

generally in the public interest. Accordingly, the Commission has granted the joint motion to terminate the investigation based on the settlement agreement.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.21 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR. 210.21).

Issued: July 19, 2006.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E6-11753 Filed 7-24-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-253 and 731-TA-132, 252, 271, 273, 409, 410, 532-534, and 536 (Second Review)]

Certain Pipe and Tube From Argentina, Brazil, India, Korea, Mexico, Taiwan, Thailand, and Turkey

Determinations

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act), that revocation of the countervailing duty order on circular welded pipe and tube from Turkey; the antidumping duty orders on circular welded pipe and tube from Brazil, India, Korea, Mexico, Taiwan, Thailand, and Turkey; and the antidumping duty order on light-walled rectangular pipe and tube from Taiwan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. The Commission further determines that revocation of the antidumping duty order on light-walled rectangular pipe and tube from Argentina would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.²

Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on July 1, 2005 (65 FR 38204) and determined on October 4, 2005 that

it would conduct full reviews (70 FR 60367, October 17, 2005). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's reviews and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** on December 5, 2005 (70 FR 72467).³ The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on May 9, 2006, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determinations in these reviews to the Secretary of Commerce on July 18, 2006. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3867 (July 2006), entitled *Certain Pipe and Tube from Argentina, Brazil, India, Korea, Mexico, Taiwan, Thailand, and Turkey* (Inv. Nos. 701-TA-253 and 731-TA-132, 252, 271, 409, 410, 532-534, and 536 (Second Review)).

Issued: July 18, 2006.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E6-11755 Filed 7-24-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731-TA-636-638 (Second Review)]

Stainless Steel Wire Rod From Brazil, France, and India

Determination

On the basis of the record¹ developed in these subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act), that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on stainless steel wire rod from Brazil and France would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.² The Commission further determines that revocation of the antidumping duty

³ The Commission revised its schedule in these reviews on June 2, 2006 (71 FR 33484, June 9, 2006).

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

² Commissioners Stephen Koplan and Charlotte R. Lane dissenting with respect to Brazil; Commissioner Lane dissenting with respect to France.

order on stainless steel wire rod from India would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on July 1, 2005 (70 FR 38207) and determined on October 4, 2005 that it would conduct full reviews (70 FR 60109, October 14, 2005). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's reviews and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** on January 23, 2006 (71 FR 3541). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on May 18, 2006, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determinations in these reviews to the Secretary of Commerce on July 19, 2006. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3866 (July 2006), entitled *Stainless Steel Wire Rod from Brazil, France, and India: Investigation Nos. 731-TA-636-638 (Second Review)*.

Issued: July 20, 2006.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E6-11836 Filed 7-24-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[AAG/A Order No. 011-2006]

Privacy Act of 1974; System of Records

AGENCY: Executive Office for United States Attorneys, Department of Justice.

ACTION: Notice of modifications to system of records.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a) and Circular A-130 of the Office of Management and Budget ("OMB"), the Executive Office for United States Attorneys ("EOUSA"), Department of Justice ("DOJ"), proposes to update its system of records entitled JUSTICE/USA-015—"Debt Collection Enforcement System," last substantively revised on November 12, 1993 (58 FR 60055)—to reflect subsequent legal and administrative developments.

DATES: These actions will be effective September 5, 2006.

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

² Commissioners Stephen Koplan and Charlotte R. Lane dissenting.