

Dated: March 15, 2012.
Richard P. Keigwin, Jr.,
Director, Pesticide Re-evaluation Division,
Office of Pesticide Programs.
 [FR Doc. 2012-7078 Filed 3-22-12; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meeting; Deletion of Agenda Items From March 21, 2012 Open Meeting

March 20, 2012.

The following items have been deleted from the list of Agenda items

scheduled for consideration at the Wednesday, March 21, 2012, Open Meeting and previously listed in the Commission's Notice of March 14, 2012. These items have been adopted by the Commission.

Item Nos.	Bureau	Subject
1	Media	<i>Title:</i> Revision of the Commission's Program Access Rules; News Corporation and The DIRECTV Group, Inc., Transferors, and Liberty Media Corporation, Transferee, for Authority to Transfer Control (MB Docket No. 07-18) and Applications for Consent to the Assignment and/or Transfer of Control of Licenses, Adelphia Communications Corporation (and subsidiaries, debtors-in-possession), Assignors, to Time Warner Cable Inc. (subsidiaries), Assignees, et al. (MB Docket No. 05-192) <i>Summary:</i> The Commission will consider a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking exploring whether to retain, sunset, or relax the exclusive contract prohibition of the program access rules and whether to revise the program access rules to better address alleged violations.
2	Media	<i>Title:</i> Creation of a Low Power Radio Service (MM Docket No. 99-25) and Amendment of Service and Eligibility Rules for FM Broadcast Translator Stations (MB Docket No. 07-172, RM-11338) <i>Summary:</i> The Commission will consider a Fourth Report and Order and Third Order on Reconsideration to implement a market-specific FM translator processing scheme, adopt application caps to prevent trafficking, and modify policies to expand opportunities to rebroadcast AM stations on FM translators.
3	Media	<i>Title:</i> Creation of a Low Power Radio Service (MM Docket No. 99-25) <i>Summary:</i> The Commission will consider a Fifth Report and Order, Fourth Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking and Fourth Order on Reconsideration regarding proposals to implement the Local Community Radio Act and to strengthen the LPFM service, including second adjacent channel waiver procedures, interference remediation requirements, and modification of eligibility, ownership, and selection standards.

Federal Communications Commission.
Marlene H. Dortch,
Secretary, Office of the Secretary, Office of Managing Director.
 [FR Doc. 2012-7265 Filed 3-21-12; 4:15 pm]
BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

Intra-Agency Appeal Process: Guidelines for Appeals of Material Supervisory Determinations and Guidelines for Appeals of Deposit Insurance Assessment Determinations

AGENCY: Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

ACTION: Notice of Guidelines.

SUMMARY: On March 20, 2012, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") Board of Directors ("Board") adopted revised Guidelines for Appeals of Material Supervisory Determinations ("SARC Guidelines") and also adopted revised Guidelines for Appeals of Deposit Insurance Assessment Determinations ("AAC Guidelines"). These revisions are technical and ministerial and were made to reflect changes in the organization of the FDIC's Board, of its offices and divisions, and in the categories of institutions that it supervises. In

addition, both guidelines have been amended to effect limited and minor language changes.

DATES: The revised SARC Guidelines and the revised AAC Guidelines became effective on March 20, 2012.

For Further Information Concerning the SARC Guidelines Contact: Serena L. Owens, Associate Director, Division of Risk Management Supervision, (202) 898-8996; Dianne Dixon, Associate Director, Division of Depositor and Consumer Protection, (202) 898-6568; Catherine Needham, Chief, Institution Monitoring, Office of Complex Financial Institutions, (917) 320-2721; Jeannette E. Roach, Counsel, Legal Division, (202) 898-3785, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, 550 17th Street NW., Washington, DC 20429.

