Finally, EPA will also use the questionnaire to collect data to evaluate potential impacts to small businesses that might occur due to alternative produced water management options. To minimize burden, the only information requested at the ultimate parent company level, if different from the level at which detailed financial information is provided, is employment and revenue data. EPA solicits comment on alternative survey questions to collect data for EPA's small business analyses.

The ICR provides a detailed explanation of the Agency's estimate, which is only briefly summarized here: Estimated total number of potential

respondents: 400.

Frequency of response: One-time.
Estimated total average number of
responses for each respondent: One.
Estimated total annual burden hours:

65,100 hours.

Estimated total annual costs: \$2,839,000. This includes an estimated burden cost of \$2,815,000 and an estimated cost of \$24,000 for operational costs (photocopying and postage).

What is the Next Step in the Process for this ICR?

EPA will consider the comments received and amend the ICR as appropriate. The final ICR package will then be submitted to OMB for review and approval pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.12. At that time, EPA will issue another Federal Register notice pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.5(a)(1)(iv) to announce the submission of the ICR to OMB and the opportunity to submit additional comments to OMB. If you have any questions about this ICR or the approval process, please contact the technical person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

Dated: January 17, 2008.

Ephraim S. King,

Director, Office of Science and Technology. [FR Doc. E8–1344 Filed 1–24–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[Docket# EPA-RO4-SFUND-2008-0001; FRL-8521-1]

Dixie Barrel Drum Superfund Site; Knoxville, Knox County, TN; Notice of Settlements

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of Settlements.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{SUMMARY:} Under section $122(h)(1)$ of the Comprehensive Environmental \\ \end{tabular}$

Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA), the United States Environmental Protection Agency has entered into two settlements for reimbursement of past response costs concerning the Dixie Barrel Drum Superfund Site located in Knoxville, Knox County, Tennessee for publication.

DATES: The Agency will consider public comments on the settlements until February 25, 2008. The Agency will consider all comments received and may modify or withdraw its consent to the settlements if comments received disclose facts or considerations which indicate that the settlements are inappropriate, improper, or inadequate. **ADDRESSES:** Copies of the settlements are available from Ms. Paula V. Batchelor. Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-RO4-SFUND-2008-0001 or Site name Dixie Barrel Drum Superfund Site by one of the following methods:

- http://www.regulations.gov: Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.
 - E-mail: Batchelor.Paula@epa.gov.
- Fax: 404/562–8842/Attn Paula V. Batchelor.
- Mail: Ms. Paula V. Batchelor, U.S. EPA Region 4, SD-SEIMB, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303. "In addition, please mail a copy of your comments on the information collection provisions to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), Attn: Desk Officer for EPA, 725 17th St., NW., Washington, DC 20503." Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID No. [EPA-R04-SFUND-2008-0001]. EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at http:// www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through http:// www.regulations.gov or e-mail. The http://www.regulations.gov Web site is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly to EPA without going through http:// www.regulations.gov your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and

made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses. For additional information about EPA's public docket visit the EPA Docket Center homepage at http:// www.epa.gov/epahome/dockets.htm

Docket: All documents in the docket are listed in the http:// www.regulations.gov index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, will be publicly available only in hard copy. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in http:// www.regulations.gov or in hard copy at the U.S. EPA Region 4 office located at 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303. Regional office is open from 7 am until 6:30 pm. Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays.

Written comments may be submitted to Ms. Batchelor within 30 calendar days of the date of this publication.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paula V. Batchelor at 404/562–8887.

Dated: January 3, 2008.

Melissa D. Waters,

Acting Chief, Superfund Enforcement & Information Management Branch, Superfund Division.

[FR Doc. E8–1349 Filed 1–24–08; 8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER--FRL-6695-4]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at 202–564–7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental

impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 6, 2007 (72 FR 17156).

