customs purposes, and do not define the scope of the investigations. The written description of the merchandise under investigation is dispositive.

[FR Doc. 2025–11074 Filed 6–13–25; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### **International Trade Administration**

[A-533-877]

Stainless Steel Flanges From India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2022–2023; Correction

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice; correction.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) published notice in the Federal Register of June 5, 2025, in which Commerce announced the final results of the 2022–2023 administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on stainless steel flanges from India. This notice corrects the spelling of a company name that is part of the collapsed entity comprising one of the mandatory respondents, BFN/Viraj.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Benito Ballesteros, AD/CVD Operations, Office IX, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–7425.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Background**

On June 5, 2025, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** the final results of the 2022–2023 administrative review of the AD order on stainless steel flanges from India.¹ We mispelled the company name "Flanschen werk Bebitz GmbH" as "Fanschen werk Bebitz GmbH," which is part of the collective entity comprising the mandatory respondent BFN/Viraj.²

#### Correction

In the **Federal Register** of June 5, 2025, in FR Doc 2025–10229, on page 23889, in the second column, correct the first sentence of footnote 1 as follows: "BFN/Viraj is a collective entity consisting of BFN Forgings Private Limited; Flanschen werk Bebitz GmbH; Viraj Alloys, Ltd.; Viraj Forgings, Ltd.; Viraj Impoexpo, Ltd.; and Viraj Profiles Limited."

Also, in FR Doc 2025–10229, on page 23890, in the first column of the dumping margin rate table, correct the company name of the BFN/Viraj collective entity as follows: BFN Forgings Private Limited; Flanschen werk Bebitz GmbH; Viraj Alloys, Ltd.; Viraj Forgings, Ltd.; Viraj Impoexpo, Ltd.; and Viraj Profiles Limited.

#### **Notification to Interested Parties**

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: June 10, 2025.

#### Steven Presing,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations.

[FR Doc. 2025–10946 Filed 6–13–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

# International Trade Administration [A-570-909]

Certain Steel Nails From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Rescission of Review, In Part; 2022–2023

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that Shanghai Yueda Nails Co., Ltd., a.k.a. Shanghai Yueda Nails Industry Co., Ltd. (Shanghai Yueda), an exporter of certain steel nails from the People's Republic of China (China), sold subject merchandise in the United States at prices below normal value (NV) during the period of review (POR) August 1, 2022, through July 31, 2023.

## DATES: Applicable June 16, 2025. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Hannah Lee, AD/CVD Operations, Office VIII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1216.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Background**

On September 13, 2024, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of this administrative review.¹ On December 9, 2024, Commerce tolled certain deadlines in this administrative proceeding by 90 days.² On March 20, 2025, Commerce extended the deadline for the final results by 42 days.³ On May 15, 2025, Commerce further extended the deadline for the final results. The current deadline for the final results of this review is June 10, 2025.⁴ For the events subsequent to the *Preliminary Results, see* the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁵

## Scope of the Order <sup>6</sup>

The products covered by the *Order* are nails from China. A full description of the scope of the *Order* is contained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

### **Analysis of Comments Received**

All issues raised by interested parties in briefs are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is provided in the appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at https:// access.trade.gov. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at https://access.trade.gov/public/ FRNoticesListLayout.aspx.

## **Changes Since the Preliminary Results**

Based on a review of the record and comments received from interested

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Stainless Steel Flanges from India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2022–2023, 90 FR 23889 (June 5, 2025).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> BFN/Viraj is a collective entity consisting of BFN Forgings Private Limited; Flanschen werk Bebitz GmbH; Viraj Alloys, Ltd.; Viraj Forgings, Ltd.; Viraj Impoexpo, Ltd.; and Viraj Profiles Limited. See, e.g., Stainless Steel Flanges from India: Final Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Final Affirmative Critical Circumstance Determination, 83 FR 40745 (August 16, 2018), where Commerce collapsed these entities

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Certain Steel Nails from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Internation to Rescind, in Part; 2022–2023, 89 FR 74882 (September 13, 2024) (Preliminary Results), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Memorandum, "Tolling of Deadlines for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings," dated December 9, 2024.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Memorandum, "Extension of Deadline for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated March 20, 2025.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Memorandum, "Extension of Deadline for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated May 15, 2025.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Certain Steel Nails from the People's Republic of China; 2022–2023," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See Notice of Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Steel Nails from the People's Republic of China, 73 FR 44961 (August 1, 2008) (Order).

parties regarding the *Preliminary Results*, and for the reasons explained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, Commerce made certain changes to the margin calculations for Shanghai Yueda.<sup>7</sup>

