to lease. Operations could be allowed to directionally drill a well under the tract, but will not result in surface disturbance on the tract. However, surface disturbance could occur on lands adjacent to this proposed lease.

Bruce Dawson,

Field Manager, Jackson Field Office. [FR Doc. 03–30878 Filed 12–12–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–GJ–M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Reclamation

Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Work Group (AMWG), Notice of Meeting

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of conference call.

SUMMARY: The Adaptive Management Program (AMP) was implemented as a result of the Record of Decision on the Operation of Glen Canvon Dam Final **Environmental Impact Statement to** comply with consultation requirements of the Grand Canyon Protection Act (P.L. 102-575) of 1992. The AMP provides an organization and process to ensure the use of scientific information in decision making concerning Glen Canyon Dam operations and protection of the affected resources consistent with the Grand Canvon Protection Act. The AMP has been organized and includes a federal advisory committee (AMWG), a technical work group (TWG), a monitoring and research center, and independent review panels. The TWG is a subcommittee of the AMWG and provides technical advice and information for the AMWG to act upon. DATES: The AMWG will conduct the following conference call:

Wednesday, December 17, 2003. The conference call will begin at 1 p.m. and conclude at 3 p.m. MOUNTAIN TIME.

Agenda: The purpose of the conference call will be to discuss how to improve interactions between the Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Program and the Grand Canyon Monitoring and Research Center's science advisors.

To register for the conference call, please contact Linda Whetton at (801) 524–3880 at least two (2) days prior to the call. You will be given the phone number and password at that time.

To allow full consideration of information by the AMWG members, written notice must be provided to Dennis Kubly, Bureau of Reclamation, Upper Colorado Regional Office, 125 South State Street, Room 6107, Salt Lake City, Utah, 84138; telephone (801) 524–3715; faxogram (801) 524–3858; email at *dkubly@uc.usbr.gov* (5) days prior to the meeting. Any written comments received will be provided to the AMWG and TWG members prior to the meeting.

Due to difficulties caused by holidays and leave schedules in setting up this conference call, this notice may be published in a shorter time than normally required by the Federal Advisory Committee Act. However, an e-mail message will be sent by Reclamation to those persons who have expressed interest in the Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Program to allow them full participation on the conference call.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dennis Kubly, telephone (801) 524–3715; faxogram (801) 524–3858; or via email at *dkubly@uc.usbr.gov*.

Dated: November 26, 2003.

Dennis Kubly,

Chief, Adaptive Management Group, Environmental Resources Division, Upper Colorado Regional Office, Salt Lake City, Utah.

[FR Doc. 03–30848 Filed 12–12–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–MN–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Sanction for Breach of Commission Administrative Protective Order

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Sanction for breach of Commission administrative protective order.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given of the sanction imposed by the Commission for a breach of the administrative protective order ("APO") issued in Hot Rolled Steel Products from Argentina, China, Indonesia, Kazakstan, the Netherlands, Romania, South Africa, Taiwan, Thailand, and Ukraine (Hot Rolled Steel Products), Inv. Nos. 701-TA-404-408 and 731-TA-898-908 (Final). The Commission determined that attorney Bruce Aitken breached the APO in the Hot Rolled Steel Products investigations by failing to provide adequate supervision over another attorney who had little experience in the bracketing of business proprietary information ("BPI") and who prepared a public version of a brief containing BPI and served the brief on other parties to the investigations, some of whom were not signatories to the APO. This public reprimand is being issued because the aforementioned breach is the fourth

breach for Mr. Aitken occurring within a three-year, one-month period. On November 14, 2001, the Commission had previously publicly reprimanded Mr. Aitken for the second and third of the four breaches. 66 FR 57110 (November 14, 2001).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carol McCue Verratti, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202– 205–3088. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the

Commission's TDD terminal at 202–205–1810. General information concerning the Commission can also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In connection with the Hot Rolled Steel Products investigations, Bruce Aitken filed an application for access to APO information with the Commission. In that application, he swore (i) not to disclose without written permission any of the information obtained under the APO except to certain enumerated categories of approved persons, (ii) to serve all materials containing BPI disclosed under the APO as directed by the Secretary, and (iii) to otherwise comply with the terms of the APO and the Commission's regulations regarding access to BPI. He also acknowledged in the APO that violation of the APO could subject him, and his firm, to disbarment from practice before the Commission, referral to the U.S. Attorney or appropriate professional association, or "[s]uch other administrative sanctions as the Commission determines to be appropriate * * *." 19 CFR 207.7(d). The Commission granted his

application. The firm with which Mr. Aitken is affiliated, Aitken Irvin Berlin & Vrooman, LLP, is very experienced in Commission practice as is Mr. Aitken, the senior name partner. Mr. Aitken appears frequently before the Commission and has sought access to APO information on a regular basis. He has been found to have previously breached an APO in recent prior investigations. None of these prior breaches was egregious enough to warrant a public reprimand when considered separately, but by the third breach the Commission determined that a public reprimand was warranted for the series of breaches. The Commission found that the series of breaches resulting in the previous public reprimand demonstrated a disturbing and unacceptable pattern of overall

failure to safeguard information released