Draft EISs

EIS No. 20070332, ERP NO. D-BLM-L65541-OR, Western Oregon Bureau of Land Management Districts of Salem, Eugene, Roseburg, Coos Bay, and Medford Districts, and the Klamath Falls Resource Area of the Lakeview District, Revision of the Resource Management Plans, Implementation, OR.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections because of potential long-term impacts to water quality and further exceedances of water quality standards in impaired water bodies under Alternatives 2 or 3. In addition, EPA suggests modifications to reduce significant impacts to drinking water and aquatic species, and believes that direct, indirect and cumulative impacts would affect waters on both BLM and non-BLM lands. Rating EO2. EIS No. 20070362, ERP No. D-FRC-

L03013–00, Bradwood Landing Project, Liquified Natural Gas Import Terminal and Natural Gas Pipeline Facilities, Construction and Operation, U.S. Army COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Clatsop County, OR and Cowlitz County, WA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about potential environmental impacts, including impacts to wetlands and air quality. EPA also commented on the alternatives analysis and recommended that additional information about interrelated projects be provided. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20070420, ERP No. D–SFW– K99038–CA, Agua Caliente Tribal Habitat Conservation Plan (THCP), Application for an Incidental Take Permit for 24 Covered Species, Coachella Valley, Riverside County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns because the most environmentally protective alternative was formulated with unmitigatable impacts to Tribal sovereignty and was therefore rejected. EPA recommends that the Service and the Tribe create an alternative that promotes a greater conservation goal while honoring Tribal sovereignty. EPA also recommends smart growth conservation measures be included for areas to be developed. Rating EC2. EIS No. 20070447, ERP No. D-FHW-

K40266–CA, Marin-Sonoma Narrows (MSN) HOV Widening Project, Propose to Relieve Recurrent Congestion along U.S. 101 south of the Route 37 Interchange in the City of Novato (Marin County) and ends north of the Corona Road Overcrossing in the City of Petaluma (Sonoma County), Marin and Sonoma Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed concern about wetland impacts, and requested that CalTrans choose an option that will minimize wetland impacts. Rating EC1.

EIS No. 20070455, ERP No. D–SFW– K99039–CA, Coyote Spring Investment Multispecies Conservation Plan, Issuing a 40-year Incidental Take Permit for Five Species, Clark and Lincoln Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections because of potentially significant impacts to waters of the U.S. and insufficient alternatives analysis. EPA also expressed concerns about insufficient analysis for groundwater cumulative impacts, traffic-related air quality impacts, biological resources, and population growth. Rating EO2.

EIS No. 20070480, ERP No. D-AFS-J65499-UT, Pockets Resource Management Project, Proposes to Salvage Dead and Dying Spruce/Fir, Regenerate Aspen, and Manage Travel, Escalate Ranger District, Dixie National Forest, Garfield County, UT. Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about impacts to water quality from activities associated with roads and water

associated with roads and water crossings for vegetation treatments, and requested the final EIS further discuss road management decisions, and road inspection, evaluation and enforcement activities. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20070496, ERP No. D–FRC– G03036–00, Fayetteville/Greenville Expansion Project, Construction and Operation of the Natural Gas Pipeline Facilities in Arkansas and Mississippi.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns and requested that the Final EIS provide additional information concerning environmental justice, wetland impacts and mitigation, and air quality impacts. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20070503, ERP No. D-AFS-L65544-AK, Navy Timber Sale Project, To Address the Potential Effects of Timber Harvesting on Etolin Island, Wrangell Ranger District, Tongass National Forest, AK.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the potential for water quality impacts, particularly in the Anita Creek, Quiet Creek, and Kindergarten Lake watersheds, as well as destruction of relatively high amounts of Productive Old Growth (POG) habitat. Rating EC2. EIS No. 20070481, ERP No. DS-COE-E67005-NC, PCS Phosphate Mine Continuation, New Information on Additional Alternative "L" and "M", Proposes to Expand its Existing Open Pit Phosphate Mining Operation into a 3,412 Acre Tract, Pamlico River and South Creek, near Aurora, Beaufort County, NC.

