

imported. The written submissions and proposed remedial orders must be filed no later than close of business on July 9, 2012. Initial submissions are limited to 70 pages, not including any attachments or exhibits related to discussion of the public interest. Reply submissions must be filed no later than the close of business on July 16, 2012. Reply submissions are limited to 25 pages, not including any attachments or exhibits related to discussion of the public interest. No further submissions on these issues will be permitted unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document electronically on or before the deadlines stated above and submit 8 true paper copies to the Office of the Secretary by noon the next day pursuant to section 210.4(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 C.F.R. 210.4(f)). Submissions should refer to the investigation number ("Inv. No. 337-TA-754") in a prominent place on the cover page and/or the first page. (See Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures, http://www.usitc.gov/secretary/fed_reg_notices/rules/handbook_on_electronic_filing.pdf). Persons with questions regarding filing should contact the Secretary (202-205-2000).

Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. A redacted non-confidential version of the document must also be filed simultaneously with the any confidential filing. All non-confidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary and on EDIS.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in sections 210.42-46 and 210.50 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42-46 and 210.50).

Issued: June 25, 2012.

By order of the Commission.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2012-15916 Filed 6-28-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-850]

Certain Electronic Imaging Devices; Institution of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on May 23, 2012, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of FlashPoint Technology, Inc. of Peterborough, New Hampshire. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain electronic imaging devices by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,400,471 ("the '471 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 6,222,538 ("the '538 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 6,504,575 ("the '575 patent"); and U.S. Patent No. 6,223,190 ("the '190 patent"). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainants request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue an exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The Office of the Secretary, Docket Services Division, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-1802.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2012).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on June 22, 2012, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain electronic imaging devices that infringe one or more of claims 1-5, 7, 8, 10, 22, 24, 26, 28, 31, 34-43, 60, and 62-69 of the '471 patent; claims 1, 17, 19, and 21-23 of the '538 patent; claims 1, 8, 17, 18, 20-22, 26, and 28 of the '575 patent, and claims 13, 14, 16, 20-29, 31-33, 36-39, 42, 43, 46-49, and 52-56 of the '190 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is: FlashPoint Technology, Inc., 20 Depot Street, Suite 2A, Peterborough, NH 03458.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

HTC Corporation, 23 Xinghua Road, Taoyuan, 330, Taiwan.

HTC America, Inc., 13920 SE Eastgate Way, Suite 400, Bellevue, WA 98005.

Pantech Co., Ltd., Pantech Building I-2, DMC, Sangam-dong, Mapo-gu, Seoul 121-792, Republic of Korea.

Pantech Wireless, Inc., 5607 Glenridge Dr. NE Ste 500, Atlanta, GA 30342-7200.

Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd., Bantian, Longgang District, Shenzhen, Guangdong Province 51 g 1-29, China.

FutureWei Technologies, Inc. d/b/a Huawei Technologies (USA), 5700 Tennyson Parkway, Suite 500, Plano, TX 75021-4234.

ZTE Corporation, ZTE Plaza, No. 55 Hi-Tech Road South, Shenzhen,

Guangdong Province 518057, China. ZTE (USA) Inc., 2425 N. Central Expy., Ste. 600, Richardson, TX 75080.

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission,

shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

The Office of Unfair Import Investigations will not participate as a party in this investigation.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d)–(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

Issued: June 25, 2012.

By order of the Commission.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2012–15975 Filed 6–28–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of an Amendment to Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act

Notice is hereby given that on June 25, 2012, a proposed Second Amendment to the consent decree in *United States et al. v. Lafarge North America, et al.*, Civil Action No. 3:10-cv-44–JPG was lodged with the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois.

On March 18, 2010, the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois entered a consent decree (“decree”) resolving claims of the United States and twelve states or state agencies against Lafarge North America, Inc., Lafarge Midwest, Inc., and Lafarge Building Materials, Inc. (“Lafarge”) for

alleged violations of the Clean Air Act (“CAA” or “Act”) at its thirteen portland cement production facilities in the United States. Specifically, the consent decree resolved alleged violations of the Act’s Prevention of Significant Deterioration (“PSD”) provisions, 42 U.S.C. 7470–92; Nonattainment New Source Review (“NNSR”) provisions, 42 U.S.C. 7501–15; the federally approved and enforceable state implementation plans (“SIPs”) which incorporate and/or implement the above-listed federal PSD and/or NNSR requirements; and the CAA Title V operating permit requirements, 42 U.S.C. 7661–61f, including Title V’s implementing federal and state regulations.

The proposed Second Amendment affects only three of the thirteen cement plants addressed in the Consent Decree: the Roberta, Alabama; Harleyville, South Carolina; and Atlanta, Georgia cement plants. The Amendment substitutes Argos USA Corp. and Argos Cement LLC (collectively, “Argos”) for Lafarge with respect to those facilities following their sale by Lafarge to Argos on October 3, 2011. Argos has agreed to undertake the Consent Decree obligations applicable to those facilities, to be substituted for Lafarge with respect to those facilities and has demonstrated that it has the financial and technical ability to assume the Decree’s obligations at those facilities. The proposed Second Amendment also amends the Consent Decree to terminate Consent Decree requirements applicable to the Atlanta facility because all Decree obligations at that plant have been met and no further obligations apply to that facility under the Decree.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the proposed Second Amendment. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either emailed to pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611, and should refer to *United States et al. v. Lafarge North America, et al.*, Civil Action No. 3:10-cv-44–JPG, DJ# 90–5–2–1–08221.

During the public comment period, the proposed Second Amendment to the consent decree may be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html. A copy of the proposed consent decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC

20044–7611 or by faxing or emailing a request to “Consent Decree Copy” (EESCDCopy.ENRD@usdoj.gov), fax no. (202) 514–0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514–5271. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$ 11.50 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury or, if by email or fax, forward a check in that amount to the Consent Decree Library at the given address above.

Maureen M. Katz,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2012–15994 Filed 6–28–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—DVD Copy Control Association

Notice is hereby given that, on May 24, 2012, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), DVD Copy Control Association (“DVD CCA”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Audio + Video Labs Inc., Pennsauken, NJ, has been added as a party to this venture.

Also, East European Authoring and Encoding Centre Ltd., Sofia, Bulgaria; Hansong (Nanjing) Electronics Ltd., Nanjing, People’s Republic of China; Primare Systems, Växjö, Sweden; Rohm Co., Ltd., Ukyo-ku, Kyoto, Japan; and Seripress SAS, Bulgneville, France, have withdrawn as parties to this venture.

In addition, SM Summit Holdings Limited has changed its name to Centurion Corporation Limited, Singapore, Singapore; and Ultra Source Technology Corp. has changed its name to Ultra Source Trading Hong Kong Limited, Shatin N.T., Hong Kong-China.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and DVD CCA intends to file additional written