

federal funds were forced to purchase the vans from Ford, the only party able to certify compliance with Buy America. FTA determined that it was in the public interest to have competition in the market place and granted the waiver. 49 FR 13944 (1984).

FTA has reviewed the U.S. market for heavy-duty parallelogram lifts and has found that there are only two suppliers active in the U.S. market, of which only one can certify compliance with Buy America. In this circumstance, FTA concludes that the grounds for a public interest component waiver exist. Pursuant to the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 5323(j)(2)(A), a waiver is hereby granted for the foreign manufacture of the Omer heavy-duty parallelogram lifts for the period of two years, or until such time as a second domestic source for this type of lift becomes available, whichever occurs first. In order to insure that the public is aware of this waiver, particularly potential manufacturers, it will be published in the **Federal Register**.

If you have any questions, please contact Meghan G. Ludtke at (202) 366-4011.

Very truly yours,
Gregory B. McBride,
Deputy Chief Counsel.

Issued on April 12, 2001.

Hiram J. Walker,

Acting Deputy Administrator.

[FR Doc. 01-9530 Filed 4-17-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-57-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Maritime Administration

Marine Transportation System National Advisory Council

ACTION: National Advisory Council public meeting.

SUMMARY: The Maritime Administration announces that the Marine Transportation System National Advisory Council (MTSNAC) will hold a meeting to discuss ongoing action items, MTS Team endeavors, MTS priorities and visions, and other issues. A public comment period is scheduled for 11:00 AM to 12:00 PM on Friday, May 4, 2001. To provide time for as many people to speak as possible, speaking time for each individual will be limited to three minutes. Members of the public who would like to speak are asked to contact Raymond Barberesi by April 30, 2001. Commenters will be placed on the agenda in the order in which notifications are received. If time allows, additional comments will be permitted. Copies of oral comments must be submitted in writing at the meeting. Additional written comments are welcome and must be filed by May 11, 2001. Send comments to the attention of Mr. Raymond Barberesi, Director, Office of Ports and Domestic Shipping, U.S. Maritime

Administration, 400 7th Street, SW, Room 7201, Washington, DC 20590.

DATES: The meeting will be held on Thursday, May 3, 2001, from 1 p.m. to 5 p.m. and Friday, May 4, 2001, from 9 a.m. to 3 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the U.S. Merchant Marine Academy, Kings Point, NY 10024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Raymond Barberesi, (202) 366-4357; Maritime Administration, MAR 830, Room 7201, 400 Seventh St., SW, Washington, DC 20590;

Raymond.Barberesi@marad.dot.gov.

(Authority: 5 U.S.C. App 2, Sec. 9(a)(2); 41 CFR 101-6. 1005; DOT Order 1120.3B)

Dated: April 12, 2001.

Joel C. Richard,

Secretary, Maritime Administration.

[FR Doc. 01-9537 Filed 4-17-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-81-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-2001-8827; Notice 2]

Dan Hill & Associates, Inc.; Red River Manufacturing, Inc.; Grant of Applications for Temporary Exemption From Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 224

This notice grants the applications by Dan Hill & Associates, Inc. ("Dan Hill") of Norman, Oklahoma, and by Red River Manufacturing ("Red River") of West Fargo, North Dakota, for a temporary exemption from Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 224, *Rear Impact Protection*. Both petitioners assert that compliance would cause substantial economic hardship to manufacturers that have tried in good faith to comply with the standard.

Notice of receipt of Dan Hill's petition was published in the **Federal Register** on February 13, 2001, and an opportunity afforded for comment (66 FR 10050). Twenty-two comments were received, 21 of which supported the petition. As we explain more fully below, we view the issues and arguments by Red River as equivalent to those of Dan Hill and the comments as equally pertinent, and we are proceeding to a decision on Red River without issuing a separate comment notice.

Dan Hill and Red River have been the beneficiaries of temporary exemptions from Standard No. 224, and renewals of exemptions, from January 26, 1998 to February 1, 2001. (For **Federal Register** notices granting the petitions by Dan

Hill, see 63 FR 3784 and 64 FR 49047; by Red River, see 63 FR 15909 and 64 FR 49049). The information below is based on material from the petitioners' original and renewal applications of 1998 and 1999, and their most recent applications.

Why the Petitioners Say That They Continue To Need an Exemption.

Dan Hill and Red River manufacture and sell horizontal discharge trailers that are used in the road construction industry to deliver asphalt and other road building materials to construction sites ("the trailers"). The trailers are designed to connect with and latch onto various paving machines ("pavers"). With their hydraulically controlled horizontal discharge systems, the trailers discharge hot mix asphalt at a controlled rate into pavers which overlay the road surface with asphalt material.

Standard No. 224 requires, effective January 26, 1998, that all trailers with a GVWR of 4536 Kg or more, including the trailers, be fitted with a rear impact guard that conforms to Standard No. 223, *Rear impact guards*. Both petitioners have argued that installation of a fixed rear impact guard will prevent the trailers from connecting to the paver. Thus, the trailers will no longer be functional. Paving contractors will be forced to use standard dump body trucks or trailers which, according to Dan Hill, have inherent limitations and safety risks. In spite of exemptions totaling three years, each petitioner avers that it has been unable to develop a movable rear guard that will enable its trailers to conform and needs more time in which to do so. Dan Hill has asked for a one-year exemption and Red River, a two-year exemption. We discuss below their efforts to conform in greater detail.

The Petitioners' Reasons Why They Believe That Compliance Would Cause Them Substantial Economic Hardship and That They Have Tried in Good Faith To Comply With Standard No. 224.

Dan Hill. Dan Hill is a small volume manufacturer. Its total production in the 12-month period preceding its latest petition was 151 units. In the absence of a further exemption, Dan Hill asserts that approximately 70 percent of its work force would have to be laid off. If the exemption were not granted, Dan Hill's gross sales would decrease by \$8,313,337 in 2001. Its cumulative net income after taxes for the fiscal years 1998, 1999, and 2000 was \$454,556, but net income has declined in 2000 and