

ACTION: Commission determination not to close any part of the hearing to the public.

SUMMARY: The Commission has determined to deny the request of Karl Schmidt Unisia, Inc. ("Karl Schmidt") to conduct a portion of its hearing in the above-captioned investigations scheduled for September 17, 2009 *in camera*. See Commission rules 207.24(d), 201.13(m) and 201.36(b)(4) (19 CFR 207.24(d), 201.13(m) and 201.36(b)(4)).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Marc A. Bernstein, Office of General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202-205-3087. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter may be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Karl Schmidt's request to conduct a portion of the hearing *in camera* did not satisfy the requirements of Commission rule 207.24(d) because Karl Schmidt did not identify specific issues it intended to discuss in a closed hearing, nor did it indicate why it could not present its arguments and testimony in a public session. Karl Schmidt's request also incorrectly assumed that it could present *in camera* testimony from corporate witnesses who had not entered the administrative protective order (APO) and that it could make its entire presentation *in camera*. Moreover, the Commission took into account that Karl Schmidt asked that the hearing be held *in camera*, "with only counsel granted APO permission present throughout." This would exclude Petitioner's counsel, who is not subject to the APO, from hearing Respondent's arguments. Consequently, in light of the circumstances of these investigations, the Commission has concluded that it will be able to assess adequately all arguments raised by Karl Schmidt without resorting to the extraordinary measure of an *in camera* hearing. Accordingly, the Commission has determined that the public interest would be best served by a hearing that is entirely open to the public. See 19 CFR 201.36(c)(1).

Authority: This notice is provided pursuant to Commission Rule 201.35(b) (19 CFR 201.35(b)).

Issued: September 16, 2009.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

William R. Bishop,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E9-22582 Filed 9-18-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of a Consent Decree Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on September 14, 2009, a proposed consent decree in *United States v. Pharmacia Corp., et al.*, Civil No. 99-63-GPM, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois.

In this action brought pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. 9607, the United States sought recovery of unreimbursed past response costs and prejudgment interest incurred by the United States Environmental Protection Agency for response actions at the Sauget Area 1 Sites in Sauget, St. Clair County, Illinois. In addition, defendant Solutia Inc. filed a counterclaim against the United States and cross-claims against other defendants for contribution. Under the proposed consent decree, defendants Solutia, Pharmacia Corporation, Cerro Flow Products, Inc. and ExxonMobil Oil Corporation will pay a total of \$4,350,000 to the Hazardous Substance Superfund. Defendant Village of Sauget will pay a total of \$500,000, stipulate to judgment of \$6,500,000, and remit 95% of its insurance recovery to the United States. Finally, under the proposed consent decree, the United States will pay a total of \$1,125,000.

The Department of Justice will accept comments relating to the three proposed consent decrees for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of publication of this notice. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and mailed either electronically to pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov or in hard copy to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611. Comments should refer to *United States v. Pharmacia Corp., et al.*, Civil No. 99-63-GPM (S.D. Ill.) and D.J. Reference No. 90-11-2-06089.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at: (1) The Office of the United States Attorney for the Southern

District of Illinois, Nine Executive Drive, Fairview Heights, Illinois 62208, (618) 628-3700; and (2) the United States Environmental Protection Agency (Region 5), 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590 (contact Thomas J. Martin (312-886-4273)). During the comment period, the proposed consent decrees may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site: <http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/ConsentDecrees.html>. Copies of the proposed consent decrees may also be obtained by mail from the Department of Justice Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), fax no. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please refer to the referenced case and D.J. Reference No. 90-11-2-06089, and enclose a check in the amount of \$10.00 for the three consent decrees (40 pages at 25 cents per page reproduction costs), made payable to the U.S. Treasury.

Maureen Katz,

Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. E9-22540 Filed 9-18-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Liability, and Compensation Act

Notice is hereby given that on September 10, 2009, the United States filed a Complaint and lodged a proposed Consent Decree in *United States v. StarLink Logistics, Inc. (SLLI)*, Case No. CV-09-4185-BZ (N.D. Cal.). The Complaint asserts claims against SLLI under CERCLA Sections 107(a)(2) and 113(g)(2), 42 U.S.C. 9607(a)(2) and 9613(g)(2), to recover past response costs and to obtain a declaratory judgment for future costs incurred by the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") at the Rhone-Poulenc/Zoecon Corp. Superfund Site located at 1990 Bay Road, East Palo Alto, San Mateo County, California ("Site").

The proposed Consent Decree resolves claims in the Complaint. Under the Decree, SLLI will pay EPA \$784,363.33 in past costs, defined as costs incurred through May 31, 2009, and all future costs incurred thereafter associated with the Wetland Operable