For Further Information Concerning the AAC Guidelines Contact: Serena L. Owens, Associate Director, Division of Risk Management Supervision, (202) 898-8996; Dianne Dixon, Associate Director, Division of Depositor and Consumer Protection, (202) 898-6568; Catherine Needham, Chief, Institution Monitoring, Office of Complex Financial Institutions, (917) 320-2721; Christopher Bellotto, Counsel, Legal Division, (202) 898-3801, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, 550 17th Street NW., Washington, DC 20429.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

1. Guidelines for Appeals of Material Supervisory Determinations

Section 309(a) of the Riegle Community Development and Regulatory Improvement Act of 1994 (Public Law No. 103-325, 108 Stat. 2160) ("Riegle Act") required the FDIC (as well as the other Federal banking agencies and the National Credit Union Administration Board) to establish an independent intra-agency appellate process to review material supervisory determinations.

The Riegle Act defines the term "independent appellate process" to mean a review by an agency official who does not directly or indirectly report to the agency official who made the material supervisory determination under review. In the appeals process, the FDIC is required to ensure that (1) an appeal of a material supervisory determination by an insured depository institution is heard and decided expeditiously; and (2) appropriate safeguards exist for protecting appellants from retaliation by agency examiners.

On March 21, 1995, the FDIC's Board of Directors adopted the original Guidelines for Appeals of Material Supervisory Determinations, which established procedures governing the SARC, whose purpose was to consider

and decide appeals of material supervisory determinations as required by the Riegle Act. (60 FR 15923 (Mar. 28, 1995)). The SARC Guidelines were amended, after notice and comment in 2004, changing the composition and procedures of the SARC. (69 FR 41479 (Jul. 9, 2004)).

The SARC Guidelines were amended again in 2008, after notice and comment, to modify the supervisory determinations eligible for appeal to eliminate the ability of an FDIC-supervised institution to file an appeal with the SARC for determinations, or the facts and circumstances underlying a recommended or pending formal enforcement-related action or decision, and to make limited technical amendments. (73 FR 54822 (Sept. 23, 2008)).

Although the FDIC considered it desirable in those instances to garner comments regarding the guidelines, notice and comment rulemaking was not required, and the FDIC pointed out that notice and comment rulemaking need not be employed in making future amendments. The SARC Guidelines were again modified in 2010, without notice and comment, to extend the decisional deadline for requests for review and to clarify the decisional deadline for written decisions of the SARC. (75 FR 20358 (Apr. 19, 2010)). The amendments proposed here are nonsubstantive, limited, and technical in nature. Notice and comment rulemaking was not used in making the present amendments.

2. Guidelines for Appeals of Deposit Insurance Assessment Determinations

The FDIC Board of Directors created the AAC in 1999 to provide a high-level process for considering all deposit insurance assessment appeals brought from determinations made by the appropriate FDIC Divisions. Responsibility for deposit insurance assessments is shared by the Division of Finance ("DOF"), the Division of Insurance and Research ("DIR") and, in some cases, by the former Division of Supervision and Consumer Protection ("DSC") (now the Division of Risk Management Supervision ("RMS"), the Division of Depositor and Consumer Protection ("DCP"), and the Office of Complex Financial Institutions ("OCFI"). DOF is responsible for calculating the assessments owed by individual insured institutions based on assessment risk rates assigned by DIR, which may use supervisory information provided by RMS, DCP, or OCFI.

Institutions that dispute the computation of their quarterly assessment payments may request

revision of their assessment payments by following the procedures set forth at 12 CFR 327.3(f). Institutions that dispute their risk assignment—or dispute any determination for which review may be requested as provided in Part 327—may request review by following the procedures set forth at 12 CFR 327.4(c).

The AAC provides a process for considering all deposit insurance assessment appeals brought from determinations made by the appropriate FDIC divisions pursuant to 12 CFR 327.3(f) and 327.4(c). Having complied with those procedures and received a determination from the appropriate division, an institution dissatisfied with that division's determination may file an appeal with the AAC. After reviewing the determination made at the division level, the AAC will issue a final decision.

