Phoenix, AZ 85069–1169, telephone (602) 216–3864, faxogram (602) 216–4006. A copy of the draft EIS is also available for public inspection and review at the libraries listed under Supplementary Information below.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Questions regarding the draft EIS should be directed to Mr. Ellis, at the address provided above, telephone (602) 216– 3854.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Secretary, pursuant to the Boulder Canyon Project Act of 1928 and Arizona v. California 1964 Supreme Court Decree (376 U.S. 340), proposes to take Federal actions necessary to support the implementation of the QSA. The purpose of the Federal action is to facilitate implementation of the QSA, which incorporates contractual agreements necessary for California to reduce its use of Colorado River water. The need for the Federal action is to assist California's efforts to reduce its use of Colorado River water to its 4.4 million acre-feet apportionment in a normal year. This reduction in California's use of Colorado River water would benefit the entire Colorado River

The IA, IOP, and Related Federal Actions DEIS describes the potential environmental impacts of the three components that make up the proposed Federal action. Because the purpose of the proposed action is to provide Federal approval of an agreement negotiated among the California parties, no other action alternatives to the IA are considered in the DEIS. Similarly, the biological conservation measures proposed to be implemented under the proposed action relate specifically to the water transfers specified in the IA and QSA. These measures were developed and agreed to by Reclamation and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) in response to an August 2000 Reclamation Biological Assessment, and were incorporated into a FWS January 2001 Biological Opinion; no alternatives to the biological conservation measures are considered in the DEIS. With regard to the IOP, in response to scoping comments received, Reclamation developed an alternative that would eliminate the forgiveness of payment aspect of the proposed policy. This alternative has been evaluated and is described in the DEIS.

Copies of the draft EIS are available for public inspection and review at the following locations:

• Department of the Interior, Natural Resources Library, 1849 C St., NW, Washington, DC 20240

- Bureau of Reclamation, Denver Office Library, Building 67, Room 167, Denver Federal Center, 6th and Kipling, Denver, CO 80225
- Bureau of Reclamation, Lower Colorado Regional Office, Nevada Highway and Park St., Boulder City, NV 89006
- Bureau of Reclamation, Phoenix Area Office, 2222 W. Dunlap Ave., Suite 100, Phoenix, AZ 85021
- Bureau of Reclamation, Southern California Area Office, 27710 Jefferson Ave., Suite 201, Temecula, CA 92590– 2628
- Bureau of Reclamation, Yuma Area Office, 7301 Calle Agua Salada, Yuma, AZ 85364–9763
- Bureau of Reclamation, Upper Colorado Regional Office, 125 S. State St., Salt Lake City, UT 84138–1102
- Lake Havasu City Library, 1787
   McCulloch Blvd. North, Lake Havasu
   City, AZ 86403
- Mohave County Library, 1170 Hancock Rd., Bullhead City, AZ 86442
- Parker Public Library, 1001 S.
   Navajo Ave., Parker, AZ 85344
- Phoenix Public Library (Burton Barr Central), 1221 N. Central Ave., AZ 85004
- Yuma County Library, 350 S. 3rd Ave., Yuma, AZ 85364
- Los Angeles Central Library, 630 W.
   5th St., Los Angeles, CA 90071
- Palo Verde Valley Library, 125 W. Canslor Way, Blythe, CA 92225
- San Bernardino County Library,
   1111 Bailey Ave., Needles, CA 92363
- San Diego Central Library, 820 E St., San Diego, CA 92101
- Henderson District Public Library,
   280 South Water St., Henderson, NV
   89015
- Salt Lake City Public Library, 209 E 500 S, Salt Lake City, UT 84111

Written comments received by Reclamation become part of the public record associated with this action. Accordingly, Reclamation makes these comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home address from public disclosure, which we will honor to the extent allowable by law. There also may be circumstances in which we would withhold a respondent's identity from public disclosure, as allowable by law. If you wish us to withhold your name and/or address, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public disclosure in their entirety.

Dated: December 28, 2001.

#### Kenneth D. Naser,

Director, Office of Environmental, Policy and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 02–689 Filed 1–14–02; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4310–MN–P** 

#### **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

#### **Bureau of Reclamation**

## Change in Discount Rate for Water Resources Planning

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of change.

SUMMARY: The Water Resources
Planning Act of 1965 and the Water
Resources Development Act of 1974
require an annual determination of a
discount rate for Federal water
resources planning. The discount rate
for Federal water resources planning for
fiscal year 2002 is 6.125 percent.
Discounting is to be used to convert
future monetary values to present
values.

**DATES:** This discount rate is to be used for the period October 1, 2001, through and including September 30, 2002.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James Handlon, Economist, Office of Policy, Washington, DC 20240; telephone: (202) 513–0603.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Notice is hereby given that the interest rate to be used by Federal agencies in the formulation and evaluation of plans for water and related land resources is 6.125 percent for fiscal year 2002.

