CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this new shipper review. Where either the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or de minimis, or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or de minimis, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties. The final results of this new shipper review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this new shipper review for shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For merchandise produced and exported by Merry and Qingshui, the cash deposit rates will be that established in the final results of these reviews (except, if the rate is zero or de minimis, then zero cash deposit will be required); (2) for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters not listed above that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing producer/exporter-specific combination rate; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that for the PRC-wide entity; and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC producer/exporter combination that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further

Notification To Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

The Department is issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214 and 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: May 13, 2014.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

I. Summary II. Background

III. Scope of the Order

IV. Discussion of the Methodology

V. Date of Sale

VI. Fair Value Comparisons

VII. Differential Pricing Analysis

VIII. U.S. Price

IX. Normal Value

X. Factor Valuations

XI. Currency Conversion

XII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2014-11677 Filed 5-19-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Baylor College of Medicine, et al. Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Electron Microscope

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, as amended by Pub. L. 106–36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. in Room 3720, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 14–001. Applicant: Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX 77030. Instrument: Electron Microscope. Manufacturer: FEI Company, Czech Republic. Intended Use: See notice at 79 FR 11760, March 3, 2014.

Docket Number: 14–003. Applicant: Western Kentucky University, Bowling Green, KY 42101. Instrument: Electron Microscope. Manufacturer: JEOL Ltd., Japan. Intended Use: See notice at 79 FR 18013, March 31, 2014.

Docket Number: 14–004. Applicant: Utah State University, Logan, UT 84322–8300. Instrument: Electron Microscope. Manufacturer: FEI Company, Czech Republic. Intended Use: See notice at 79 FR 18013, March 31, 2014.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as this instrument is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States at the time the instrument was ordered. Reasons: Each foreign instrument is an electron microscope and is intended for research or scientific educational uses requiring an electron microscope. We know of no electron microscope, or any other instrument suited to these purposes, which was being manufactured in the United States at the time of order of each instrument.

Dated: May 13, 2014.

Gregory W. Campbell,

Director, Subsidies Enforcement Office, Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2014–11690 Filed 5–19–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; Southwest Region Vessel Identification Requirements

AGENCY: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Commerce.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, as amended.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before July 21, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Jennifer Jessup, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6616, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at JJessup@doc.gov).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to Chris Fanning, (562) 980–4198, *Chris.Fanning@noaa.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

This request is for extension of a current information collection.

Regulations at 50 CFR 660.704 require that all vessels with permits issued under authority of the National Marine Fishery Service's (NMFS) Fishery Management Plan for United States (U.S.) West Coast Highly Migratory Species Fisheries display the vessel's official number. The numbers must be of a specific size and format and located at specified locations. The display of the identifying number aids in fishery law enforcement.

II. Method of Collection

The vessels' official numbers are displayed on the vessels.

III. Data

OMB Number: 0648–0361. Form Number: None.

Type of Review: Regular submission (extension of a current information collection).

Affected Public: Business or other forprofit organizations.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 2,000.

Estimated Time per Response: 45 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 1,500.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: \$20,000.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: May 14, 2014.

Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2014–11531 Filed 5–19–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[RIN 0648-BD68]

Fisheries of the Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico, and South Atlantic; Amendment 28 to the Fishery Management Plan for the Reef Fish Resources of the Gulf of Mexico

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Supplemental Notice of Intent (NOI) to prepare a draft environmental impact statement (DEIS); request for comments.

SUMMARY: NMFS, Southeast Region, in collaboration with the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council (Council) intends to prepare a DEIS to describe and analyze management alternatives to be included in Amendment 28 to the Fishery Management Plan (FMP) for the Reef Fish Resources of the Gulf of Mexico (Amendment 28). Actions considered in Amendment 28 were initially limited to alternatives to reallocate Gulf of Mexico (Gulf) red snapper resources between the commercial and recreational sectors. However, NMFS and the Council have since modified the scope of Amendment 28 to consider actions that would revise the recreational accountability measures (AMs) for Gulf red snapper. These actions would establish an annual catch target (ACT) by applying a buffer to the recreational quota and establish recreational quota overage adjustment provisions (paybacks). These additional actions will support management efforts to maintain landings within the recreational quota and mitigate recreational quota overages should they occur. The purpose of this NOI is to solicit public comments on the modified scope of issues to be addressed in the

DATES: Written comments on the scope of issues to be addressed in the DEIS must be received by NMFS by June 19, 2014.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments on Amendment 28 identified by "NOAA–NMFS–2013–0146" by any of the following methods:

• Electronic submissions: Submit electronic comments via the Federal e-Rulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Go to www.regulations.gov/#!docketDetail;D=NOAA-NMFS-2013-0146, click the "Comment Now!" icon,

complete the required fields, and enter or attach your comments.

• *Mail*: Submit written comments to Peter Hood, Southeast Regional Office, NMFS, 263 13th Avenue South, St. Petersburg, FL 33701.

Instructions: Comments sent by any other method, to any other address or individual, or received after the end of the comment period, may not be considered by NMFS. All comments received are a part of the public record and will generally be posted for public viewing on www.regulations.gov without change. All personal identifying information (e.g., name, address, etc.), confidential business information, or otherwise sensitive information submitted voluntarily by the sender will be publicly accessible. NMFS will accept anonymous comments (enter "N/ A" in the required fields if you wish to remain anonymous). Attachments to electronic comments will be accepted in Microsoft Word, Excel, or Adobe PDF file formats only.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Peter Hood, Southeast Regional Office, telephone: (727) 824–5305; or email: peter.hood@noaa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: An NOI to prepare a DEIS for Amendment 28 was published in the Federal Register on November 7, 2013 (78 FR 66900) and requested public comment through December 9, 2013. Management alternatives initially considered in Amendment 28 were to reallocate red snapper resources between the commercial and recreational sectors with the purpose of increasing the net benefits and the stability of the red snapper component of the reef fish fishery.

In September 2013, individual commercial fishermen and two commercial fishing interest groups filed a lawsuit challenging the rules that implemented the red snapper quotas for the 2013 fishing year and set the 2013 recreational red snapper fishing season. In March 2014, the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia (Court) in Guindon v. Pritzker. 2014 WL 1274076 (D.D.C. Mar. 26, 2014) ruled in favor of the plaintiffs, finding, in relevant part, that NMFS failed to require adequate AMs for the recreational sector, failed to prohibit the retention of fish after the recreational quota had been harvested, and failed to use the best scientific information available when determining if the quota had been met.

The Council reviewed the Court's opinion and determined further AMs were needed to improve red snapper management and reduce the probability of exceeding the recreational quota. The