The AAC Guidelines were promulgated by the FDIC on July 2, 2004, following notice and comment rulemaking. (69 FR 41479 (Jul. 9, 2004)). Although the FDIC considered it desirable in that instance to garner comments regarding the AAC Guidelines, notice and comment rulemaking was not required and need not be used in making future amendments. Limited technical and clarifying amendments were made to the AAC Guidelines in 2010, without notice and comment. (75 FR 20358 (Apr. 19, 2010)). Notice and comment rulemaking was not used in making the present amendments.

Amendments to the Guidelines

The SARC Guidelines describe the types of determinations that are eligible for review and the process by which appeals will be considered and decided. The SARC Guidelines have been amended to provide that, in place of the former DSC, now RMS, DCP, and OCFI will make material supervisory determinations appropriate to each division. Material supervisory determinations made by the appropriate division or office director, are subject to challenge under the guidelines by insured depository institutions. Finally, the SARC Guidelines have been amended to reflect the transfer of supervisory authority over state savings associations from the former Office of Thrift Supervision ("OTS") to the FDIC pursuant to Section 312(b)(2)(C) of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Pub. L. 111–203, 124 Stat. 1376, 1522).

The AAC Guidelines have been amended in light of the reconfiguration of the FDIC Board of Directors by the Dodd-Frank Act, which replaced the

Director of the OTS with the Director of the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau ("CFPB"). The amended AAC Guidelines substitute a deputy or special assistant to the CFPB FDIC Director on the AAC in place of a deputy or special assistant to the OTS FDIC Director. The amended AAC Guidelines also recognize that RMS, DCP, or OCFI may, in addition to DIR and DOF, handle assessment determinations or other determinations for which review may be requested, as appropriate under Part 327 of the regulations.

In addition, both the SARC Guidelines and the AAC Guidelines have been amended to effect limited and minor language changes.

Guidelines for Appeals of Material Supervisory Determinations

A. Introduction

Section 309(a) of the Riegle Community Development and Regulatory Improvement Act of 1994 (Pub. L. 103–325, 108 Stat. 2160) ("Riegle Act") required the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") to establish an independent intra-agency appellate process to review material supervisory determinations made at insured depository institutions that it supervises. The Guidelines for Appeals of Material Supervisory Determinations ("guidelines") describe the types of determinations that are eligible for review and the process by which appeals will be considered and decided. The procedures set forth in these guidelines establish an appeals process for the review of material supervisory determinations by the Supervision Appeals Review Committee ("SARC").

B. SARC Membership

The following individuals comprise the three (3) voting members of the SARC: (1) One inside FDIC Board member, either the Chairperson, the Vice Chairperson, or the FDIC Director (Appointive), as designated by the FDIC Chairperson (this person would serve as the Chairperson of the SARC); and (2) one deputy or special assistant to each of the inside FDIC Board members who are not designated as the SARC Chairperson. The General Counsel is a non-voting member of the SARC. The FDIC Chairperson may designate alternate member(s) to the SARC if there are vacancies so long as the alternate member was not involved in making or affirming the material supervisory determination under review. A member of the SARC may designate and authorize the most senior member of his or her staff within the substantive area

of responsibility related to cases before the SARC to act on his or her behalf.

C. Institutions Eligible to Appeal

The guidelines apply to the insured depository institutions that the FDIC supervises (i.e., insured State nonmember banks, insured branches of foreign banks, and state savings associations) and to other insured depository institutions with respect to which the FDIC makes material supervisory determinations.

D. Determinations Subject to Appeal

An institution may appeal any material supervisory determination pursuant to the procedures set forth in these guidelines.

Material supervisory determinations include:

- (a) CAMELS ratings under the Uniform Financial Institutions Rating System;
- (b) IT ratings under the Uniform Interagency Rating System for Data Processing Operations;
- (c) Trust ratings under the Uniform Interagency Trust Rating System;
- (d) CRA ratings under the Revised Uniform Interagency Community Reinvestment Act Assessment Rating System;
- (e) Consumer compliance ratings under the Uniform Interagency Consumer Compliance Rating System;
- (f) Registered transfer agent examination ratings;
- (g) Government securities dealer examination ratings;
- (h) Municipal securities dealer examination ratings;
- (i) Determinations relating to the adequacy of loan loss reserve provisions;
- (j) Classifications of loans and other assets in dispute the amount of which, individually or in the aggregate, exceeds 10 percent of an institution's total capital;
- (k) Determinations relating to violations of a statute or regulation that may affect the capital, earnings, or operating flexibility of an institution, or otherwise affect the nature and level of supervisory oversight accorded an institution;
- (l) Truth in Lending (Regulation Z) restitution;
- (m) Filings made pursuant to 12 CFR 303.11(f), for which a request for reconsideration has been granted, other than denials of a change in bank control, change in senior executive officer or board of directors, or denial of an application pursuant to section 19 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act ("FDI Act"), 12 U.S.C. 1829 (which are contained in 12 CFR 308, subparts D, L,

and M, respectively), if the filing was originally denied by the Director, Deputy Director, or Associate Director of the Division of Depositor and Consumer Protection ("DCP"), the Division of Risk Management Supervision ("RMS"), or the Office of Complex Financial Institutions ("OCFI"); and

(n) Any other supervisory determination (unless otherwise not eligible for appeal) that may affect the capital, earnings, operating flexibility, or capital category for prompt corrective action purposes of an institution, or otherwise affect the nature and level of supervisory oversight accorded an institution.

Material supervisory determinations do not include:

- (a) Decisions to appoint a conservator or receiver for an insured depository institution;
- (b) Decisions to take prompt corrective action pursuant to section 38 of the FDI Act, 12 U.S.C. 1831o;
- (c) Determinations for which other appeals procedures exist (such as determinations of deposit insurance assessment risk classifications and payment calculations);
- (d) Decisions to initiate informal enforcement actions (such as memoranda of understanding); and
- (e) Formal enforcement-related actions and decisions, including determinations and the underlying facts and circumstances that form the basis of a recommended or pending formal enforcement action, and FDIC determinations regarding compliance with an existing formal enforcement action.

A formal enforcement-related action or decision commences, and therefore becomes unappealable, when the FDIC initiates a formal investigation under 12 U.S.C. 1820(c) or provides written notice to the bank indicating its intention to pursue available formal enforcement remedies under applicable statutes or published enforcement-related policies of the FDIC, including written notice of a referral to the Attorney General or a notice to the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development for violations of the Equal Credit Opportunity Act or the Fair Housing Act. For the purposes of these guidelines, remarks in a Report of Examination do not constitute written notice of intent to pursue formal enforcement remedies.

E. Good-Faith Resolution

An institution should make a good-faith effort to resolve any dispute concerning a material supervisory determination with the on-site examiner and/or the appropriate Regional Office.

The on-site examiner and the Regional Office will promptly respond to any concerns raised by an institution regarding a material supervisory determination. Informal resolution of disputes with the on-site examiner and/or the appropriate Regional Office is encouraged, but seeking such a resolution is not a condition to filing a request for review with the appropriate Division or Office, either DCP, RMS, or OCFI, or to filing an appeal with the SARC under these guidelines.

F. Filing a Request for Review With the Appropriate Division or Office

An institution may file a request for review of a material supervisory determination with the Division or Office that made the determination, either the Director, DCP, Director, RMS, or Director, OCFI ("Director," "Division Director," or "Office Director"), 550 17th Street NW., Room F-4076, Washington, DC 20429, within 60 calendar days following the institution's receipt of a report of examination containing a material supervisory determination or other written communication of a material supervisory determination. A request for review must be in writing and must include:

- (a) A detailed description of the issues in dispute, the surrounding circumstances, the institution's position regarding the dispute and any arguments to support that position (including citation of any relevant statute, regulation, policy statement, or other authority), how resolution of the dispute would materially affect the institution, and whether a good-faith effort was made to resolve the dispute with the on-site examiner and the Regional Office; and
- (b) A statement that the institution's board of directors has considered the merits of the request and has authorized that it be filed.

The Division or Office Director will issue a written determination on the request for review, setting forth the grounds for that determination, within 45 days of receipt of the request. No appeal to the SARC will be allowed unless an institution has first filed a timely request for review with the appropriate Division or Office Director.

