

This is not a toll-free number. Persons with hearing or speech impairments may access this number through TTY by calling the toll-free Federal Relay Service at (800) 877-8339.

Copies of available documents submitted to OMB may be obtained from Ms. Pollard.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This notice informs the public that HUD has submitted to OMB a request for approval of the information collection described in Section A. The **Federal Register** notice that solicited public comment on the information collection for a period of 60 days was published on May 10, 2021, at 88, FR 24880.

#### A. Overview of Information Collection

*Title of Information Collection:* Survey to Assess Operational Status and Capacity of Housing Counseling Agencies Due to a Disaster/National Emergency.

*OMB Approval Number:* 2502-0615.

*OMB Expiration Date:* May 31, 2021.

*Type of Request:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

*Form Number:* None.

*Description of the need for the information and proposed use:* The Disaster/National Emergency Survey will assess the operational and capacity status of Housing Counseling Agencies impacted by COVID-19 and other disasters and national emergencies. This Survey is necessary to assess the impact of the disasters and national emergencies on the operation of HUD-approved housing counseling agencies. This survey will more accurately assess the current operating status and capacity of housing counseling agencies impacted by disasters or national emergencies. The information collected will be used to identify the needs of the housing counseling agency and to inform OHC about the types of support that would be the most responsive to the needs of agencies and their clients.

*Respondents:* Not-for-profit institutions; Local, State, or Tribal Government.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 1,614.

*Estimated Number of Responses:* 1,614.

*Frequency of Response:* 1.

*Average Hours per Response:* 1.

*Total Estimated Burden:* 1,614.

#### B. Solicitation of Public Comment

This notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected parties concerning the collection of information described in Section A on the following:

(1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the

proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information;

(3) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

(5) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

HUD encourages interested parties to submit comment in response to these questions.

#### C. Authority

Section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35.

**Colette Pollard,**

*Department Reports Management Officer,  
Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2021-15773 Filed 7-23-21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4210-67-P**

### INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1185]

#### **Certain Smart Thermostats, Smart HVAC Systems, and Components Thereof; Commission Determination To Review in Part a Final Initial Determination Finding No Violation of Section 337 and, on Review, To Affirm the Finding of No Violation; Termination of the Investigation**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to review in part a final initial determination ("ID") issued by the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") on April 20, 2021, finding no violation of section 337 in the above-referenced investigation and, on review, to affirm the finding of no violation.

The investigation is terminated.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael Liberman, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW,

Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-4716. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email [EDIS3Help@usitc.gov](mailto:EDIS3Help@usitc.gov). General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On November 27, 2019, the Commission instituted this investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 ("section 337"), based on a complaint filed by EcoFactor, Inc. of Palo Alto, California ("EcoFactor"). See 84 FR 65421-22 (Nov. 27, 2019). The complaint alleges a violation of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain smart thermostats, smart HVAC systems, and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 8,131,497 ("the '497 patent"); 8,423,322 ("the '322 patent"); 8,498,753 ("the '753 patent"); and 10,018,371 ("the '371 patent"). See *id.* The notice of investigation names the following respondents: Daikin Industries, Ltd. of Osaka, Japan; Daikin America, Inc. of Orangeburg, New York; and Daikin North America LLC of Houston, Texas (collectively, "the Daikin Respondents"); Schneider Electric USA, Inc. of Andover, Massachusetts and Schneider Electric SE of Rueil-Malmaison, France (collectively, "the Schneider Respondents"); ecobee Ltd. and ecobee, Inc., both of Toronto, Canada (collectively, "ecobee"); Google LLC of Mountain View, California; Alarm.com Incorporated and Alarm.com Holdings, Inc. of Tysons, Virginia (collectively, "Alarm.com"); and Vivint, Inc. of Provo, Utah ("Vivint"). The Office of Unfair Import Investigations ("OUII") is also a party to the investigation.

On June 11, 2020, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 10) granting a joint motion to partially terminate the investigation as to the Daikin Respondents based on settlement. See Order No. 10 (June 11, 2020), *unreviewed* by Comm'n Notice (July 1, 2020). On August 10, 2020, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 15) granting a joint motion to terminate the

investigation in part as to the Schneider Respondents based on settlement. *See* Order No. 15 (Aug. 10, 2020), *unreviewed by Comm'n Notice* (Aug. 31, 2020). On November 27, 2020, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 27) granting an unopposed motion for partial termination of the investigation as to the asserted claims of the '753 patent; the asserted claims of the '322 patent and the '371 patent as to ecobee; and the asserted claims of the '497 patent as to Alarm.com. *See* Order No. 27, *unreviewed by Comm'n Notice* (Dec. 15, 2021).

On April 20, 2021, the ALJ issued the final ID in this investigation, holding that no violation of section 337 has occurred in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation, of certain smart thermostats, smart HVAC systems, and components thereof, with respect to asserted claims 1, 2, and 5 of patent '497, asserted claims 1, 2, and 5 of patent '322, and asserted claim 9 of patent '371.

Concerning infringement, the ID finds that respondent Google indirectly infringes all of the asserted claims. Specifically, the ID finds that Google induces infringement of all of the asserted claims and contributorily infringes the asserted claims of the '497 and '371 patents. The ID finds that EcoFactor has not shown that respondents ecobee, Vivint and Alarm.com infringe any of the asserted claims of the asserted patents.

Regarding the domestic industry requirement, the ID finds that EcoFactor has not satisfied the technical or economic prongs of the domestic industry requirement with respect to any of the asserted patents.

Concerning validity, with respect to the '497 patent, the ID finds that asserted claims 1, 2, and 5 have not been shown to be patent ineligible under 35 U.S.C. 101, and have not been shown to be invalid as anticipated or obvious under 35 U.S.C. 102 or 103, respectively. The ID further finds that the asserted claims of the '497 patent have not been shown to be invalid for indefiniteness under 35 U.S.C. 112, ¶ 2. The ID finds, however, that the asserted claims of the '497 patent have been shown to be invalid for lack of written description and enablement under 35 U.S.C. 112, ¶ 1.

As to the '322 patent, the ID finds that asserted claims 1, 2, and 5 have not been shown to be patent ineligible under 35 U.S.C. 101. The ID further finds that the asserted claims of the '322 patent have been shown to be invalid for lack of written description and

enablement under 35 U.S.C. 112, ¶ 1. The ID also finds that the asserted claims of the '322 patent have not been shown to be invalid for indefiniteness under 35 U.S.C. 112, ¶ 2. The ID finds, however, that claim 1 has been shown to be invalid as anticipated under 35 U.S.C. 102, but that claims 2 and 5 have been shown to be invalid as obvious under 35 U.S.C. 103.

With respect to the '371 patent, the ID finds that asserted claim 9 has not been shown to be patent ineligible under 35 U.S.C. 101, and has not been shown to be invalid under 35 U.S.C. 102 or 103.

On May 3, 2021, EcoFactor filed a petition for review of various portions of the ID, and respondent Google filed a contingent review for certain aspects of the ID. On May 4, 2021, respondent ecobee filed a contingent petition for review of certain aspect of the ID.

On May 11, 2021, complainant EcoFactor filed a response to Respondents' petitions for review. Also on May 11, 2021, respondents ecobee, Vivint, and Google each filed their respective responses. On May 12, 2021, OUII filed a response to the private parties' petitions.

Having examined the record in this investigation, including the final ID, the petitions for review, and the responses thereto, the Commission has determined to review in part the ID: (1) To review the language supporting the ID's determination that EcoFactor failed to satisfy the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement under subparagraphs (A) and (B), and on review to strike the last paragraph on page 560 (*see* ID at 560–561); (2) to review the ID's findings regarding induced and contributory infringement, and on review to additionally provide the requisite findings that Google was willfully blind with respect to the asserted patents and thus possessed the requisite knowledge that its products infringe those patents (with the exception of contributory infringement of the '322 patent) (*see* ID at 404–405, 408–409); and (3) to review the ID's conclusions of fact and law Nos. 14 and 22 on page 576, and on review to correct clerical errors so that each of them reads as follows: "Respondents have shown, through clear and convincing evidence, that the asserted claims are invalid under 35 U.S.C. 112, ¶ 1, and have not shown, through clear and convincing evidence, that the asserted claims are invalid under 35 U.S.C. 112, ¶ 2."

The Commission has determined not to review the remainder of the ID, including the ID's finding of no violation of section 337 in this investigation.

The investigation is terminated.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on July 20, 2021.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 20, 2021.

**Lisa Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2021–15857 Filed 7–23–21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Open RF Association, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on May 25, 2021 pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Open RF Association, Inc. filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, MixComm Inc., Chatham, NJ; and TMY Technology Inc., New Taipei City, TAIWAN have been added as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and Open RF Association, Inc. intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On February 21, 2020, Open RF Association, Inc. filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on March 11, 2020 (85 FR 14247).

The last notification was filed with the Department on March 11, 2021. A notice was published in the **Federal**