Summary: EPA continues to express environmental objections to the applicant's preferred alternative due to the significant wetland resource impacts. Rating EO2.

Final EISs

EIS No. 20070449, ERP No. F-BLM-L67046-ID, Smoky Canyon Mine Panels F & G, Proposed Mine Expansion, Caribou County, ID.

Summary: EPA continues to express environmental objections because of the potential for adverse effects to groundwater and surface water in the project area from release of Se from the proposed expansion.

EIS No. 20070456, ERP No. F–DOE– D09800–PA, Gilberton Coal-to-Clean Fuels and Power Project, Construction and Operation a New Demonstration Plant, Preferred Alternative Selected, Schuylkill County, PA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns because the proposed project would cause an increase in CO₂ emissions over conventional coal-fired power plants. EIS No. 20070472, ERP No. F–DOE–

D09801–WV, Western Greenbrier Co-Production Demonstration Project, Construction and Demonstration of a 98 megawatt (MWe) Net Power Plant and Ash Byproduct Manufacturing Facility, Rainelle, WV.

Summary: EPA's previous issues have been resolved; therefore, EPA does not object to the proposed action.

EIS No. 20070499, ERP No. F-FRC-L05238-00, Klamath Hydroelectric Project, Continued Operations for Hydropower License FERC No. 2082– 27, Klamath River, Klamath County, OR and Siskiyou County, CA.

Summary: EPA recommended that additional monitoring and adaptive management measures be incorporated into the Record of Decision. EPA also recommended that additional analyses be performed prior to initiation of Clean Water Act Section 401 certification process.

EIS No. 20070500, ERP No. F-COE-K35044-CA, Berth 136–147 [TraPac] Container Terminal Project, Upgrade Existing Wharf Facilities, Install a Buffer Area between the Terminal and Community, U.S. Army COE Section 10 and 404 Permit, West Basin Portion of the Port of Los Angeles, CA.

Summary: EPA continues to have environmental concerns about air quality and wetland impacts, and recommended air quality mitigation measures and the selection of the no-fill alternative.

EIS No. 20070511, ERP No. F–BLM– L65524–AK, Bay Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Located within the Bristol Bay and Goodnews Bay Areas, AK.

Summary: The final EIS addressed EPA's concerns about cumulative impacts and developing a monitoring plan. However, EPA continues to have concerns about impacts to resources after lease expiration, and lack of information specific to tribal consultation in the planning area, in accordance with Executive Order 13075. EIS No. 20070513. ERP No. F-FHW-

G40173-TX, Grand Parkway/TX-99 Segment E Improvement Project, IH-10 to U.S. 290, Funding, Right-of-Way Grant and U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit Issuance, Harris County, TX.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.
EIS No. 20070535, ERP No. F-AFS-

K65295–CA, Horse Heli Project, Harvest Merchantable Timber, Thin Stands, Treat Fuels, and Conduct Associated Activities, Klamath National Forest, Oak Knoll Ranger District, Siskiyou County, CA.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

EIS No. 20070548, ERP No. F–NPS– J65463–CO, Rocky Mountain National Park, Elk and Vegetation Management Plan, Implementation, Grand and Larimer Counties, CO.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

EIS No. 20070554, ERP No. F-FRCJ03019-CO, High Plains Expansion
Project, (Docket No. CP07-207-000)
Natural Gas Pipeline Facility,
Construction and Operation, U.S.
Army COE 404, Weld, Adams, and
Morgan Counties, CO.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

EIS No. 20080003, ERP No. F-AFSL65540-WA, Old Curlew Ranger
Station Facilities Disposal Project,
Proposal to Sell 3-Acre Parcel
Including Buildings, Republic Ranger
District, Colville National Forest,
South Side of Curlew, Ferry County,
WA.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

EIS No. 20070487, ERP No. FA-COE-E39051-FL, Lake Okeechobee Regulation Schedule Study, New Updated Information, Evaluation of Three New Alternatives on Operational Changes to the Current Water Control Plan, Lake Okeechobee and the Everglades Agricultural Area, Lake Okeechobee, Glades, Okeechobee Hendry, Palm Beach and Martin Counties, FL.