G. Appeal to the SARC

An institution that does not agree with the written determination rendered by the Division or Office Director must appeal that determination to the SARC within 30 calendar days from the date of that determination. The Director's determination will inform the institution of the 30-day time period for

filing with the SARC and will provide the mailing address for any appeal the institution may wish to file. Failure to file within the 30-day time limit may result in denial of the appeal by the SARC. If the Division or Office Director recommends that an institution receive relief that the Director lacks delegated authority to grant, the Director may, with the approval of the Chairperson of the SARC, transfer the matter directly to the SARC without issuing a determination. Notice of such a transfer will be provided to the institution. The Division or Office Director may also request guidance from the SARC Chairperson as to procedural or other questions relating to any request for review.

H. Filing With the SARC

An appeal to the SARC will be considered filed if the written appeal is received by the FDIC within 30 calendar days from the date of the Division or Office Director's written determination or if the written appeal is placed in the U.S. mail within that 30-day period. If the 30th day after the date of the Division or Office Director's written determination is a Saturday, Sunday, or a Federal holiday, filing may be made on the next business day. The appeal should be sent to the address indicated on the Division or Office Director's determination being appealed.

I. Contents of Appeal

The appeal should be labeled to indicate that it is an appeal to the SARC and should contain the name, address, and telephone number of the institution and any representative, as well as a copy of the Division or Office Director's determination being appealed. If oral presentation is sought, that request should be included in the appeal. Only matters previously reviewed at the division level, resulting in a written determination or direct referral to the SARC, may be appealed to the SARC. Evidence not presented for review to the Division or Office Director may be submitted to the SARC only if authorized by the SARC Chairperson. The institution should set forth all of the reasons, legal and factual, why it disagrees with the Division or Office Director's determination. Nothing in the SARC administrative process shall create any discovery or other such rights.

J. Burden of Proof

The burden of proof as to all matters at issue in the appeal, including timeliness of the appeal if timeliness is at issue, rests with the institution.

K. Oral Presentation

The SARC may, in its discretion, whether or not a request is made, determine to allow an oral presentation. The SARC generally grants a request for oral presentation if it determines that oral presentation is likely to be helpful or would otherwise be in the public interest. Notice of the SARC's determination to grant or deny a request for oral presentation will be provided to the institution. If oral presentation is held, the institution will be allowed to present its positions on the issues raised in the appeal and to respond to any questions from the SARC. The SARC may also require that FDIC staff participate as the SARC deems appropriate.

L. Dismissal, Withdrawal and Rejection

An appeal may be dismissed by the SARC if it is not timely filed, if the basis for the appeal is not discernable from the appeal, or if the institution moves to withdraw the appeal. An appeal may be rejected if the right to appeal has been cut off under Section D, above.

M. Scope of Review and Decision

The SARC will review the appeal for consistency with the policies, practices, and mission of the FDIC and the overall reasonableness of, and the support offered for, the positions advanced. The SARC will notify the institution, in writing, of its decision concerning the disputed material supervisory determination(s) within 45 days from the date the SARC meets to consider the appeal, which meeting will be held within 90 days from the date of the filing of the appeal. SARC review will be limited to the facts and circumstances as they existed prior to, or at the time the material supervisory determination was made, even if later discovered, and no consideration will be given to any facts or circumstances that occur or corrective action taken after the determination was made. The SARC may reconsider its decision only on a showing of an intervening change in the controlling law or the availability of material evidence not reasonably available when the decision was issued.

N. Publication of Decisions

SARC decisions will be published, and the published SARC decisions will be redacted to avoid disclosure of exempt information. In cases in which redaction is deemed insufficient to prevent improper disclosure, published decisions may be presented in summary form. Published SARC decisions may be cited as precedent in appeals to the SARC.

O. SARC Guidelines Generally

Appeals to the SARC will be governed by these guidelines. The SARC will retain discretion to waive any provision of the guidelines for good cause. The SARC may adopt supplemental rules governing its operations; order that material be kept confidential; and consolidate similar appeals.