#### Final Recission of Review, in Part

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), it is Commerce's practice to rescind an administrative review of an AD order when there are no reviewable entries of subject merchandise during the POR for which liquidation is suspended.8 Normally, upon completion of an administrative review, the suspended entries are liquidated at the AD assessment rate calculated for the review period.9 Therefore, for an administrative review to be conducted, there must be a reviewable, suspended entry that Commerce can instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to liquidate at the AD assessment rate calculated for the review period. 10

On September 6, 2024, we issued a memorandum to all interested parties listing eight companies for which we initiated this administrative review and for which there was no evidence of any suspended entries during the POR. 11 See Appendix II for a list of these companies. We invited parties to comment, and we received no comments. Accordingly, in the absence of suspended entries of subject merchandise during the POR, we are hereby rescinding this administrative review for these eight companies, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3).

## China-Wide Entity

In our *Preliminary Results*, we determined that S-Mart (Tianjin) Technology Development Co., Ltd. (S-Mart) failed to establish its eligibility for a separate rate because it did not file a separate rate application with Commerce. As such, we preliminarily determined that S-Mart is part of the China-wide entity. We received no

arguments since the issuance of the *Preliminary Results* that provide a basis for reconsideration of this determination. Therefore, for these final results, we continue to find that S-Mart is a part of the China-wide entity.

#### Final Results of Administrative Review

Commerce determines that the following estimated weighted-average dumping margin exists for the period August 1, 2022, through July 31, 2023:

Exporter	Weighted- average dumping margin (percent)
Shanghai Yueda Nails Co., Ltd., a.k.a. Shanghai Yueda Nails Industry Co., Ltd	11.73

#### Disclosure

We intend to disclose the calculations performed for the final results of this review to parties in this proceeding within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

#### **Assessment Rates**

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b), Commerce has determined, and U.S Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. For Shanghai Yueda, which has been assigned a weighted-average dumping margin that is not zero or de minimis (i.e., less than 0.5 percent), we calculated importer-specific per-unit assessment rates by dividing the total amount of dumping for reviewed sales of subject merchandise to that importer (or customer) by the total quantity sold to that importer (or customer).

We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review when the importer-specific assessment rate calculated is above *de minimis* (i.e., 0.50 percent). To determine whether the duty assessment rates are *de minimis*, in accordance with the requirement set forth in 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we calculate importer- (or customer-) specific *ad valorem* ratios based on the estimated entered value. Where an importer-specific per-unit assessment

did not conduct a review of the China-wide entity. Thus, the rate (i.e., 118.04 percent) for the China-wide entity is not subject to change as a result of this review. See Antidumping Proceedings: Announcement of Change in Department Practice for Respondent Selection in Antidumping Duty Proceedings and Conditional Review of the Nonmarket Economy Entity in NME Antidumping Duty Proceedings, 78 FR 65963, 65969–70 (November 4, 2013).

rate is zero or *de minimis*, Commerce will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.<sup>13</sup>

Pursuant to a refinement in our non-market economy practice, for sales that were not reported in the U.S. sales data submitted by Shanghai Yueda, we will instruct CBP to liquidate entries associated with those sales at the rate for the China-wide entity. For entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Shanghai Yueda for which they did not know their merchandise was destined for the United States, we intend to instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the China-wide rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company or companies involved in the transaction.

We will instruct CBP to apply an ad valorem assessment rate of 118.04 percent to all POR entries of subject merchandise which were exported by the companies in the China-wide entity, including S-Mart. In addition, we will instruct CBP to assess any suspended entries of subject merchandise associated with the companies listed in the "Recission of Review" section above at a rate equal to the cash deposit rate of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i).

Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

### **Cash Deposit Requirements**

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of these final results for all shipments of the subject merchandise from China entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) for Shanghai Yueda, the cash deposit rate will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin listed in the table above; (2) for previously examined Chinese and non-Chinese exporters not listed above that received a separate rate in a prior completed segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporterspecific cash deposit rate; (3) for all

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See Memorandum, "Final Results Calculation Memorandum for Shanghai Yueda," dated concurrently with this notice; and Memorandum, "Surrogate Values for the Final Results," dated concurrently with this notice.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See, e.g., Dioctyl Terephthalate from the Republic of Korea: Rescission of Antidumping Administrative Review; 2021–2022, 88 FR 24758 (April 24, 2023); see also Certain Carbon and Alloy Steel Cut-to-Length Plate from the Federal Republic of Germany: Recission of Antidumping Administrative Review; 2020–2021, 88 FR 4154 (January 24, 2023).

