

TA-W-58,468; Candor Hosiery Mills, Inc., Distribution Center, Robbins, NC: December 5, 2004.

TA-W-58,468A; Candor Hosiery Mills, Inc., Robbins, NC: December 5, 2004.

TA-W-58,482; Dan River Inc., Home Fashions Sewing, Morven, NC: December 8, 2004.

TA-W-58,530; Fiskars Brands, Inc., SOC Division, Wausau, WI: December 5, 2004.

TA-W-58,553; Precision Source, P&C Quality Turned Components, Esmond, RI: December 28, 2004.

TA-W-58,341; Alene Candles, Inc., Placement Pros, Valley Employment & ET Staffing, Putnam, CT: November 14, 2004.

TA-W-58,393; E.J. Snyder and Company, Inc., Albemarle, NC: November 18, 2004.

TA-W-58,411; Phibro-Tech, Inc., Sumter Manufacturing Plant, Sumter, SC: November 22, 2004.

TA-W-58,415; El Paso Garment Contractors, Inc., El Paso, TX: November 28, 2004.

TA-W-58,449; Mississippi Polymers, Inc., Corinth, MS: November 30, 2004.

TA-W-58,450; Bay Engineered Castings, New Contracting, Ahead, Custom Staff, ABR, De Pere, WI: November 30, 2004.

TA-W-58,451; Textron Fastening Systems (TFS), TFS Greenville Operations, Greenville, MS: November 10, 2004.

TA-W-58,458; Hitchcock Chair Company, Hitchcock Holdings, New Hartford, CT: December 2, 2004.

TA-W-58,463; Nexus Custom Electronics Corp., Woburn, MA: November 30, 2004.

TA-W-58,501; Tinnerman Palnut, Textron Fastening Systems, Flemingsburg, KY: December 12, 2004.

TA-W-58,504; Yankee Plastics, Inc., Easthampton, MA: November 14, 2004.

TA-W-58,508; Occidental Chemical Corp. (OxyChem), Subsidiary of Occidental Petroleum Corp., New Castle, DE: December 15, 2004.

TA-W-58,513; Apricot, Inc., Hartford, NC: December 7, 2007.

TA-W-58,383; Diversco Integrated Services, Murray Ohio Plant, Lawrenceburg, TN: November 18, 2004.

TA-W-58,544; Wickers Sportswear, Inc., Wolfeboro, NH: December 21, 2004.

TA-W-58,438; Palliser Furniture Corp., Carolina Division, Troutman, NC: November 30, 2004.

TA-W-58,478; Rich Products Manufacturing Corp., Winchester, VA: December 1, 2004.

TA-W-58,521; Dan River, Inc., Home Fashions Division, Brookneal, VA: December 19, 2004.

TA-W-58,543; Procon Products, Murfreesboro, TN: December 9, 2004.

TA-W-58,399; Applied Interconnect, Sunnyvale, CA: November 11, 2004.

TA-W-58,498; McLaughlin Company, Petosky, MI: December 9, 2004.

TA-W-58,579; Easthampton Dye Works, Inc., Easthampton, MA: January 4, 2005.

#### Negative Determinations for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In order for the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance to issued a certification of eligibility to apply for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA) for older workers, the group eligibility requirements of section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act must be met.

In the following cases, it has been determined that the requirements of section 246(a)(3)(ii) have not been met for the reasons specified.

Since the workers are denied eligibility to apply for TAA, the workers cannot be certified eligible for ATAA.

TA-W-58,454; Metso Automation, Field Systems Division, Shrewsbury, MA.

TA-W-58,277; Quin-T Corp., Erie, PA.

TA-W-58,402; Pressed Steel Tank, West Allis, WI.

TA-W-58,417; MacLean-ESNA, Pocahontas, AR.

TA-W-58,427; Pure-Flo Precision, Div. of ITT Industries, Inc., Springfield, MO.

TA-W-58,444; Johnson Controls, Inc., Automotive Systems Group, Earth City, MO.

TA-W-58,445; Christiana Floral, Inc., Christiana, PA.

TA-W-58,473; National Textiles, Plant #1, China Grove, NC.

TA-W-58,515; Ablest Staffing Services, Granite Quarry, NC.

TA-W-58,486; Hewlett-Packard Company, Omaha, NE.

TA-W-58,446; Boise Cascade Corporation, Cascade, ID.

TA-W-58,464; South-Eastern Fabrics Corp., Conover, NC.

TA-W-58,474; IBM Global Services, Oakbrook Helpdesk, Oakbrook, IL.

TA-W-58,547; Nicholson Manufacturing Company, Seattle, WA.

TA-W-58,554; Logistics Services, Inc., Oklahoma City, OK.

TA-W-58,577; Dystar LP, Charlotte, NC.

