

Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4477.

### The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act.

### Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results

The Department of Commerce (the Department) has received requests to conduct administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on antifriction bearings (other than tapered roller bearings) and parts thereof (AFBs) from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Romania, Singapore, Sweden, and the United Kingdom. On June 30, 1999, the Department initiated these administrative reviews covering the period May 1, 1998, through April 30, 1999.

Due to the large number of respondents involved in these reviews and the Department's resource constraints, it is not practicable to complete the AFB reviews within the time limits mandated by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act. Therefore, the Department is extending the due date for the preliminary results to March 30, 2000. The Department intends to issue the final results of reviews 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results. This extension of the time limit is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: January 27, 2000.

**Laurie Parkhill,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 00-2291 Filed 2-2-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-583-008]

### Certain Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes From Taiwan; Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of amended final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** On December 13, 1999, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the final results of review of the antidumping duty order on certain circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from Taiwan (64 FR 69488). These amended final results cover the period August 1, 1987 through July 31, 1998, and four manufacturers, Yieh Hsing Enterprise Co. Ltd. (Yieh Hsing) and Kao Hsing Chang Iron & Steel Corporation (KHC), Yun Din Steel Co. Ltd. (Yun Din), and Yieh Loong Co. (Yieh Loong).

On December 15, 1999, pursuant to section 351.224 of the Department's regulations, Yieh Hsing filed an allegation of ministerial errors in the calculation of its final margin. On January 10, 2000, the petitioners filed an allegation of ministerial errors in the calculation of the final margin for KHC. The Department is publishing these amended final results to correct the ministerial errors identified by Yieh Hsing, and one of those alleged by petitioners.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** February 3, 2000.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Thomas Killiam or Robert James, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482-3019 or 482-0649, respectively.

**APPLICABLE STATUTE:** Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations, codified at 19 C.F.R. Part 351 (1999).

### MINISTERIAL ERRORS IN THE FINAL RESULTS OF REVIEW:

In its December 15, 1999 letter, Yieh Hsing alleges that the Department intended to compare U.S. sales to home market sales that occurred in the same month as, in the three months prior to, or in the two months following, the U.S. sale. Yieh Hsing further notes that where comparisons to sales of identical merchandise were not available, the Department intended to make comparisons to sales of the most physically similar home market merchandise.

Yieh Hsing contends that the final results computer program failed to successfully search for sales in months other than the month of the U.S. sale, and failed to search for home market sales of non-identical merchandise. We examined the computer program and agree with Yieh Hsing that these programming failures occurred and that they constitute clerical error within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.244(f). Therefore, for these amended final results, we have corrected the computer program, and have used all contemporaneous sales of identical or similar merchandise in our calculation of normal value.

Petitioners argue that in its calculation of the final margin for KHC, the Department incorrectly performed a foreign exchange conversion on an international freight expense, which had already been reported in U.S. dollars. We reviewed the data and agree that we intended to deduct the expense in question from the price without converting the currency, and for these amended final results, we have removed the incorrect conversion step.

Petitioners also allege that the Department's analysis program failed to effect comparisons of U.S. sales to home market sales with a "CNS" grade designation. We disagree that this model-matching methodology is a ministerial error. The Department intended to exclude CNS grades and to compare the U.S. merchandise to other, more similar home market models. *See* model match program at lines 911-918 (included in petitioners' January 10, 2000 allegation at Exhibit 6). Accordingly, we have not changed the product comparison methodology for these final results.

### Non-Responding Companies

As stated in prior notices concerning this review, Yun Din and Yieh Loong did not respond to our requests for information and were assigned, as facts available, the highest rate in any segment of this proceeding; that rate changed as a result of these amended final results.

### Amended Final Results of Review

As a result of the correction of the ministerial errors discussed above, the margins are:

Manufacturer/exporter	Period	Margin (%)
Yieh Hsing .....	5/1/97-4/30/98 .....	1.35
KHC .....	5/1/97-4/30/98 .....	24.80
Yun Din Steel Co. Ltd. ....	5/1/97-4/30/98 .....	24.80
Yieh Loong Co. ....	5/1/97-4/30/98 .....	24.80

The Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. We also will direct Customs Service to collect cash deposits of estimated antidumping duties on all appropriate entries in accordance with the procedures discussed in the final results of review and as amended by this determination. The amended deposit requirements are effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of this notice and shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(h) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.224(e).

Dated: January 19, 2000.

**Robert S. LaRussa,**  
*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 00-2292 Filed 2-2-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-201-504]

#### Porcelain-on-Steel Cookware From Mexico: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, United States Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** February 3, 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kate Johnson at (202) 482-4929, or David Goldberger at (202) 482-4136, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20230.

**POSTPONEMENT OF FINAL RESULTS OF ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW:** The Department of Commerce ("the Department") published the preliminary results of the twelfth administrative review of the antidumping duty order on Porcelain-on-Steel Cookware from Mexico on

November 5, 1999 (64 FR 60417). The current deadline for the final results in this review is March 6, 2000. In accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act"), as amended, the Department finds that it is not practicable to complete this administrative review within the original time frame due to the complex nature of certain issues in this review which require further consideration. Thus, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the final results until May 3, 2000, which is 180 days after the date on which notice of the preliminary results was published in the **Federal Register**.

Dated: January 27, 2000.

**Susan Kuhbach,**  
*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 00-2416 Filed 2-2-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-357-804]

#### Silicon Metal From Argentina: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** On October 12, 1999, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from Argentina. We preliminarily determined that sales of the subject merchandise were not made below normal value. This review covers one producer/exporter, Electrometalurgica Andina S.A.I.C. ("Andina") and the period September 1, 1997 through August 31, 1998.

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. No comments were received. Therefore, we have made no changes for the final results. We have determined that Andina has not made sales below normal value during the

period of review. Accordingly, we will instruct the U.S. Customs Service not to assess antidumping duties on entries subject to this review.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** February 3, 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Helen M. Kramer or Linda Ludwig, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482-0405 or 482-3833, respectively.

**APPLICABLE STATUTE AND REGULATIONS:** Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Trade and Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act of 1994 (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all references to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (1998).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On September 26, 1991, the Department published an antidumping duty order on silicon metal from Argentina (56 FR 48779), which was amended on July 10, 1995, pursuant to court remand (60 FR 35551). The Department published a notice of "Opportunity To Request Administrative Review" of the antidumping duty order for the 1997/1998 review period on September 11, 1998 (63 FR 49543). On September 30, 1998, the respondent, Electrometalurgica Andina S.A.I.C. ("Andina") filed a request for review. We published a notice of initiation of this review on October 29, 1998 (63 FR 58009). This review covers the period of September 1, 1997 through August 31, 1998. On October 30, 1998, the Department sent an antidumping questionnaire to Andina. The Department received questionnaire responses in November and December 1998, and responses to the Department's supplemental questionnaires in January and February 1999.

Due to the complexity of issues involved in this case, the Department extended the time limit for completion