P. Limitation on Agency Ombudsman

The subject matter of a material supervisory determination for which either an appeal to the SARC has been filed, or a final SARC decision issued, is not eligible for consideration by the Ombudsman.

Q. Coordination With State Regulatory Authorities

In the event that a material supervisory determination subject to a request for review is the joint product of the FDIC and a State regulatory authority, the Director, DCP, or the Director, RMS, or the Director, OCFI, as appropriate, will promptly notify the appropriate State regulatory authority of the request, provide the regulatory authority with a copy of the institution's request for review and any other related materials, and solicit the regulatory authority's views regarding the merits of the request before making a determination. In the event that an appeal is subsequently filed with the SARC, the SARC will notify the institution and the State regulatory authority of its decision. Once the SARC has issued its determination, any other issues that may remain between the institution and the State authority will be left to those parties to resolve.

R. Effect on Supervisory or Enforcement Actions

The use of the procedures set forth in these guidelines by any institution will not affect, delay, or impede any formal or informal supervisory or enforcement action in progress or affect the FDIC's authority to take any supervisory or enforcement action against that institution.

S. Effect on Applications or Requests for Approval

Any application or request for approval made to the FDIC by an institution that has appealed a material supervisory determination that relates to, or could affect the approval of, the application or request will not be considered until a final decision concerning the appeal is made unless otherwise requested by the institution.

T. Prohibition on Examiner Retaliation

The FDIC has an experienced examination workforce and is proud of its professionalism and dedication. FDIC policy prohibits any retaliation, abuse, or retribution by an agency examiner or any FDIC personnel against an institution. Such behavior against an institution that appeals a material supervisory determination constitutes unprofessional conduct and will subject the examiner or other personnel to appropriate disciplinary or remedial action. Institutions that believe they have been retaliated against are encouraged to contact the Regional Director for the appropriate FDIC region. Any institution that believes or has any evidence that it has been subject to retaliation may file a complaint with the Director, Office of the Ombudsman, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, 550 17th Street, Washington, DC 20429, explaining the circumstances and the basis for such belief or evidence and requesting that the complaint be investigated and appropriate disciplinary or remedial action taken. The Office of the Ombudsman will work with the appropriate Division or Office Director to resolve the allegation of retaliation.

* * * * *

Guidelines for Appeals of Deposit Insurance Assessment Determinations

A. Introduction

The Assessment Appeals Committee ("AAC") was formed in 1999 and, pursuant to the direction of the FDIC Board of Directors, functions as the appellate entity responsible for making final determinations pursuant to Part 327 of the FDIC's regulations regarding the assessment risk assignment, the assessment payment computation, and other related assessment determinations affecting insured depository institutions. Institutions that dispute the computation of their quarterly assessment payments must comply with the time limits and other filing requirements set forth at 12 CFR 327.3(f). Generally, any such request may be made within 90 days of the quarterly assessment invoice for which a revision is requested. Institutions that dispute their risk assignment—or dispute any determination for which review may be requested as provided in Part 327—must comply with the time limits and other filing requirements set forth at 12 CFR 327.4(c). Generally, an institution may request review within 90 days from the date it receives notice of its risk assignment or other disputed determination from the FDIC. The AAC provides a process for considering all

deposit insurance assessment appeals brought from determinations made by the appropriate FDIC divisions pursuant to 12 CFR 327.3(f) and 327.4(c). The procedures set forth in these guidelines apply to all appeals to the AAC.

