

potential economic benefit to the Moapa Band.

The BIA and BLM will use the EIS to make decisions on the land lease and right-of-way applications under their respective jurisdiction; the EPA may use the document to make decisions under its authorities; the Band may use the FEIS to make decisions under its Environmental Policy Ordinance; and the USFWS may use the FEIS to support its decision under the Endangered Species Act.

Directions for Submitting Comments: Please include your name, return address and the caption: "FEIS Comments, Proposed Southern Bighorn Solar Projects" on the first page of your written comments.

Locations Where the FEIS is Available for Review: The FEIS will be available for review at: BIA Western Regional Office, 2600 North Central Avenue, 12th Floor, Suite 210, Phoenix, Arizona; BIA Southern Paiute Agency, 180 North 200 East, Suite 111, St. George, Utah; and the BLM Southern Nevada District Office, 4701 North Torrey Pines Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada. The FEIS is also available on line at: www.southernbighornsolar.com.

To obtain an electronic copy of the FEIS, please provide your name and address in writing or by voicemail to Mr. Chip Lewis or Mr. Garry Cantley. Their contact information is listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this notice. Individual paper copies of the FEIS will be provided only upon request.

Public Comment Availability: Written comments, including names and addresses of respondents, will be available for public review at the BIA Western Regional Office, at the mailing address shown in the **ADDRESSES** section during regular business hours, 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except holidays. Before including your address, telephone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Authority: This notice is published in accordance with section 1503.1 of the Council on Environmental Quality regulations (40 CFR 1500 *et seq.*) and the Department of the Interior Regulations (43 CFR part 46) implementing the procedural requirements of the National

Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*), and in accordance with the exercise of authority delegated to the Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs by part 209 of the Department Manual.

Bryan Newland,

Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.

[FR Doc. 2021–11647 Filed 6–2–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4337–15–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1250]

Certain Cellular Signal Boosters, Repeaters, Bi-Directional Amplifiers, and Components Thereof (II); Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation Based on Settlement; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission ("Commission") has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 8) of the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") granting a joint motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety based on settlement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert Needham, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708–5468. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on February 25, 2021, based on a complaint filed by Wilson Electronics LLC of St. George, Utah ("Wilson"). 86 FR 11555–56 (February 25, 2021). The complaint, as supplemented, alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff

Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain cellular signal boosters, repeaters, bi-directional amplifiers, and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,221,967; 7,409,186; 7,486,929; 7,729,669; 7,783,318; 8,583,033; 8,583,034 ("the '034 patent"); 8,639,180 ("the '180 patent"); 8,755,399; 8,849,187; 8,874,029 ("the '029 patent"); and 8,874,030 ("the '030 patent"). *Id.* The Commission's notice of investigation named as respondents Cellphone-Mate, Inc. d/b/a SureCall of Fremont, California, and Shenzhen SureCall Communication Technology Co., Ltd. of Shenzhen, China (together, "SureCall"). *Id.* at 11556. The Commission determined to sever the investigation into three separate investigations based on the complaint to further efficient adjudication. The present investigation was instituted to determine whether there is a violation of Section 337 with respect to claims 1–20 of the '034; claims 10–14, and 16–17 of the '180 patent; claims 1–10 and 13–15 of the '029 patent; and claims 1–24 of the '030 patent. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not participating in this investigation. *Id.*

On May 12, 2021, Wilson and SureCall jointly moved to terminate the investigation based on settlement. No responses to the motion were received.

On May 13, 2021, the ALJ issued the subject ID, granting the motion and terminating the investigation based on settlement. The ID finds that the motion complies with Commission Rule 210.21(b) and that "there is no evidence showing that terminating this investigation on the basis of settlement would adversely affect the public health and welfare, competitive conditions in the U.S. economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or U.S. consumers." Order No. 8, at 2–3. No petitions for review of the ID were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. The investigation is hereby terminated in its entirety.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on May 27, 2021.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 27, 2021.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2021-11619 Filed 6-2-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-521 and 731-TA-1252-1255 and 1257 (Review)]

Steel Nails From Korea, Malaysia, Oman, Taiwan, and Vietnam

Determinations

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission ("Commission") determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act"), that revocation of the countervailing duty order on steel nails from Vietnam and the antidumping duty orders on steel nails from Korea, Malaysia, Oman, Taiwan, and Vietnam would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on June 1, 2020 (85 FR 33195) and determined on September 4, 2020 that it would conduct expedited reviews (86 FR 26545, May 14, 2021).

