper forms in file folders. The items of information set forth in the category of records section may be contained on an electronic computer chip or other media imbedded on the identification and verification medium of each employee, contractor, or other individual to whom the identification and verification media is issued.

RETRIEVABILITY:

Retrieval from the system is by name, social security number, date of birth, security clearance level, date of investigation, type of investigation, identification and verification media or record number, digital certificates, duty position location (POD), identification and verification media expiration or issue date, other fields as included in the Governmental SmartCard Interoperability Standard, or other category of records and can be accessed only by authorized individuals.

SAFEGUARDS:

Computers provide privacy and access limitations to records by requiring a user name and password match or equivalent safeguards such as biometrics and public key infrastructure (PKI) technology. Access to decentralized segments is similarly controlled. Only those personnel with a need to have access to the system are given user names and passwords or equivalent technology. Data are manually and/or electronically stored in a locked room with limited access.

The protection of the data/information and of the identification and verification media complies with NIST Standards; at no time will any data/information be placed on the

media in a manner less secure than its original source.

RETENTION AND DISPOSAL:

Hard copy of information including applications, photographs and identification media is destroyed immediately upon termination of employment and/or expiration of surrendered ID media. Inactive electronic records pertaining to applications, photographs, and identification media is removed from the video ID system monthly. The following schedules apply: General Records Schedule (GRS) 11, item 4, Space and Maintenance Records; and GRS 20, item 3a, Electronic Records.

SYSTEM MANAGER(S) AND ADDRESS:

a. Office of Security and Administrative Management, M-40, Department of Transportation, 400 7th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590 (for OST and all DOT agencies other than those listed below).

b. Commandant, G-O United States Coast Guard, Washington, DC 20593.

c. Director of Security and Investigations, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591.

d. Chief, Operations and Services Division, Federal Highway Administration, 400 7th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590.

NOTIFICATION PROCEDURE:

Same as System Manager. Correspondence contesting records must include the full name and social security number of the individual concerned and documentation justifying the claim.

RECORD ACCESS PROCEDURES:

Same as Notification procedure.

CONTESTING RECORD PROCEDURES:

Same as Notification procedure.

RECORD SOURCE CATEGORIES:

Individuals about whom the record is maintained, automated personnel systems maintained by DOT or any of its elements, and background and clearance investigation systems of records maintained by the DOT or any of its elements.

EXEMPTIONS CLAIMED FOR THE SYSTEM:

None.

Dated: September 30, 2002.

Yvonne L. Coates,

Privacy Act Coordinator.

[FR Doc. 02-25412 Filed 10-4-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-62-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Agency Information Collection Activity Under OMB Review

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), this notice announces that the Information Collection Request (ICR) abstracted below has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for extension of the currently approved collection. The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and the expected burden. The **Federal Register** Notice with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments on the following collection of information was published on June 12, 2002, page 40373.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before November 6, 2002. A comment to OMB is most effective if OMB receives it within 30 days of publication.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Judy Street on (202) 267-9895.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)

Title: Operating Requirements: Domestic, Flag, and Supplemental Operations—Part 121.

Type of Request: Extension of a currently approved collection.

OMB Control Number: 2120-0008.

Form(s): FAA Form 8070-1.

Affected Public: A total of 139 air operators.

Abstract: 14 CFR part 121 prescribes the requirements governing air carrier operators. The information collected is used to determine air operators' compliance with the minimum safety standards set out in the regulation and to determine the applicants' eligibility for air operations certification. The respondents include private businesses.

Estimated Annual Burden Hours: An estimated 1,273,247 hours annually.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503, Attention FAA Desk Officer.

Comments are invited on: Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; the accuracy of the Department's estimates of the

burden of the proposed information collection; ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Issued in Washington, DC, on September 30, 2002.

Judith D. Street,

FAA Information Collection Clearance Officer, Standards and Information Division, APF-100.

[FR Doc. 02-25472 Filed 10-4-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Intent To Prepare Draft and Final Environmental Impact Statements

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT

ACTION: Notice.

The Northwest Mountain Region, Airports Division, Federal Aviation Administration, acting as lead agency, intends to prepare Draft and Final Environmental Impact Statements (EIS) for the construction of a replacement airport at St. George, Utah.