B. AAC Membership

The following individuals comprise the five (5) voting members of the AAC, representing each member of the FDIC Board of Directors: (1) One inside FDIC Board member, either the Vice Chairperson or the Director (Appointive), as designated by the FDIC Chairperson (this person would serve as Chairperson of the AAC); (2) one of the deputies or special assistants to the FDIC Chairperson, to be designated by the FDIC Chairperson; (3) a deputy or special assistant to the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency's member on the FDIC's Board of Directors; (4) a deputy or special assistant to the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau's member on the FDIC's Board of Directors; and (5) a deputy or special assistant to either the Vice Chairperson or the inside Director (Appointive), whoever is not the AAC Chairperson. The General Counsel is a non-voting member of the AAC. The FDIC Chairperson may designate alternative member(s) for the AAC if vacancies occur. A member of the AAC may designate and authorize the most senior member of his or her staff within the substantive area of responsibility related to cases before the AAC to act on his or her behalf.

C. Institutions Eligible To Appeal

These guidelines apply to all depository institutions insured by the FDIC.

D. Determinations Subject To Appeal

The AAC, upon appeal by an insured depository institution, reviews determinations of the Director of the Division of Insurance and Research, the Director of the Division of Risk Management Supervision, the Director of the Division of Depositor and Consumer Protection, or the Director of the Office of Complex Financial Institutions ("OCFI") made pursuant to the procedures set forth at 12 CFR 327.4(c) regarding the assessment risk assignment provided by the FDIC to the institution—or any determination for which review may be requested as provided in Part 327—and renders a final determination. The AAC also, upon appeal by an insured depository institution, reviews determinations made pursuant to 12 CFR 327.3(f) by the Director of the Division of Finance regarding the computation of the

institution's assessment payment and renders a final determination.

E. Appeal to the AAC

An institution that does not agree with the written determination rendered by the appropriate Division or Office Director pursuant to 12 CFR 327.4(c) and 327.3(f) must appeal that determination to the AAC within 30 calendar days from the date of the determination. The Director's determination will inform the institution of the 30-day time limit for filing with the AAC and will provide the mailing address for any appeal the institution may wish to file. Failure to file within the 30-day time period may result in denial of the appeal by the AAC.

If a Director recommends that an institution receive relief that the Director lacks delegated authority to grant, the Director may, with the approval of the Chairperson of the AAC, transfer the matter directly to the AAC without issuing a determination. Notice of such a transfer will be provided to the institution. A Director may also request guidance from the AAC Chairperson as to procedural or other questions relating to any request for revision or request for review.

F. Filing With the AAC

An appeal to the AAC will be considered filed if the written appeal is received by the FDIC within 30 calendar days from the date of the Division or Office Director's written determination or if the written appeal is placed in the U.S. mail within that 30-day period. If the 30th day after the date of the Director's written determination is a Saturday, Sunday, or a Federal holiday, filing may be made on the next business day. The appeal should be sent to the address indicated on the determination being appealed.

G. Contents of Appeal

The appeal should be labeled to indicate that it is an appeal to the AAC and should contain the name, address, and telephone number of the institution and any representative, as well as a copy of the determination being appealed. If oral presentation is sought, that request should be included in the appeal. Only matters previously reviewed at the division level, resulting in either a written determination or a direct referral to the AAC, may be appealed to the AAC. Evidence not presented for review at the division level may be submitted to the AAC only if authorized by the AAC Chairperson. The institution should set forth all of the reasons, legal and factual, why it

disagrees with the determination. Nothing in the AAC administrative process shall create any discovery or other such rights.

H. Burden of Proof

The burden of proof as to all matters at issue in the appeal, including timeliness of the appeal if timeliness is at issue, rests with the institution.

I. Oral Presentation

The AAC may, in its discretion, whether or not a request is made, determine to allow an oral presentation. The AAC generally grants a request for oral presentation if it determines that oral presentation is likely to be helpful or would otherwise be in the public interest. Notice of the AAC's determination to grant or deny a request for oral presentation will be provided to the institution. If oral presentation is held, the institution will be allowed to present its position on the issues raised in the appeal and to respond to any questions from the AAC. The AAC may also require that FDIC staff participate as the AAC deems appropriate.

J. Dismissal and Withdrawal

An appeal may be dismissed by the AAC if it is not timely filed, if the legal or factual basis for the appeal is not discernable from the appeal, or if the institution moves to withdraw the appeal.