The Commission made these determinations pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It completed and filed its determinations in these reviews on May 28, 2021. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 5200 (May 2021), entitled *Steel Nails from Korea, Malaysia, Oman, Taiwan, and Vietnam: Investigation Nos. 701-TA-521 and 731-TA-1252-1255 and 1257 (Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 28, 2021.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2021-11703 Filed 6-2-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1260]

Certain Toner Supply Containers and Components Thereof (II); Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting Complainants' Unopposed Motion To Amend the Complaint and Notice of Investigation

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 6) of the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") granting complainants' unopposed motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation in the above-captioned investigation to correct the identification of a respondent.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Richard P. Hadorn, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3179. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On April 13, 2021, the Commission instituted this investigation based on a complaint filed by Canon Inc. of Tokyo, Japan; Canon U.S.A., Inc. of Melville, New York; and Canon Virginia, Inc. of Newport News, Virginia (collectively, "Canon"). 86 FR 19287-88 (Apr. 13, 2021). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), based on the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain toner supply containers and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of thirteen patents: U.S. Patent Nos. 10,209,667; 10,289,060; 10,289,061; 10,295,957; 10,488,814; 10,496,032; 10,496,033; 10,514,654; 10,520,881; 10,520,882; 8,565,649 ("the '649

patent"); 9,354,551 ("the '551 patent"); and 9,753,402 ("the '402 patent"). *Id.* at 19287. The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists. *Id.* The Commission instituted two separate investigations and defined the scope of the present investigation as whether there is a violation of section 337 based on the allegations of infringement as to the asserted claims of the '649, '551, and '402 patents as to the accused products identified in the notice of investigation. *Id.* The notice of investigation named eleven respondents: Sichuan XingDian Technology Co., Ltd. of Sichuan, China; Sichuan Wiztoner Technology Co., Ltd. of Sichuan, China; Anhuiyatengshangmaoyouxiangongsi of Ganyuqu, China; ChengDuXiangChang NanShiYouSheBeiYouXianGongSi of SiChuanSheng, China; Digital Marketing Corporation d/b/a Digital Buyer Marketing Company of Los Angeles, California; Do It Wiser, LLC d/b/a Image Toner ("Do It Wiser") of Wilmington, Delaware; Hefeiierlandianzishangwuyouxiangongsi of Chengdushi, China; Shenzhenshi Keluodeng Kejiyouxiangongsi of Guangdong, China; MITOCOLOR INC. of Rowland Heights, California; Xianshi yanliangqu canqiubaihuodianshanghang of Shanxisheng, China; and Zhuhai Henyun Image Co., Ltd. of Zhuhai, China. *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is also named as a party. *Id.* at 19287-88. The question of whether there is a violation of section 337 based on the allegations of infringement as to the asserted claims of the remaining patents is the subject of the severed investigation based on the same complaint, Inv. No. 337-TA-1259. *See* 86 FR 19284-86 (Apr. 13, 2021).

On April 27, 2021, Canon filed a motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation to change the identification of respondent Do It Wiser from "Do It Wiser, LLC d/b/a Image Toner" to "Do It Wiser, Inc. d/b/a Image Toner" and to make related changes in paragraph 31 of the complaint. The motion states that (i) OUII does not oppose the motion and (ii) Do It Wiser's counsel in a parallel district court lawsuit informed Canon that Do It Wiser "will not be participating in this investigation and does not oppose this motion." Mot. at 1. No responses to the motion were filed.

On May 17, 2021, the ALJ issued the subject ID granting the motion. The ID finds that, in accordance with Commission Rule 210.14(b) (19 CFR 210.14(b)), "Canon has shown good cause to amend the complaint and notice of investigation to correct the identification of the respondent identified in the original complaint as

¹ The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).