development within the PAPA as approved in the PAPA ROD and subsequent environmental documents and would not consider the Operators' proposal to: provide compensatory mitigation; minimize habitat fragmentation; and maximize resource recovery through multi-well pads and directional drilling.

BLM Preferred Alternative: This alternative analyzes the same number of drilled wells, pad expansions, new well pads, proposed compensatory mitigation, and pipeline routes as the proposed action with limitations on where year-round drilling could occur at any one time within a core development area. The preferred alternative also reduces the size of the core development area from the proposed action.

Dated: September 22, 2006.

Robert A. Bennett,

State Director.

[FR Doc. E6–21309 Filed 12–14–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[AZ 320-06-1610-DP-091A-241E]

Notice of Availability of Yuma Field Office Draft Resource Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of Availability (NOA) of Yuma Field Office (YFO) Draft Resource Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DRMP/DEIS).

SUMMARY: In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA, 42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) and the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (FLPMA, 43 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.), the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) has prepared a Draft Resource Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DRMP/DEIS) for the Yuma Field Office (YFO), Arizona, and by this notice is announcing the opening of the public review and comment period.

DATES: Written comments on the DRMP/DEIS will be accepted for 90 days following the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes its NOA in the Federal Register. Future meetings or hearings and any other public involvement activities will be announced at least 15 days in advance through local media.

ADDRESSES: Written comments may be mailed to Rebecca Heick, Bureau of Land Management, Yuma Field Office, 2555 East Gila Ridge Road, Yuma, Arizona 85365 or by e-mail at AZ_YM_RMP@blm.gov. You may also hand-deliver comments to the above listed address. A minimum of five public meetings will be held during the 90-day public review and comment period during which oral comments will be accepted. Exact dates, places, and times of public meetings will be posted on the BLM Arizona Web page at http://www.blm.gov/az/, news releases and Planning Bulletin, or you may contact Micki Bailey at (928) 317-3215, for further information.

Public comments, including names and street addresses of respondents, will be available for public review at the Bureau of Land Management, Yuma Field Office, 2555 East Gila Ridge Road, Yuma, Arizona 85365, during regular business hours (8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.), Monday through Friday, except holidays. Individual respondents may request confidentiality. If you wish to withhold your name or street address from public review or from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comments. Such requests will be honored to the extent allowed by law. All submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, will be made available for public inspection in their entirety.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Micki Bailey, Planning and Environmental Coordinator, Bureau of Land Management, Yuma Field Office, 2555 East Gila Ridge Road, Yuma, Arizona 85365; telephone (928) 317– 3200.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A copy of the YFO DRMP/DEIS is available for review via the internet from a link at http://www.blm.gov/az/LUP/planning.htm (subject to change), electronic (on CD-ROM), and paper at the BLM YFO. Electronic (on CD-ROM) and paper copies may also be obtained by contacting Micki Bailey at the aforementioned address and phone number.

The planning area encompasses more than 1.3 million acres of BLM-administered public land. The DRMP/DEIS includes strategies for protecting and preserving the biological, cultural, recreational, geological, educational, scientific, and scenic values that balance multiple uses of the BLM-

administered lands throughout the YFO planning area.

The agency-preferred alternative attempts to accomplish the above while achieving consistency among the Bureau of Reclamation, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Arizona Department of Transportation, Arizona State Land Department, Arizona Game and Fish Department, California Department of Fish and Game, the BLM, and other land managing agencies within the boundaries of the planning area. The range of alternatives in this draft evaluates planning decisions brought forward from the current BLM planning documents, the Yuma District Resource Management Plan (1987), the Lower Gila South Resource Management Plan (1988), and the Lower Gila North Management Framework Plan (1983).

The agency-preferred alternative identifies one new proposed Area of Critical Environmental Concern (ACEC): Dripping Springs Natural and Cultural ACEC (11,700 acres). One existing ACEC would be expanded under the agency-preferred alternative: Gila River Cultural ACEC (3,700 to 28,500 acres). The Gila River Cultural ACEC would be renamed the Sears Point Cultural ACEC.

A total of seven ACECs are represented within the [proposed] range of alternatives. The existing Big Maria Mountains Cultural ACEC (4,500 acres) would be carried forward within Alternatives A, B, C, and E. An expansion of this ACEC is proposed within Alternative D (from 4,500 acres to 9,200 acres). Dripping Springs Natural and Cultural ACEC is proposed within Alternatives C (11,700 acres), D (9,800 acres), and E (11,700 acres). The Gila River Terraces and Trails Cultural ACEC is proposed within Alternative D only (140,400 acres). The Limitrophe is proposed as an ACEC within Alternative D only (4,500 acres). Palomas Plain Natural ACEC is proposed within Alternative D only (429,900 acres). The Gila River Cultural ACEC would be carried forward within Alternatives A and B (3,700 acres), and expanded within Alternatives C, D, and E (28,500 acres). Walter's Camp Natural and Cultural ACEC is proposed within Alternative D only (3,500 acres).