K. Scope of Review and Decision

The AAC will review all submissions concerning an appeal, review the final determination being appealed, consider any other matters it deems in its discretion to be appropriate, and issue a written decision within 60 days from the date the appeal is filed, or within 60 days from oral presentation, if held. The AAC may reconsider its decision only on a showing of an intervening change in the controlling law or the availability of material evidence not reasonably available when the decision was issued.

L. Publication of Decisions

AAC decisions will be published and the published AAC decisions will be redacted to avoid disclosure of exempt information. In cases where redaction is deemed to be insufficient to prevent improper disclosure, published decisions may be presented in summary form. Published decisions of the AAC may be cited as precedent in appeals to the AAC.

M. AAC Guidelines Generally

Appeals to the AAC will be governed by these guidelines. The AAC will retain the discretion to waive any

provision of the guidelines for good cause; the AAC may adopt supplemental rules governing AAC operations; the AAC may order that material be kept confidential; and the AAC may consolidate similar appeals.

N. Effect on Deposit Insurance Assessment Payments

The use of the procedures set forth in these guidelines by an insured institution will not affect, delay, or impede the obligation of that institution to make timely payment of any deposit insurance assessment.

By order of the Board of Directors.

Dated at Washington, DC, this 20th day of March, 2012.

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

Robert E. Feldman,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012-7049 Filed 3-22-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6714-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Announcement of Requirements and Registration for Beat Down Blood Pressure Challenge

AGENCY: Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology, Department of Health and Human Services.

Award Approving Official: Jodi Daniel, Director, Office of Policy and Planning.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology (ONC), in partnership with Million Hearts, an HHS initiative to prevent a million heart attacks and strokes in five years, announces the launch of the *Beat Down Blood Pressure Video Challenge*. This challenge is an open call for the public to create and submit short, compelling videos sharing how they use health IT or consumer e-health tools to manage high blood pressure. Health care providers are also encouraged to apply to demonstrate how they use electronic health records and other health IT to manage their patients' high blood pressure. This is the second in a series of Health IT video contests that will occur throughout 2012. The goal of this video contest series is to generate content that will be used to motivate and inspire others to leverage technology to be more engaged partners in improving their health and health care. Each challenge will be a call to action for members of the public to create a short video clip [2 minutes or

less] on a particular theme, and will award cash prizes to winners in several categories.

DATES: Effective on March 21, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Erin Poetter, Consumer e-Health Policy Analyst, erin.poetter@hhs.gov | 202.205.3310.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Subject of Challenge Competition

We invite the general public to create short (<2 min long), compelling videos sharing how they use *health IT* or *consumer e-health tools* to manage high blood pressure. Videos will demonstrate how health IT is used to support blood pressure control through activities such as routine monitoring of blood pressure, taking blood pressure medications as prescribed, and maintaining a healthy lifestyle that helps lower blood pressure. High blood pressure (aka "hypertension") affects one in three adults in the U.S. and is sometimes referred to as the "silent killer" because it damages the brain, heart, eyes, and kidneys while causing no symptoms. If left untreated, high blood pressure can result in strokes, heart attacks, and kidney failure. Fortunately there are steps that each of us can take to prevent or manage high blood pressure and change our future health for the better.

Participants can demonstrate how they use health IT or consumer e-health tools to monitor their blood pressure, take medication as prescribed to maintain low blood pressure, and/or make lifestyle changes that reduce your risks and enhance heart health. Participants may also discuss how they are partnering with their health care provider to leverage health IT to better monitor and manage their blood pressure.

Health care providers can demonstrate how they use electronic health records and other health IT to manage their patients' hypertension, help them take their medications as prescribed, and help their patients adopt healthy habits that enhance control of blood pressure.

Eligibility Rules for Participating in the Competition

To be eligible to win a prize under this challenge, an individual or entity—

(1) Shall have registered to participate in the competition under the rules promulgated by HHS;

(2) Shall have complied with all the requirements under this section;

(3) In the case of a private entity, shall be incorporated in and maintain a primary place of business in the United States, and in the case of an individual,