| Segment-reach | Waterbody name               | Pollutant                   |
|---------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 08040205–904  | Jacks Bayou                  | Fecal coliform and E. coli. |
| 08040205–905  |                              | Fecal coliform and E. coli. |
| 08040205–907  | Chemin-A-Haut Creek          | Fecal coliform and E. coli. |
| 11010012–003  |                              | Fecal coliform and E. coli. |
| 11010012–008  |                              | Fecal coliform and E. coli. |
| 11010012–010  | Little Strawberry River      | Fecal coliform and E. coli. |
| 11010012–011  |                              | Fecal coliform and E. coli. |
| 11010012–014  |                              | Fecal coliform and E. coli. |
| 11010012–015  | Mill Creek                   | Fecal coliform and E. coli. |
| 11010012–016  |                              | Fecal coliform and E. coli. |
| 11010009–902  |                              | Fecal coliform and E. coli. |
| 11010014–004  | Overflow Creek               | Fecal coliform and E. coli. |
| 11010014–006  | Overflow Creek               | Fecal coliform and E. coli. |
| 11010014–007  | Little Red River             | Fecal coliform and E. coli. |
| 11010014–008  | Little Red River             | Fecal coliform and E. coli. |
| 11010014–009  | Ten Mile Creek               | Fecal coliform and E. coli. |
| 11010014–010  |                              | Fecal coliform and E. coli. |
| 11010014–012  | Little Red River             | Fecal coliform and E. coli. |
| I1010014–027  | Middle Fork Little Red River | Fecal coliform and E. coli. |
| 11010014–028  | Middle Fork Little Red River | Fecal coliform and E. coli. |
| 11010014–038  |                              | Fecal coliform and E. coli. |

EPA requests that the public provide to EPA any water quality related data and information that may be relevant to the calculations for these 52 TMDLs. EPA will review all data and information submitted during the public comment period and revise the TMDLs and determinations where appropriate. EPA will then forward the TMDLs to the Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ). The ADEQ will incorporate the TMDLs into its current water quality management plan.

Dated: June 20, 2007.

#### Miguel I. Flores,

Director, Water Quality Protection Division, EPA Region 6.

[FR Doc. E7–12576 Filed 6–27–07; 8:45 am]

## GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

[PBS-N01]

Notice of Availability to Distribute a Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Construction of a New Border Station Facility in Derby Line, Vermont

**AGENCY:** Public Buildings Service, GSA. **ACTION:** Notice of Availability.

SUMMARY: The General Services Administration (GSA) announces its intent to distribute a Final Environmental Impact Statement (Final EIS) under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, as amended, 42 USC 4321-4347 (NEPA) to assess the potential impacts of the construction of a New Border Station Facility in Derby Line, Vermont (the "Proposed Action"). At the request of Customs and Border Protection (CBP), the GSA is proposing to construct a new border station facility which meets their needs, and the design requirements of the GSA.

The existing facilities are undersized and obsolete, and consequently incapable of providing the level of security now required. The Proposed Action has been defined and includes: (a) identification of land requirements, including acquisition of adjoining land; (b) demolition of existing government structures at the border station; (c) construction of a main administration building and ancillary support buildings; and (d) consequent potential alterations to secondary roads.

Studied alternatives have identified alternative locations for the components of the border station including the main administration and ancillary support buildings, the associated roadway network and parking. A No Action alternative has also been studied and evaluates the consequences of not constructing the new border station facility. This alternative has been included to provide a basis for comparison to the action alternatives described above as required by NEPA regulations (40 CFR 1002.14(d)).

**DATES:** July 30, 2007.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT David M. Drevinsky P.E., PMP, Regional Environmental Quality Advocate

Environmental Quality Advocate (REQA), U.S. General Services Administration, 10 Causeway Street, Room 975, Boston, MA 02222. Fax: (617) 565-5967. Phone: (617) 565-6596. E-mail: david.drevinsky@gsa.gov.

#### **DISTRIBUTION:**

GSA will distribute ten reading copies of the Final EIS at the Daily Memorial Library, Goodrich Memorial Library and Haskell Free Library located on 101 Jr. High Drive in Derby Line, 202 Main Street in Newport and 96 Caswell Avenue in Derby Line; respectively.

Dated: June 13, 2007.

#### Glenn C. Rotondo,

Assistant Regional Administrator, Public Buildings Service, New England Region [FR Doc. E7–12552 Filed 6–27–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6820–A8–S

### GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

### Revised Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement

**AGENCY:** General Services Administration (GSA), National Capital Region.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), 42 U.S.C. § 4321-4347, the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations (40 CFR parts 1500-1508), GSA Order PBS P 1095.1F (Environmental considerations in decision-making, dated October 19, 1999), and the GSA Public Buildings Service NEPA Desk Guide, GSA is revising its June 7, 2005, notice of intent announcing the preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the redevelopment of the St. Elizabeths West Campus (St. Elizabeths) in Southeast Washington, DC. The initial notice of intent defined the purpose of the proposed action as "develop[ing] secure office space in the District of Columbia to accommodate substantial Federal operations." Since that notice was issued, GSA has