Background

On January 30, 2001, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) issued a Record of Decision/Finding of No Significant Impact document for the construction of a replacement airport at St. George, Utah. On December 22, 2001, the Grand Canyon Trust filed suit against the FAA in the U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit. On May 24, 2002, the court issued its decision on the issues. In summary, the court found that "the FAA must evaluate the cumulative impact of noise pollution on the Park as a result of construction of the proposed replacement airport in light of air traffic near and over the Park, for whatever airport, air tours near or in the Park, and the acoustical data collected by the NPS in the Park in 1995 and 1998 mentioned in comments on the draft Environmental Assessment (EA)." The court remanded the case [to the FAA] "because the record is insufficient for the court to determine whether an EIS is required".

The purpose of the Draft and Final EIS's will be to address the court's issues and any other environmental issues that have changed since issuance of the final environmental assessment in January of 2001.

Proposed Action and Alternatives

The proposed action is the construction of a replacement airport at St. George, Utah. Alternatives to be evaluated include:

- a. No-Build (continue using the existing airport as is).
- b. Build a replacement airport at the preferred site (which is a combination of alternatives sites 1 and 1A), and
- c. Alternative sites 1, 1A, and 2 as described on pages 32-40 of the final EA.

Scoping Process

The proposed action was the subject of a Final Environmental Assessment (FEA) report prepared in January 2001. Persons wishing to review the FEA in order to better understand the proposed action or provide comments regarding environmental concerns may review the FEA at the following locations:

Federal Aviation Administration,
Airports Division, ANM-600, 1601
Lind Avenue, SW., Renton,
Washington, 98055-4056.
Denver Airports District Office, 26805 E.
68th Ave., Suite 224, Denver, CO
80249-6361.

City of St. George, Public Works Office,
175 East 200 North, St. George, UT
84770.

Washington County Library, St. George
Branch, 50 S. Main, St. George, Utah.

In order to insure that all significant issues related to the proposed action are identified and given consideration, letters containing environmental concerns must be received by Dennis Ossenkop, 1601 Lind Ave. SW., Suite 315, Renton, WA 98055-4056 by November 14, 2002.

Release of Draft EIS

Approximate Release of Draft EIS:
Unknown at this time.

Point of Contact for Information

Dennis Ossenkop, 1601 Lind Ave. SW.,
Suite 315, Renton, WA 98055-4056,
Telephone: 425-227-2611.

Dated: September 27, 2002.

Lowell H. Johnson,

Manager, Airports Division, Northwest Mountain Region.

[FR Doc. 02-25317 Filed 10-4-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) Approvals and Disapprovals

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Monthly Notice of PFC Approvals and Disapprovals. In July 2002, there were 11 applications approved. Additionally, four approved amendments to previously approved applications are listed.

SUMMARY: The FAA publishes a monthly notice, as appropriate, of PFC approvals and disapprovals under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101-508) and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158). This notice is published pursuant to paragraph (d) of § 158.29.

PFC Applications Approved

Public Agency: Asheville Regional Airport Authority, Asheville, North Carolina.

Application Number: 02-02-C-00-AVL.

Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$4.50.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$4,977,794.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: October 1, 2002.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: November 1, 2006.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required To Collect PFC's: Air taxi/commercial operators filing FAA Form 1800-31.

Determination: Approved. Based on information contained in the public agency's application, the FAA has determined that the approved class accounts for less than 1 percent of the total annual enplanements at Asheville Regional Airport.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and Use:

Install fire alarm system.
Flight information display.
Construct runway safety area, runway 16, phase I.
Construct runway safety area, runway 16, phase II.
Rehabilitate terminal sidewalks.
Modify access road.
Construct perimeter security road.
Construct aircraft rescue and firefighting road.
Install perimeter fencing.
Construct runway safety area, runway 16, phase III.
Replace terminal roof.
Install emergency generators.
Replace chiller.
Update master plan.
Install baggage belt.
Modify loading bridge.
Construct baggage facility.
Rehabilitate runway lights.
Improve runway/taxiway safety area.
Expand baggage claim.