

8. On page 18336, first column, fourth full paragraph, lines 26 through 30, the sentence “Removal of this measure would alleviate an estimated annual burden of approximately 525 hours, at a cost of \$22,260, across all participating IPPS hospitals (87 FR 49385).” is corrected to read “As stated in section XIII.B.4.d, of the preamble of this proposed rule, removal of this measure would alleviate an estimated annual burden of approximately 509 hours, at a cost of \$28,188, across all participating IPPS hospitals.”.

9. On page 18337,

a. Second column, first partial paragraph, lines 6 through 16, the sentences “In the FY 2023 IPPS/LTCH PPS final rule, we estimated a total annual burden of 700,000 hours including hospital and patient burden, at a cost of \$21,917,000 to screen all admitted patients in accordance with measure specifications for SDOH–1 (87 FR 49385 through 49386). For SDOH–2, we estimated a total annual burden of 525 hours across all IPPS hospitals, at a cost of \$22,260 (87 FR 49385 through 49386).” are corrected to read “As stated in section XIII.B.4.f. of the preamble of this proposed rule, removal of SDOH–1 would alleviate an estimated annual burden for hospitals and patients of 626,009 hours, at a cost of \$16,059,753, across all participating IPPS hospitals. Also, as stated in section XIII.B.4.g. of the preamble of this proposed rule, removal of SDOH–2 would alleviate an estimated annual burden of 509 hours, at a cost of \$28,188, across all participating IPPS hospitals.”.

b. Third column, second bulleted paragraph, lines 3 and 4, the citation “(87 FR 49257 through 49263)” is corrected to read “(87 FR 49263 through 49267)”.

10. On page 18338, first column, first partial paragraph, lines 14 and 15, the phrase “HAC Reduction Program” is corrected to read “Hospital Readmissions Reduction Program”.

11. On page 18343, first column, fourth paragraph, lines 18 and 19 the citation “(80 FR 49703)” is corrected to read “(80 FR 49701)”.

12. On pages 18363, 18368, and 18369, in Table X.F.-04 titled “Summary of Objectives and Measures for the Medicare Promoting Interoperability Program for the EHR Reporting Period in CY 2026—Continued”,

a. Page 18363, first row (Electronic Prescribing (e-Prescribing)), second column, last line, “CEHRT.\*” is corrected to read “CEHRT.”,

b. Page 18368,

(1) Second row (Public Health and Clinical Data Exchange Objective,

Public Health Reporting Using TECA Measure),

(a) Column 3 (Numerator) the phrase “N/A (measure is Y/N)\*” is corrected to read “N/A (measure is Y/N)”.

(b) Column 4 (Denominator) the phrase “N/A (measure is Y/N)\*” is corrected to read “N/A (measure is Y/N)”.

(c) Column 5 (Exclusion) the phrase “None\*” is corrected to read “None”.

(d) Column 6 (Calculation considerations related to counting unique patients or actions for CY2026 and subsequent years) “N/A (measure is Y/N)\*” is corrected to read “N/A (measure is Y/N)”.

(2) Third row (Protect Patient Health Information Objective), second column (Security Risk Analysis Measure), last line, the phrase “occurs.\*” is corrected to read “occurs.”.

c. Page 18369, first row (Protect Patient Health Information Objective), second column (Safety Assurance Factors for EHR Resilience (SAFER) Guides Measure), last line, the phrase “years.\*” is corrected to read “years.”.

13. On page 18373, second and third columns, second footnote (footnote 419), lines 3 and 4 the hyperlink “<https://www.dea.gov/drug-information/drug-scheduling>” is corrected to read “<https://www.gao.gov/products/gao-21-22>”.

14. On page 18409, first and second columns, second footnote (footnote 437),

a. First column, line 2 the phrase “an Evaluation,” is corrected to read “and Evaluation,”.

b. Second column, lines 1 through 4, the hyperlink “<https://aspe.hhs.gov/reports/valuing-time-us-departmenthealth-human-services-regulatory-impact-analysesconceptual-framework>.” is corrected to read “<https://aspe.hhs.gov/reports/valuing-time-us-departmenthealth-human-services-regulatory-impact-analysesconceptual-framework>.”.

15. On page 18411, second column, first footnote (footnote 440),

a. Line 2, the phrase “an Evaluation,” is corrected to read “and Evaluation,”.

b. Lines 5 through 8, the hyperlink <https://aspe.hhs.gov/reports/valuing-time-us-departmenthealth-human-services-regulatory-impact-analysesconceptual-framework>.” is corrected to read “<https://aspe.hhs.gov/reports/valuing-time-us-departmenthealth-human-services-regulatory-impact-analysesconceptual-framework>.”.

*impact-analyses-conceptual-framework.”.*

Cortney L. McCormick,

Executive Secretary to the Department,  
Department of Health and Human Services.

[FR Doc. 2025–10261 Filed 6–3–25; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 4120–01–P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration

#### 49 CFR Parts 392 and 393

[Docket No. FMCSA–2025–0110]

RIN 2126–AC85

### Parts and Accessories Necessary for Safe Operation; Liquid-Burning Flares; Correction

**AGENCY:** Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM); correction.

**SUMMARY:** In an NPRM published in the *Federal Register* on May 30, 2025, FMCSA proposed to remove references to liquid-burning flares from the warning device requirements in the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations. This proposed revision would remove outdated language referring to warning devices that FMCSA believes are no longer used. The NPRM contained an error in the docket number, errors in the **ADDRESSES** section, and errors in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section.

**DATES:** This correction is effective June 5, 2025. Comments on the NPRM must still be received on or before July 29, 2025.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. David Sutula, Chief, Vehicle and Roadside Operations Division, FMCSA, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590–0001; (202) 366–9209; [David.Sutula@dot.gov](mailto:David.Sutula@dot.gov). If you have questions on viewing or submitting material to the docket, call Dockets Operations at (202) 366–9826.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In FR Doc. 2025–09710, appearing on page 22919 in the *Federal Register* of May 30, 2025, the following corrections are made:

1. On page 22919, in the second column:

a. Remove the docket number which reads “FMCSA–2025–0111” and add in its place “FMCSA–2025–0110”; and

b. Under **ADDRESSES**, remove “FMCSA–2025–0111” and add in its

place “FMCSA–2025–0110” in both places it appears.

2. On page 22919, in the third column, under *Submitting Comments*, remove “FMCSA–2025–0111” and add in its place “FMCSA–2025–0110”.

3. On page 22920, in the first column, remove “FMCSA–2025–0111” and add in its place “FMCSA–2025–0110” in both places it appears.

Issued under authority delegated in 49 CFR 1.87.

Larry W. Minor,

Associate Administrator for Policy.

[FR Doc. 2025–10244 Filed 6–4–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–EX–P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Fish and Wildlife Service

#### 50 CFR Part 17

[Docket No. FWS–R4–ES–2025–0022;  
FXES1111090FEDR–256–FF09E21000]

RIN 1018–BI20

#### Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Endangered Species Status for Ghost Orchid

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), propose to list the ghost orchid (*Dendrophylax lindenii*), a flowering plant species found in the United States in southwestern Florida and in Cuba as an endangered species under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (Act). This determination also serves as our 12-month finding on a petition to list the ghost orchid. After a review of the best scientific and commercial data available, we find that listing the species is warranted. Accordingly, we propose to list the ghost orchid as an endangered species under the Act. If we finalize this rule as proposed, it would add this species to the List of Endangered and Threatened Plants and extend the Act’s protections to the species. We find that designating critical habitat for this species is not prudent.

**DATES:** We will accept comments received or postmarked on or before August 4, 2025. Comments submitted electronically using the Federal eRulemaking Portal (see **ADDRESSES**, below) must be received by 11:59 p.m. eastern time on the closing date. We must receive requests for a public hearing, in writing, at the address

shown in **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** by July 21, 2025.

#### ADDRESSES:

*Comment submission* You may submit comments by one of the following methods:

(1) *Electronically:* Go to the Federal eRulemaking Portal: <https://www.regulations.gov>. In the Search box, enter FWS–R4–ES–2025–0022, which is the docket number for this rulemaking. Then, click on the Search button. On the resulting page, in the panel on the left side of the screen, under the Document Type heading, check the Proposed Rule box to locate this document. You may submit a comment by clicking on “Comment.”

(2) *By hard copy:* Submit by U.S. mail to: Public Comments Processing, Attn: FWS–R4–ES–2025–0022, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, MS: PRB/3W, 5275 Leesburg Pike, Falls Church, VA 22041–3803.

We request that you send comments only by the methods described above. We will post all comments on <https://www.regulations.gov>. This generally means that we will post any personal information you provide us (see Information Requested, below, for more information).

*Availability of supporting materials:* Supporting materials, such as the species status assessment report, are available on <https://www.regulations.gov> at Docket No. FWS–R4–ES–2025–0022.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Nikki Colangelo, Supervisor, Division of Classification and Recovery, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Florida Ecological Services Office, 777 37th Street, Suite D–101, Vero Beach, FL 32960; telephone 772–226–8138. Individuals in the United States who are deaf, deafblind, hard of hearing, or have a speech disability may dial 711 (TTY, TDD, or TeleBraille) to access telecommunications relay services. Individuals outside the United States should use the relay services offered within their country to make international calls to the point-of-contact in the United States. Please see Docket No. FWS–R4–ES–2025–0022 on <https://www.regulations.gov> for a document that summarizes this proposed rule.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Executive Summary

*Why we need to publish a rule.* Under the Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*), a species warrants listing if it meets the definition of an endangered species (in danger of extinction throughout all or a significant portion of its range) or a

threatened species (likely to become an endangered species within the foreseeable future throughout all or a significant portion of its range). If we determine that a species warrants listing, we must list the species promptly and designate the species’ critical habitat to the maximum extent prudent and determinable. We have determined that the ghost orchid meets the Act’s definition of an endangered species; therefore, we are proposing to list it as such. Listing a species as an endangered or threatened species can be completed only by issuing a rule through the Administrative Procedure Act rulemaking process (5 U.S.C. 551 *et seq.*).

*What this document does.* We propose to list the ghost orchid as an endangered species under the Act.

*The basis for our action.* Under the Act, we may determine that a species is an endangered or threatened species because of any of five factors: (A) The present or threatened destruction, modification, or curtailment of its habitat or range; (B) overutilization for commercial, recreational, scientific, or educational purposes; (C) disease or predation; (D) the inadequacy of existing regulatory mechanisms; or (E) other natural or manmade factors affecting its continued existence. We have determined that the ghost orchid meets the Act’s definition of an endangered species due to threats associated with poaching (Factor B), habitat degradation due to hydrological changes (Factor A), and the damage to the species and the host trees from the increased intensity and frequency of hurricanes and impacts from saltwater intrusion (Factor E).

Section 4(a)(3) of the Act requires that the Secretary of the Interior (Secretary), to the maximum extent prudent and determinable, concurrently with listing designate critical habitat for the species. Section 3(5)(A) of the Act defines critical habitat as (i) the specific areas within the geographical area occupied by the species, at the time it is listed, on which are found those physical or biological features (I) essential to the conservation of the species and (II) which may require special management considerations or protections; and (ii) specific areas outside the geographical area occupied by the species at the time it is listed, upon a determination by the Secretary that such areas are essential for the conservation of the species. Section 4(b)(2) of the Act states that the Secretary must make the designation on the basis of the best scientific data available and after taking into consideration the economic impact, the impact on national security, and any