<sup>9</sup> See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

<sup>10</sup> See 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> See Preliminary Results PDM.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Because no interested party requested a review of the China-wide entity and Commerce no longer considers the China-wide entity as an exporter conditionally subject to administrative reviews, we

<sup>13</sup> See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

Chinese exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate for the China-wide entity (*i.e.*, 118.04 percent); and (4) for all non-Chinese exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the Chinese exporter that supplied that non-Chinese exporter. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

## Notification to Importers Regarding the Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties has occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

## Administrative Protective Order (APO)

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to an APO of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

#### **Notification to Interested Parties**

We are issuing and publishing these final results of administrative review and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: June 10, 2025.

### Steven Presing,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations.

### Appendix I

## List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Changes Since the Preliminary Results
- V. Discussion of the Issues
  - Comment 1: Surrogate Country Selection and Selection of Surrogate Values and Financial Statements

Comment 2: Whether Commerce Should Collapse Shanghai Yueda Nails Co., Ltd. (Shanghai Yueda) and Shanghai Yueda Nails (Chuzhou) Ltd. (Chuzhou Yueda)

Comment 3: Timeliness of Shanghai Yueda's Surrogate Value Submission Comment 4: Correction to Movement Expenses

Comment 5: Correction to Unit of Measurement for U.S. Quantity Comment 6: Correction to Packing Cost Calculation

VI. Recommendation

## Appendix II

## Companies for Which the Administrative Review Has Been Rescinded

- 1. Hebei Minmetals Co., Ltd.
- 2. Nanjing Caiqing Hardware Co., Ltd.
- 3. Nanjing Yuechang Hardware Co., Ltd.
- 4. Shandong Qingyun Hongyi Hardware Products Co., Ltd.
- 5. Shanxi Hairui Trade Co., Ltd.
- 6. Suntec Industries Co., Ltd.
- 7. Tianjin Jinchi Metal Products Co., Ltd.
- 8. Xi'an Metals & Minerals Import & Export Co., Ltd.

[FR Doc. 2025–10947 Filed 6–13–25; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### **International Trade Administration**

## University of Washington et. al; Application(s) for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

Pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, as amended by Pub. L. 106–36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether instruments of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments shown below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be postmarked on or before July 7, 2025. Address written comments to Statutory Import Programs Staff, Room 40005, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230. Please also email a copy of those comments to Eva.Kim@trade.gov.

Docket Number: 25–011. Applicant: University of Washington, 4300 Roosevelt Way NE, Roosevelt Commons West, Seattle, WA 98105–4718. Instrument: Femtosecond lasers with ultrahigh power. Manufacturer: Ultronphotonics Co. Ltd, China. Intended Use: The instrument is intended to study two-dimensional materials, which are atomically thin structures with unique quantum properties. It will also be used to investigate semiconductors to better

understand how they process information and energy. Justification for Duty-Free Entry: According to the applicant, there are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: March 12, 2025.

Docket Number: 25-012. Applicant: Harvard University, 60 Oxford Street, Room M01, Cambridge, MA 02138. Instrument: Narrow Linewidth Laser. Manufacturer: Shanghai Precilaser Technology Co. Ltd., China Intended Use: The instrument will be used in the construction and exploration of novel architectures for quantum information processing via a dual-species (rubidium and ytterbium), continuously reloaded atom array. Justification for Duty-Free Entry: According to the applicant, there are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: March 25,

Docket Number: 25-013. Applicant: South Dakota School of Mines and Technology, 501 E Saint Joseph St. Rapid City, SD 57701. Instrument: 2D material transfer stage with an optical microscope. Manufacturer: High Hope Zhongding Corporation, China. Intended Use: The instrument will be used to control exciton-polariton interactions in 2D material heterostructures for applications in optoelectronic, electronic devices such as photodetectors, light-emitting devices. Justification for Duty-Free Entry: According to the applicant, there are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: March 3,

Docket Number: 25-014. Applicant: William Marsh Rice University, P.O. Box 1892, MS094, Houston, TX 77251-1892. Instrument: Erbium Vacuum System. Manufacturer: Limit Vacuum Technology (Beijing) Co., Ltd., China. Intended Use: The instrument will be used to develop quantum simulation technologies to investigate fundamental quantum mechanical properties of quantum matter and potentially develop new novel quantum materials. Justification for Duty-Free Entry: According to the applicant, there are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: March 21,

Docket Number: 25–015. Applicant: California Institute of Technology, 1200 E California Blvd. Pasadena, CA 91125. Instrument:Narrow Linewidth Laser