This rate has been computed in accordance with section 80(a), Pub. L. 93-251 (88 Stat. 34) and 18 CFR 704.39, which: (1) Specify that the rate shall be based upon the average yield during the preceding fiscal year on interest-bearing marketable securities of the United States which, at the time the computation is made, have terms of 15 years or more remaining to maturity (average yield is rounded to nearest oneeighth percent); and (2) Provide that the rate shall not be raised or lowered more than one-quarter of 1 percent for any year. The Treasury Department calculated the specified average to be 5.654 percent. Rounding this average yield to the nearest one-eighth percent is 5.625 percent, which exceeds the permissible one-quarter of 1 percent change from fiscal year 2001 to 2002. Therefore, the change is limited to onequarter of 1 percent.

The rate of 6.125 percent shall be used by all Federal agencies in the formulation and evaluation of water and

related land resources plans for the purpose of discounting future benefits and computing costs or otherwise converting benefits and costs to a common time basis.

Dated: December 13, 2001.

#### Elizabeth Cordova-Harrison,

Deputy Director, Office of Policy. [FR Doc. 02–925 Filed 1–15–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE-02-002]

#### **Sunshine Act Meeting**

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: United States International Trade Commission.

TIME AND DATE: January 22, 2002 at 2 p.m.

**PLACE:** Room 101, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205–2000.

 ${\tt STATUS:}$  Open to the public.

#### MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

- 1. Agenda for future meeting: None.
- 2. Minutes.
- 3. Ratification List.
- 4. Inv. Nos. 701–TA–409–412 and 731–TA–909 (Final) (Low Enriched Uranium from France, Germany, the Netherlands, and the United Kingdom)—briefing and vote. (The Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its determination and Commissioners' opinions to the Secretary of Commerce on January 28, 2002.)
- 5. Outstanding action jackets: None. In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting. Earlier announcement of this meeting was not possible.

Issued: January 10, 2002. By order of the Commission.

#### Marilyn R. Abbott,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–1108 Filed 1–11–02; 1:19 pm]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

### **Drug Enforcement Administration**

# Manufacturer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Registration

By Notice dated July 13, 2001, and published in the **Federal Register** on July 23, 2001 (66 FR 38323), Abbott

Laboratories, DBA Knoll Pharmaceutical Company, 30 North Jefferson Road, Whippany, New Jersey 07981, made application to the Drug Enforcement Administration to be registered as a bulk manufacturer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed below:

Drug	Schedule
Dihydromorphine (9145)	I
Hydromorphone (9150)	II

The firm plans to produce bulk product and finished dosage units for distribution to its customers.

No comments or objections have been received. DEA has considered the factors in Title 21, United States Code, Section 823(a) and determined that the registration of Abbott Laboratories to manufacture the listed controlled substances is consistent with the public interest at this time. DEA has investigated Abbott Laboratories to ensure that the company's registration is consistent with the public interest. This investigation has included inspection and testing of the company's physical security systems, verification of the company's compliance with state and local laws, and a review of the company's background and history. Therefore, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 823 and 28 CFR 0.100 and 0.104, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, hereby orders that the application submitted by the above firm for registration as a bulk manufacturer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed above is granted.

Dated: January 4, 2002.

### Laura M. Nagel,

Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc. 02–935 Filed 1–14–02; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4410–09–M** 

#### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

#### Office of Justice Programs

[OJP(OJP)-1340]

Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) and Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Alameda County Government Center, Including a New Juvenile Justice Facility in the City of Dublin, Alameda County, California

**AGENCY:** Office of Justice Programs, Justice.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent (NOI).

**SUMMARY:** Alameda County (California) proposes to approve a master plan and develop a government center in the East County area, specifically in the City of Dublin, California. The government center is proposed to include a courthouse (15 adult courts), 250,000 square feet of County offices, parking facilities, related site improvements, and a new Juvenile Justice facility with 420 beds, juvenile courts, administration, and associated support facilities (approximately 400,000 square feet total). The Juvenile Justice Facility component of the government center is proposed in response to serious shortcomings in the capability of the existing facility located in San Leandro, California to serve existing and future needs of children in the County. The government center would be developed on a 40-acre County-owned site located at the northern terminus of Hacienda Drive, bounded by Gleason Drive on the south, Arnold Road on the west, Broder Blvd. on the north, and Madigan Avenue on the east. The proposed Alameda County Juvenile Justice project would be funded in part by federal grant monies disbursed by the California Board of Corrections. These funds total \$33,165,000, and are part of the State's allocation from the Violent Offender Incarceration and Truth-in-Sentencing (VOI/TIS) Incentive Grant Program. The County would provide additional funding from bonds, certificates of participation, and the general fund. The total cost for the Juvenile Justice Facility is estimated to be approximately \$177,000,000.

The Department of Justice, the California Board of Corrections and Alameda County are preparing a joint Environmental Impact Statement and Environmental Impact Review (EIS/EIR) document in order to satisfy the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) concurrently. The U.S. Department of Justice is the lead federal agency under NEPA for the preparation of the EIS/EIR and the California Board of Corrections will be preparing the EIS/ EIR under a provision of NEPA that allows an agency of statewide jurisdiction with responsibility for the proposed action (pursuant to the VOI/ TIS grant) to prepare an EIS. Alameda County will be the lead agency under CEQA for the preparation of the EIS/EIR for the master plan for the government center as well as the Juvenile Justice Facility.

**DATES:** Public scoping meetings will be held in the City of Dublin, County of Alameda, California, within thirty days