TA-W-58,354; Creform Corporation, Textube Division, Greer, SC.

The Department as determined that criterion (1) of section 246 has not been

met. Workers at the firm are 50 years of age or older.

None.

The Department as determined that criterion (2) of Section 246 has not been met. Workers at the firm possess skills that are easily transferable.

TA-W-58,424; Quality Manufacturing, Inc., Winchester, KY: November 22, 2004.

The Department as determined that criterion (3) of Section 246 has not been met. Competition conditions within the workers' industry are not adverse. None.

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the month of January 2006. Copies of These determinations are available for inspection in Room C-5311, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: January 24, 2006.

**Erica R. Cantor,**

*Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E6-1193 Filed 1-30-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-58,022]

#### Meadow River Hardwood Lumber Company; Formerly Known as Georgia-Pacific Corp.; Rainelle, WV; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application of December 8, 2005, Carpenters East Coast Industrial Council (CECIC) requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on October 24, 2005, and published in the **Federal Register** on November 16, 2005 (70 FR 69599).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake

in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The petition for the workers of Meadow River Hardwood Lumber Company, f/k/a Georgia-Pacific Corp., Rainelle, West Virginia engaged in production of hardwood lumber was denied because the "contributed importantly" group eligibility requirement of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met, nor was there a shift in production from that firm to a foreign country. The investigation revealed that workers separations at the subject firm was attributed to an employee-strike and not increased imports or a shift in production to a foreign country.

The petitioner stated that there was no stoppage of work due to a labor dispute, but rather the company was losing its sales due to increased imports. The petitioner attached a list of customers and requested a customer survey be conducted in order to reveal the import impact.

Upon further review of the previous investigation and further contact with the company official the Department conducted a full investigation to determine whether imports of hardwood lumber indeed impacted production at the subject firm and consequently caused workers separations.

The investigation revealed that customers provided by the petitioner were former customers of Georgia-Pacific Corp., but were no longer customers of Meadow River Hardwood Lumber Company.

The company official provided a list of major customers of the subject firm. The Department conducted a survey of these customers regarding their purchases of hardwood lumber during the relevant time period. The survey revealed that only one customer is importing hardwood lumber, however this customer did not decrease its purchases of hardwood lumber from the subject firm. Moreover, the subject firm does not import hardwood lumber and did not shift production of hardwood lumber abroad.

### Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 24th day of January, 2006.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E6-1103 Filed 1-30-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-58,268]

#### Simpson Door Company, McCleary Washington Division, McCleary, WA; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

By letter postmarked December 16, 2005 United Brotherhood of Carpenters and Joiners of America, Local Union No. 2761 requested administrative reconsideration regarding the Department's Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, applicable to the workers of the subject firm.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination signed on November 23, 2005 was based on the finding that the subject company did not separate or threaten to separate a significant number or proportion of workers during the relevant time period, as required by section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974. The denial notice was published in the **Federal Register** on December 15, 2005 (70 FR 74368).

To support the request for reconsideration, the petitioner supplied additional information regarding employment at the subject facility. Upon further contact with the subject firm's company official, it was revealed that the subject firm separated a significant number of workers during the relevant time period. The investigation also revealed that the subject firm decreased production of wood stile and rail doors while increasing imports of wood stile and rail doors during the relevant time period.

In accordance with section 246 the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents the results of its investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance (ATAA) for older workers.

In order for the Department to issue a certification of eligibility to apply for ATAA, the group eligibility requirements of section 246 of the Trade Act must be met. The Department has determined in this case that the

requirements of section 246 have been met.

A significant number of workers at the firm are age 50 or over and possess skills that are not easily transferable. Competitive conditions within the industry are adverse.

### Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on reconsideration, I conclude that increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with those produced at Simpson Door Company, McCleary Washington Division, McCleary, Washington, contributed importantly to the declines in sales or production and to the total or partial separation of workers at the subject firm. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers of Simpson Door Company, McCleary Washington Division, McCleary, Washington who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after November 3, 2004 through two years from the date of this certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed in Washington, DC this 20th day of January 2006.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E6-1192 Filed 1-30-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-P**

## NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

### Notice of Meeting

**AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** NASA will conduct an open forum meeting to solicit questions, views and opinions of interested persons or firms concerning NASA's procurement policies, practices, and initiatives. The purpose of the meeting is to have an open discussion between NASA's Assistant Administrator for Procurement, industry, and the public.

**Note:** This is not a meeting about how to do business with NASA for new firms, nor will it focus on small businesses or specific contracting opportunities. Position papers are not being solicited.

**DATES:** Wednesday, March 8, 2006, from 1 p.m. to 3 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held at NASA Johnson Space Center's Robert R.