Summary: EPA continues to have environmental concerns about impacts on the lower river and estuaries from Lake Okeechobee flow releases.

Dated: January 22, 2008.

Robert W. Hargrove,

Director, NEPA, Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. E8–1310 Filed 1–24–08; 8:45 am] $\tt BILLING\ CODE\ 6560–50–P$

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6695-3]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 or http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements.

Filed 01/14/2008 through 01/18/2008. Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 20080021, Draft EIS, SFW, AK, Yukon Flats National Wildlife Refuge Project, Proposed Federal and Public Land Exchange, Right-of-Way Grant, Anchorage, AK, Comment Period Ends: 03/10/2008, Contact: Cyndie Wolfe 907–786–3463.

EIS No. 20080022, Final EIS, NOA, AK, Alaska Eskimo Whaling Commission for a Subsistence Hunt on Bowhead Whale for the Years 2008 through 2012 for Issuing Annual Quotas, Proposes to Authorize Subsistence Harvests of the Western Arctic Stock of Bowhead Whales, Bering, Chukchi and Beaufort Seas, AK, Wait Period Ends: 02/25/2008, Contact: Steve K. Davis 907–271–3523.

EIS No. 20080023, Final EIS, NOA, 00, Snapper Grouper Fishery Amendment 15A, Proposes Management Reference Points and Rebuilding Plans for Snowy Grouper, Black Sea Bass and Red Porgy, South Atlantic Region, Wait Period Ends: 02/25/2008, Contact: Dr. Roy E. Crabtree 727–824– 5301.

EIS No. 20080024, Final EIS, AFS, ID, Frank Church—River of No Return Wilderness (FC–RONRW), Noxious Weed Treatments, Updated Information to Supplement the 1999 Final EIS for FC–RONRW, Implementation, Bitterroot, Boise, Nez Perce, Payette and Salmon-Challis National Forests, ID, Wait Period Ends: 02/25/2008, Contact: Howard Lyman 208–839–2211.

EIS No. 20080025, Draft EIS, FAA, TX,
Northwest Corridor Light Rail Transit
Line (LRT) to Irving/Dallas/Fort
Worth International Airport,
Construction, Dallas County, TX,
Comment Period Ends: 03/10/2008,
Contact: A.J. Ossi 202–366–1613.

EIS No. 20080026, Final EIS, NRC, MD, License Renewal of the National Bureau of Standards Reactor (NBSR), Renew the Operating License for an Additional 20 Years, National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), NUREG—1873, Montgomery County, MD, Wait Period Ends: 02/25/ 2008, Contact: Dennis Beissel 301— 415—2145.

EIS No. 20080027, Final EIS, NRC, NY, GENERIC—James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant, License Renewal of Nuclear Plant, Site Specific Supplement 31 to NUREG—1437, Town of Sriba, NY, Wait Period Ends: 02/25/2008, Contact: Jessie M. Muir 301–415–0491.

Dated: January 22, 2008.

Robert W. Hargrove,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. E8–1314 Filed 1–24–08; 8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-ORD-2007-0942; FRL-8521-9]

Human Studies Review Board (HSRB); Notification of a Public Teleconference To Review Its Draft Report from the October 24–26, 2007 HSRB Meeting

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The EPA Human Studies Review Board (HSRB) announces a public teleconference meeting to discuss its draft HSRB report from the October 24–26, 2007 HSRB meeting.

DATES: The teleconference will be held on February 11, 2008, from 2 to approximately 5 p.m. (Eastern Time).

Location: The meeting will take place via telephone only.

Meeting Access: For information on access or services for individuals with disabilities, please contact the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION