

A 30-day comment period is provided to allow interested persons to respond to this proposal. All written comments timely received will be considered before a final determination is made on this matter.

#### List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 986

Marketing agreements, Nuts, Pecans, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR part 986 is proposed to be amended as follows:

#### PART 986—PECANS GROWN IN THE STATES OF ALABAMA, ARKANSAS, ARIZONA, CALIFORNIA, FLORIDA, GEORGIA, KANSAS, LOUISIANA, MISSOURI, MISSISSIPPI, NORTH CAROLINA, NEW MEXICO, OKLAHOMA, SOUTH CAROLINA, AND TEXAS

- 1. The authority citation for 7 CFR part 986 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 601–674.

- 2. Revise § 986.162 to read as follows:

##### § 986.162 Inter-handler transfers.

(a) Inter-handler transfers of inshell pecans, pursuant to § 986.62, shall be reported to the Council on APC Form 4. Handlers shall file reports by the tenth day of the month following the first transfer between two handlers. Should the tenth day of the month fall on a weekend or holiday, reports are due by the first business day following the tenth day of the month. This report must be renewed each fiscal year. The report shall contain the following information:

- (1) The fiscal year covered by the report;
- (2) The names and signatures for both the transferring and receiving handler; and
- (3) Handler assuming the reporting and assessment obligations on the pecans transferred.

(b) [Reserved].

- 3. Amend § 986.175 by revising paragraph (a) introductory text to read as follows:

##### § 986.175 Handler inventory.

(a) Handlers shall submit to the Council a year-end inventory report following August 31 each fiscal year. Handlers shall file such reports by September 10. Should September 10 fall on a weekend, reports are due by the first business day following September 10. Such reports shall be reported to the Council on APC Form 5 and include:

\* \* \* \* \*

- 4. Amend § 986.177 by:

- a. Revising paragraph (a) introductory text, and paragraphs (a)(3) and (4);
- b. Adding paragraphs (a)(6) through (a)(10);
- c. Revising paragraph (b) introductory text; and
- d. Adding paragraphs (b)(6) through (b)(9).

The additions and revisions read as follows:

##### § 986.177 Reports of pecans received by handlers.

(a) *Summary report.* Handlers shall submit to the Council, by the tenth day of the month, a summary report of inshell domestic pecans received, and all shipments, inventory, and committed inventory for pecans following the month of activity. Should the tenth day of the month fall on a weekend or holiday, reports are due by the first business day following the tenth day of the month. The report shall be submitted to the Council on APC Form 1 and contain the following information:

\* \* \* \* \*

(3) The total weight and type of inshell pecans received during the reporting period;

(4) The total weight and type of inshell pecans received year to date; and,

\* \* \* \* \*

(6) The weight of all shipments of pecans, inshell and shelled, and inter-handler transfers shipped and received during the reporting period;

(7) The weight of all shipments of pecans, inshell and shelled, and inter-handler transfers shipped and received in the previous month and year to date;

(8) Total inventory held by handler;

(9) All the inventory committed (pecans not shipped, but sold or otherwise obligated) whether for domestic sale or export; and,

(10) The weight of all shelled or inshell pecans under contract for purchase from other handlers.

(b) *Pecans purchased outside the United States and inshell pecans exported to Mexico for shelling and returned to the United States as shelled meats.* Handlers shall submit to the Council, by the tenth day of the month following the month of activity, a summary report of shelled and inshell pecans imported during the preceding month. Should the tenth day of the month fall on a weekend or holiday, reports are due by the first business day following the tenth day of the month. The report shall be submitted to the Council on APC Form 2 and contain the following information:

\* \* \* \* \*

(6) The weight of inshell pecans exported to Mexico for shelling;

(7) The date shelled pecans returned to the United States after shelling in Mexico;

(8) The weight of shelled pecans returned to the United States after shelling in Mexico; and

(9) The total weight of inshell pecans exported to Mexico for shelling, and shelled pecans returned from Mexico, year to date.

- 5. Amend § 986.178 by revising paragraph (a) to read as follows:

##### § 986.178 Other reports.

(a) *Exports by country of destination.* Handlers shall submit to the Council, by the tenth day of the month following the month of shipment, a report of exports. Should the tenth day of the month fall on a weekend or holiday, reports are due by the first business day following the tenth day of the month. The report shall be reported to the Council on APC Form 3 and contain the following information:

(1) The name and address of the handler;

(2) The month covered by the report;

(3) The total weight of pecans shipped for export, whether inshell, shelled, or substandard during the reporting period;

(4) The total weight of pecans shipped for export, whether inshell, shelled, or substandard during the previous period and year to date; and,

(5) The destination(s) of such exports.

\* \* \* \* \*

Dated: November 14, 2019.

**Bruce Summers,**

*Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.*

[FR Doc. 2019–25083 Filed 11–19–19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410–02–P**

#### FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

##### 12 CFR Part 252

[Regulation YY; Docket No. R–1534]

RIN 7100–AE 38

#### Single-Counterparty Credit Limits for Bank Holding Companies and Foreign Banking Organizations

**AGENCY:** Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Board).

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rule to modify compliance dates.

**SUMMARY:** The Board is proposing to amend the compliance dates for Single-Counterparty Credit Limits for Bank Holding Companies and Foreign Banking Organizations, which it

finalized in a rule (final SCCL rule), published in the **Federal Register** on August 6, 2018. The Board is requesting comment on a proposed amendment that would modify these initial compliance dates to July 1, 2021, and January 1, 2022, respectively, regarding the single-counterparty credit limits applicable to a foreign banking organization's combined U.S. operations only. The Board is not proposing at this time any amendment that would modify the initial compliance dates in the final rule for, or otherwise amend the application of, single-counterparty credit limits applicable to any U.S. intermediate holding company of a foreign banking organization.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before December 20, 2019.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments, identified by Docket No. R-1534 and RIN 7100-AE 38, by any of the following methods:

- **Agency website:** <http://www.federalreserve.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments at <https://www.federalreserve.gov/apps/foia/proposedregs.aspx>.

- **Email:** [regs.comments@federalreserve.gov](mailto:regs.comments@federalreserve.gov). Include docket number and RIN in the subject line of the message.

- **Fax:** (202) 452-3819 or (202) 452-3102.

- **Mail:** Ann E. Misback, Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, 20th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20551.

All public comments are available from the Board's website at <http://www.federalreserve.gov/generalinfo/foia/ProposedRegs.cfm> as submitted, unless modified for technical reasons or to remove personally identifiable information at the commenter's request. Accordingly, comments will not be edited to remove any identifying or contact information. Public comments may also be viewed electronically or in paper in Room 146, 1709 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20006, between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. on weekdays.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Constance M. Horsley, Deputy Associate Director, (202) 452-5239; Juan C. Climent, Manager, (202) 872-7526; Lesley Chao, Lead Financial Institution Policy Analyst, (202) 974-7063; or Donald Gabbai, Lead Financial Institution Policy Analyst, (202) 452-3358, Division of Supervision and Regulation; or Laurie Schaffer, Associate General Counsel, (202) 452-2272; Benjamin W. McDonough, Assistant General Counsel, (202) 452-2036; Chris

Callanan, Counsel, (202) 452-3594; Lucy Chang, Counsel, (202) 475-6331; or Jeffery Zhang, Attorney, (202) 736-1968, Legal Division, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, 20th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20551.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Discussion**

On August 6, 2018, at 83 FR 38460, the Board published in the **Federal Register** a final rule to establish single-counterparty credit limits (SCCL) for bank holding companies and foreign banking organizations (FBOs) with total consolidated assets of at least \$250 billion, pursuant to section 165(e) of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (final SCCL rule).<sup>1</sup> The rule was amended as part of the Board's recent rule establishing risk-based categories for determining prudential standards for large U.S. banking organizations and foreign banking organizations.<sup>2</sup> For FBOs, the amended final rule establishes separate SCCL applicable to (1) the combined U.S. operations of an FBO that is subject to Category II or III standards or that has total global consolidated assets of \$250 billion or more, and (2) any U.S. intermediate holding company (IHC) that is subject to Category II or III standards. With respect to the SCCL applicable to the combined U.S. operations of an FBO, the final SCCL rule establishes different compliance dates based on whether the FBO has the characteristics of a global systemically important banking organization (GSIB). An FBO that has the characteristics of a GSIB must comply with these SCCL beginning on January 1, 2020, while an FBO that does not have the characteristics of a GSIB must comply beginning on July 1, 2020, unless that time is extended by the Board in writing.<sup>3</sup>

The final SCCL rule allows an FBO to comply with the SCCL applicable to its combined U.S. operations by certifying to the Board that it meets, on a consolidated basis, SCCL standards established by its home country supervisor that are consistent with the large exposures framework published by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision in 2014 (BCBS Large Exposure Standard). The BCBS Large Exposure Standard is consistent with the Board's final rule.<sup>4</sup>

Since finalization of the final SCCL rule, many foreign banks and their trade

associations have noted that, although efforts are underway in many jurisdictions to implement the BCBS Large Exposure Standard, the framework may not be fully implemented in the home countries of FBOs before the initial compliance dates of the final rule. Foreign banks indicated that it would be significantly burdensome to build systems to permit their combined U.S. operations to report compliance with the Board's final SCCL rule solely for use during the implementation gap period, since those FBOs will eventually be subject instead to a home-country large exposures framework consistent with the BCBS Large Exposure Standard on a consolidated basis. Foreign banks have requested that the Board consider either (1) allowing an FBO subject to the Board's final rule to comply through certification if its home country supervisor is "working towards" a framework consistent with the BCBS Large Exposure Standard, or (2) granting temporary relief to an FBO whose home country jurisdiction is working towards a framework consistent with the BCBS Large Exposure Standard.

The home countries of the FBOs whose combined U.S. operations are subject to the Board's SCCL rule are China, Switzerland, Canada, Japan, and member states of the European Union. Those countries generally have made progress over the past year on implementing the BCBS Large Exposure Standard. At this time, China and Switzerland have final frameworks that have become effective, and Canada finalized an SCCL framework that will become effective on November 1, 2019.<sup>5</sup> The European Union recently finalized an SCCL framework that will become effective on June 28, 2021.<sup>6</sup> Japan does not yet have a final effective framework. Staff expects that the United Kingdom

<sup>5</sup> See FINMA Circular 2013/7 "Intragroup exposure—banks" and Circular 2019/1 "Risk diversification—banks" (effective as of Jan. 1, 2019); IMF, Peoples Republic of China: Detailed Assessment of Observance of Basel Core Principles for Effective Banking Supervision, IMF Country Report No. 17/403 (Dec. 2017); OSFI Guideline B-2, Large Exposure Limits (effective as of Nov. 1, 2019). Although Canada's framework is effective as of November 1, 2019, implementation by Canadian banks will begin in Q1 2020.

<sup>6</sup> See Regulation (EU) 2019/876 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 May 2019 amending Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 as regards the leverage ratio, the net stable funding ratio, requirements for own funds and eligible liabilities, counterparty credit risk, market risk, exposures to central counterparties, exposures to collective investment undertakings, large exposures, reporting and disclosure requirements, and Regulation (EU) No 648/2012.

<sup>1</sup> See 12 U.S.C. 5365(e).

<sup>2</sup> 84 FR 59032 (Nov. 1, 2019).

<sup>3</sup> 12 CFR 252.170(c).

<sup>4</sup> 12 CFR 252.172(d).

will follow the European Union's final framework.<sup>7</sup>

In adopting the final rule, the Board agreed to defer to home country compliance with the BCBS Large Exposure Standard to prevent application of two nearly redundant SCCL frameworks to the combined U.S. operations of FBOs.<sup>8</sup>

For these reasons, the Board proposes to amend the final SCCL rule to extend the initial compliance dates for the combined U.S. operations of FBOs by 18 months. The Board believes this timeframe would provide a reasonable period for firms to come into compliance with the final SCCL rule, either through direct compliance with the rule or certification. The proposed initial compliance dates applicable to the combined U.S. operations of an FBO would be July 1, 2021, for an FBO that has the characteristics of a GSIB and January 1, 2022, for any other FBO. The Board does not propose to amend the final SCCL rule to extend the initial compliance dates under the final SCCL rule with respect to the SCCL applicable to any U.S. IHC of an FBO. Any U.S. IHC of an FBO is expected to comply with the final SCCL rule on January 1, 2020, or July 1, 2020, as applicable, unless that time is separately extended by the Board in writing.

The Board invites comment on all aspects of this proposal.

*Question 1: Are the proposed extensions of the compliance dates for an FBO to meet the SCCL applicable to its combined U.S. operations appropriate? Why or why not?*

*Question 2: Should the Board consider any shorter or longer extension of the compliance dates for an FBO to meet the SCCL applicable to its combined U.S. operations? If so, what time period should the Board consider and why?*

*Question 3: Under what circumstances, if any, should the Board consider providing additional extensions of the compliance dates related to specific events or circumstances that would apply to a subset of firms? Should the Board consider any alternate arrangements to address such specific events or circumstances, and, if so, why?*

<sup>7</sup> Correspondence from Stephanie Webster, General Counsel, Institute of International Bankers, to Lucy Chang, Counsel, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Apr. 1, 2019); Correspondence from Briget Polichene, Chief Executive Officer, Institute of International Bankers, to Lucy Chang, Counsel, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Oct. 9, 2019).

<sup>8</sup> 83 FR at 38487.

## II. Administrative Law Matters

### A. Paperwork Reduction Act

Certain provisions of the proposed rule contain "collections of information" within the meaning of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3501–3521). The Board may not conduct or sponsor, and a respondent is not required to respond to, an information collection unless it displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number. The Board reviewed the proposed rule under the authority delegated to the Board by OMB.

The proposed rule contains revisions to the compliance date for the reporting and recordkeeping requirements subject to the PRA. To implement these requirements, the Board proposes to revise the Single-Counterparty Credit Limits (FR 2590; OMB No. 7100–NEW).

Comments are invited on:

(a) Whether the proposed collections of information are necessary for the proper performance of the Board's functions, including whether the information has practical utility;

(b) The accuracy of the estimates of the burden of the proposed information collections, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(c) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected;

(d) Ways to minimize the burden of the information collections on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and

(e) Estimates of capital or startup costs and costs of operation, maintenance, and purchase of services to provide information.

### Proposed Revision, With Extension, of the Following Information Collection

*Report title:* Single-Counterparty Credit Limits.

*Agency form number:* FR 2590.

*OMB control number:* 7100–NEW.

*Frequency:* Quarterly, annual, and event-generated.

*Affected Public:* Businesses or other for-profit.

*Respondents:* U.S. global systemically important bank holding companies (GSIBs) and other U.S. bank holding companies (BHCs) or savings and loan holding companies (SLHCs) that are subject to Category I, II, or III standards; foreign banking organizations (FBOs) that are subject to Category II or III standards or that have \$250 billion or more in total global consolidated assets; and U.S. intermediate holding companies (IHCs) that are subject to Category II or III standards.

*Estimated number of respondents:* 75.

*Estimated average hours per response:*

#### Reporting

*One-time implementation:* 1,273 hours.

*Ongoing:* 254 hours.

*Requests for temporary relief:* 10 hours.

#### Recordkeeping

*Recordkeeping:* 0.25 hours.

*Estimated annual burden hours:*

#### Reporting

*One-time implementation:* 95,475 hours.

*Ongoing:* 76,200 hours.

*Requests for temporary relief:* 30 hours.

#### Recordkeeping

*Recordkeeping:* 75 hours.

*General description of report:* The FR 2590 is being implemented in connection with the Board's single-counterparty credit limits rule (SCCL rule),<sup>9</sup> which has been codified in the Board's Regulation YY—Enhanced Prudential Standards (12 CFR part 252).<sup>10</sup>

The information collected by the Single-Counterparty Credit Limits reporting form (FR 2590 report) will allow the Board to monitor a covered company's or a covered foreign entity's compliance with the SCCL rule. As amended by the Board's final tailoring rule, a covered company is any U.S. bank holding company (BHC) or savings and loan holding company (SLHC) that is subject to Category I, II, or III standards.<sup>11</sup> A covered foreign entity is any foreign banking organization (FBO) that is subject to Categories II or III standards or that has total global consolidated assets that equal or exceed \$250 billion and any U.S. intermediate holding company (IHC) that is subject to Category II or III standards.<sup>12</sup> In addition to the reporting form, the FR 2590 information collection incorporates notice requirements pertaining to requests that may be made by a covered company or covered foreign entity to request temporary relief from specific requirements of the SCCL rule. A respondent must retain one exact copy of each completed FR 2590 in electronic form, and these records must be kept for at least three years.

*Legal authorization and confidentiality:* The FR 2590 is authorized pursuant to section 5(c) of

<sup>9</sup> 83 FR 38460 (Aug. 6, 2018).

<sup>10</sup> See 12 CFR 252, subparts H and Q.

<sup>11</sup> 12 CFR 252.70, 252.170; see also 84 FR 59032 (Nov. 1, 2019).

<sup>12</sup> *Id.*

the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (BHC Act) (12 U.S.C. 1844(c)) for BHCs and section 10(b) of the Home Owners' Loan Act (12 U.S.C. 1467a(b)). With respect to FBOs and their subsidiary IHCs, the FR 2590 is authorized pursuant to section 5(c) of the BHC Act, in conjunction with section 8 of the International Banking Act of 1978 (12 U.S.C. 3106). The FR 2590 is mandatory.

The data collected on the FR 2590 form will be kept confidential under exemption 4 of the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), which protects from disclosure trade secrets and commercial or financial information (5 U.S.C. 552(b)(4)), and exemption 8 of FOIA, which protects from disclosure information related to the supervision or examination of a regulated financial institution (5 U.S.C. 552(b)(8)).

Regarding notices associated with requests for temporary relief from specific requirements of the SCCL rule, a firm may request confidential treatment under the Board's rules regarding confidential treatment of information at 12 CFR 261.15. The Board will consider whether such information may be kept confidential in accordance with exemption 4 of FOIA (5 U.S.C. 552(b)(4)) or any other applicable FOIA exemption.

**Current Actions:** The final SCCL rule had an effective date of October 5, 2018, and an initial compliance date of January 1, 2020, for a foreign banking organization that has the characteristics of a global systemically important banking organization, and July 1, 2020, for any other foreign banking organization subject to the rule, unless that time is extended by the Board in writing. The Board proposes to modify these initial compliance dates to July 1, 2021, and January 1, 2022, respectively, regarding the SCCL applicable to such a foreign banking organization's combined U.S. operations only. The Board is not proposing any amendment at this time that would modify the initial compliance dates in the final rule for, or otherwise amend the application of, single-counterparty credit limits applicable to any U.S. intermediate holding company of a foreign banking organization subject to the rule. There are no proposed changes to the reporting or recordkeeping requirements for such entities, and the burden hours would remain the same.

#### *B. Regulatory Flexibility Act*

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), 5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*, generally requires an agency, in connection with a proposed rule, to prepare and make available for public comment an initial regulatory flexibility analysis that

describes the impact of a proposed rule on small entities. However, a regulatory flexibility analysis is not required if the agency certifies that the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The Small Business Administration (SBA) has defined "small entities" to include banking organizations with total assets of less than or equal to \$600 million.<sup>13</sup> The Board has considered the potential impact of the proposal on small entities in accordance with the RFA. The Board believes that the proposal will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

As discussed in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**, the final SCCL rule generally applies to U.S. bank holding companies subject to Category I, II, or III standards, and foreign banking organizations that are subject to Category II or III standards or that have total global consolidated assets of at least \$250 billion. Companies that are subject to the final SCCL rule have consolidated assets that substantially exceed the \$600 million asset threshold at which a banking organization is considered a "small entity" under SBA regulations. Because the final SCCL rule does not apply to any small entities for purposes of the RFA, the proposed amendments to the rule to extend the initial compliance dates applicable to FBOs subject to SCCL with respect to their combined U.S. operations would not affect any small entity for purposes of the RFA. The Board's proposed rule would not impose any new recordkeeping, reporting, or compliance requirements. The Board does not believe that the proposal duplicates, overlaps, or conflicts with any other Federal rules. The Board does not believe that there are any significant alternatives to the proposal which accomplish its stated objectives. In light of the foregoing, the Board does not believe that proposal, if adopted in final form, would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Nonetheless, the Board seeks comment on whether the proposal would impose undue burdens on, or have unintended consequences for, small banking organizations and whether there are

<sup>13</sup> See 13 CFR 121.201. Effective August 19, 2019, the Small Business Administration revised the size standards for banking organizations to \$600 million in assets from \$550 million in assets. See 84 FR 34261 (July 18, 2019). Consistent with the General Principles of Affiliation in 13 CFR 121.103, the Board counts the assets of all domestic and foreign affiliates when determining if the Board should classify a Board-supervised institution as a small entity.

ways such potential burdens or consequences could be minimized in a manner consistent with the purpose of the proposal.

#### *C. Solicitation of Comments on the Use of Plain Language*

Section 722 of the Gramm–Leach–Bliley Act requires the Federal banking agencies to use plain language in all proposed and final rules published after January 1, 2000. The Board has sought to present the proposed amendments to the rule in a simple and straightforward manner and invites comment on the use of plain language.

#### **List of Subjects in 12 CFR Part 252**

Administrative practice and procedure, Banks, banking, Federal Reserve System, Holding companies, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Securities.

**Authority and Issuance** For the reasons stated in the preamble, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System proposes to amend 12 CFR part 252 as follows:

#### **PART 252—ENHANCED PRUDENTIAL STANDARDS (REGULATION YY).**

##### **§ 252.170 Applicability and general provisions.**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 252 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 12 U.S.C. 321–338a, 481–486, 1818, 1828, 1831n, 1831o, 1831p–l, 1831w, 1835, 1844(b), 1844(c), 3101 *et seq.*, 3101 note, 3904, 3906–3909, 4808, 5361, 5362, 5365, 5366, 5367, 5368, 5371.

■ 2. Amend § 252.170 by revising paragraphs (c)(1)(i) and (ii) to read as follows:

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) \* \* \* (1) \* \* \* (i) A foreign banking organization that is a covered foreign entity as of October 5, 2018, must comply with the requirements of this subpart, including but not limited to § 252.172, beginning on January 1, 2022, unless that time is extended by the Board in writing.

(ii) Notwithstanding paragraph (c)(1)(i) of this section, a foreign banking organization that is a major foreign banking organization as of October 5, 2018, must comply with the requirements of this subpart, including but not limited to § 252.172, beginning on July 1, 2021, unless that time is extended by the Board in writing.

\* \* \* \* \*

By order of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, November 8, 2019.

**Ann Misback,**

*Secretary of the Board.*

[FR Doc. 2019-24966 Filed 11-19-19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6210-01-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[Docket No. EPA-R09-OAR-2019-0564; FRL-10002-24-Region 9]

### Air Plan Approval; California; Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to approve revisions to the Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District (MDAQMD) portion of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions concern emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) from organic liquid and gasoline transfer and storage operations. We are proposing to approve local rules to regulate these emission sources under the Clean Air Act (CAA or the Act). We are taking

comments on this proposal and plan to follow with a final action.

**DATES:** Any comments must arrive by December 20, 2019.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R09-OAR-2019-0564 at <https://www.regulations.gov>. For comments submitted at [Regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov), follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from [Regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov). For either manner of submission, the EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.* on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section. For the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia

submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <http://www.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Rebecca Newhouse, EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne St., San Francisco, CA 94105, (415) 972-3004, [newhouse.rebecca@epa.gov](mailto:newhouse.rebecca@epa.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document, “we,” “us” and “our” refer to the EPA.

#### Table of Contents

- I. The State’s Submittal
  - A. What rules did the State submit?
  - B. Are there other versions of these rules?
  - C. What is the purpose of the submitted rule revisions?
- II. The EPA’s Evaluation and Action
  - A. How is the EPA evaluating the rules?
  - B. Do the rules meet the evaluation criteria?
  - C. EPA Recommendations To Further Improve the Rules
  - D. Public Comment and Proposed Action
- III. Incorporation by Reference
- IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

#### I. The State’s Submittal

##### A. What rules did the State submit?

Table 1 lists the rules addressed by this proposal with the dates that they were adopted by the local air agency and submitted by the California Air Resources Board (CARB).

TABLE 1—SUBMITTED RULES

| Local agency | Rule No.  | Rule title                             | Amended    | Submitted  |
|--------------|-----------|----------------------------------------|------------|------------|
| MDAQMD ..... | 461 ..... | Gasoline Transfer and Dispensing ..... | 01/22/2018 | 05/23/2018 |
| MDAQMD ..... | 462 ..... | Organic Liquid Loading .....           | 01/22/2018 | 05/23/2018 |
| MDAQMD ..... | 463 ..... | Storage of Organic Liquids .....       | 01/22/2018 | 05/23/2018 |

On November 23, 2018, the submittal of Rules 461, 462, and 463 for MDAQMD was deemed by operation of law to meet the completeness criteria in 40 CFR part 51 Appendix V, which must be met before formal EPA review.

#### B. Are there other versions of these rules?

The MDAQMD regulates portions of San Bernardino and Riverside Counties. On July 1, 1994, a portion of Riverside County left the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) and joined the MDAQMD. The EPA-approved SIP for this portion of Riverside County remained the same when the area changed districts. As a result, the Riverside County portion of the MDAQMD SIP retained the SCAQMD rules in place at that time.

We approved earlier versions of MDAQMD Rules 461 and 462 into the

SIP on May 3, 1995 (60 FR 21702). These rules applied in both the San Bernardino and Riverside County portions of the MDAQMD and replaced the existing versions of Rules 461 and 462 in place in the District at that time.

On May 3, 1995 (60 FR 21702), we also approved a version of Rule 463 submitted by the San Bernardino County Air Pollution Control District on November 2, 1992. This rule was only approved to apply in the San Bernardino County portion of the District. In the Riverside County portion of the MDAQMD, the EPA approved the June 1, 1984 version of SCAQMD Rule 463 on January 15, 1987 (52 FR 1627). For a more complete discussion of the SIP history of these rules, see the technical support documents (TSDs).

The MDAQMD adopted revisions to all three rules on January 22, 2018, and CARB submitted them to us on May 23,

2018. In its submission, the District requested that in the San Bernardino County portion of the District the newly-adopted rules replace the versions of Rules 461, 462, and 463, approved in 1995, and that in the Riverside County portion of the District, the rules replace all versions of the rules that are applicable in Riverside County.

#### C. What is the purpose of the submitted rule revisions?

Emissions of VOCs contribute to the production of ground-level ozone, smog and particulate matter, which harm human health and the environment. Section 110(a) of the CAA requires states to submit regulations that control VOC emissions. SIP-approved Rules 461, 462, and 463 limit VOC emissions from organic liquid storage tanks and during transfers at bulk terminals, bulk gasoline plants, and gasoline dispensing