The following types of resource-use limitations would apply to these ACECs: (1) Allowable uses would be limited to those that are compatible with the natural or cultural resources for which the area is designated, (2) Recreation facilities would be limited to projects that protect ACEC values, and (3) Travel would be permitted only on designated open and signed routes. For detailed information on specific management for each proposed ACEC, see Chapter 2,

Description of Alternatives, Special Designations section.

After reviewing and considering all public comments received during this comment period, a Proposed Resource Management Plan and Final Environmental Impact Statement will be prepared by the BLM in accordance with planning regulations at 43 CFR 1610 and NEPA at 40 CFR 1502.

Elaine Y. Zielinski.

Arizona State Director.
[FR Doc. E6–21311 Filed 12–14–06; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–32–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Minerals Management Service

Notice of Availability of Draft Programmatic Environmental Assessment (EA)

AGENCY: Minerals Management Service (MMS), Department of the Interior. **ACTION:** Notice of availability of the draft Programmatic EA for the Coastal Impact Assistance Program.

SUMMARY: The Minerals Management Service (MMS) announces the availability of the draft Programmatic Environmental Assessment (EA) for the Coastal Impact Assistance Program (CIAP). This EA will assist agency planning by facilitating the establishment of procedures for the disbursement of CIAP funds and providing an opportunity to involve the public, States, and coastal political subdivisions (CPSs). Specifically, this EA generally describes the potential environmental impacts of CIAP projects, provides comprehensive guidance to the States and CPSs for submitting the documentation needed to comply with relevant environmental laws at the time they request funding for proposed projects, and compiles information that may be appropriate to include in environmental compliance documents prepared for each proposed project. DATES: Comments will be accepted for thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice.

ADDRESSES: If you wish to comment, you may submit your comments by any one of several methods. You may comment via the Internet at: https://ocsconnect.mms.gov/pcs-public/. This is the preferred method for commenting. From the Public Connect "Welcome" screen, search for "Coastal Impact Assistance Program Draft EA" or select it from the "Projects Open for Comment" menu. You may mail comments to: Coastal Impact Assistance Program Draft EA, Attention

Mr. James F. Bennett, Chief, Branch of Environmental Assessment, 381 Elden Street, Mail Stop 4042, Herndon, Virginia 20170–4817. You may also hand-deliver comments to the Department of the Interior, Main Interior Building, 1849 C Street, NW., Room 4227, Washington, DC 20240. Envelopes or packages must be marked "Coastal Impact Assistance Program Draft Environmental Assessment." Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, be advised that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold from public review your personal identifying information, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so. We will not consider anonymous comments. Submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, will be made available for public inspection in their entirety.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. James F. Bennett, Minerals Management Service, Chief, Branch of Environmental Assessment, at (703) 787–1660.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The draft programmatic Environmental Assessment may be found on the Internet at: http://www.mms.gov/offshore/CIAPmain.htm.

After the comments on the draft EA have been reviewed and analyzed, a final EA will be prepared and made available to the public.

The CIAP was created as part of the Energy Policy Act of 2005. The purpose of the CIAP is to provide funds to conserve, protect, and restore coastal areas; mitigate damage to fish, wildlife, and natural resources; implement a federally-approved marine, coastal, or comprehensive conservation management plan; mitigate the impact of Outer Continental Shelf activities through funding of onshore infrastructure projects and public service needs; and assist planning and defray the administrative costs of complying with the CIAP. Responsibility for disbursing CIAP funds has been delegated to the MMS.

The Energy Policy Act requires MMS to disburse \$250 million for each fiscal year 2007 through 2010 to eligible producing States (Alabama, Alaska, California, Louisiana, Mississippi, and Texas) and CPSs to be used for one or more of five authorized uses. For MMS to comply with the Energy Policy Act

and effectively manage the CIAP, it must establish procedures for the submission and approval of State coastal impact assistance plans and the grant application process for individual CIAP projects. The MMS has determined that the preparation of this EA will assist agency planning by providing comprehensive guidance to the States and CPSs for submitting to MMS the documentation needed to comply with the relevant environmental laws at the time they request funding for proposed projects. Review of the draft EA provides an opportunity to involve the public, States, and CPSs in the establishment of this guidance. Because the development of CIAP procedures does not require MMS to resolve conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources, MMS does not analyze alternatives to the development of CIAP procedures in this EA.

This EA provides information that may be appropriate to include in National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) compliance documents prepared for each proposed project. Although the individual CIAP projects have not yet been proposed, the broad categories of authorized CIAP projects set forth in the Energy Policy Act enables MMS to generally describe the potential environmental impacts of CIAP projects. MMS expects that future NEPA compliance documents for proposed CIAP projects will analyze a no action alternative on a collective or case-by-case basis, as well as reasonable alternatives that may include standard mitigation measures.

Dated: November 13, 2006.

Robert P. LaBelle,

Acting Associate Director for Offshore Minerals Management.

[FR Doc. E6–21445 Filed 12–14–06; 8:45 am] $\tt BILLING\ CODE\ 4310-MR-P$

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-894 (Review)]

Certain Ammonium Nitrate From Ukraine

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Scheduling of a full five-year review concerning the antidumping duty order on certain ammonium nitrate from Ukraine.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of a full review pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)) (the Act) to determine whether