- A. One of the following laboratory findings documented on at least two occasions at least 90 days apart during a consecutive 12-month period:
 - 1. Serum albumin of 3.0 g/dL or less, or
- 2. Proteinuria of 40 mg/ m^2 /hr or greater; and
- B. Anasarca (see 106.00C3) persisting for at least 90 days despite prescribed treatment.

106.07 Congenital genitourinary disorder (see 106.00C4) requiring urologic surgical procedures at least three times in a consecutive 12-month period, with at least 30 days between procedures. Consider under a disability for 1 year following the day of the last surgery; thereafter, evaluate the residual impairment.

106.09 Complications of chronic kidney disease (see 106.00C5) requiring at least three hospitalizations within a consecutive 12-month period and occurring at least 30 days apart. Each hospitalization must last at least 48 hours, including hours in a hospital emergency department immediately before the hospitalization.

[FR Doc. 2013–02166 Filed 2–1–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4191-02-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 51

[EPA-R09-OAR-2012-0904, FRL-9775-9]

Partial Approval and Disapproval of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Arizona; Regional Haze and Visibility Transport; Extension of Comment Period

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: EPA is extending the public comment period for a proposed rule published in the **Federal Register** on December 21, 2012, with a former deadline for comments of February 4, 2013. The new deadline of March 6, 2013, will provide an additional 30 days for a total of 75 days to comment on our proposal. The proposal is to approve in part and disapprove in part a revision to Arizona's State Implementation Plan (SIP) to implement the regional haze program for the first planning period through 2018. The proposal includes all portions of the State's regional haze SIP except for three electric generating stations that were addressed in a final rule published on December 5, 2012.

DATES: Comments on the proposed rule published on December 21, 2012 (77 FR 75704) must be received on or before March 6, 2013.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R09-OAR-2012-0904, by one of the following methods:

- Federal Rulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.
 - Email: r9azreghaze@epa.gov.
- *Fax:* 415–947–3579 (Attention: Gregory Nudd)
- Mail, Hand Delivery or Courier: Gregory Nudd, EPA Region 9, Air Division (AIR-2), 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105. Hand and courier deliveries are only accepted Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., excluding Federal holidays. Special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gregory Nudd, U.S. EPA, Region 9, Planning Office, Air Division, Air-2, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105. Gregory Nudd can be reached at telephone number (415) 947–4107 and via electronic mail at

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

r9azreghaze@epa.gov.

A. Instructions for Submitting Comments

EPA's policy is to include all comments received in the public docket without change. We may make comments available online at http:// www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be CBI or other information for which disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or that is otherwise protected through http:// www.regulations.gov or email. The http://www.regulations.gov web site is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an email comment directly to EPA, without going through http:// www.regulations.gov, we will include your email address as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification. EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should not include special characters or any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses.

B. Docket

The proposed rule published on December 21, 2012, relies on

documents, information and data that are listed in the index on http:// www.regulations.gov under docket number EPA-R09-OAR-2012-0904. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available (e.g., Confidential Business Information (CBI)). Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically at http:// www.regulations.gov or in hard copy at the Planning Office of the Air Division, AIR-2, EPA Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105. EPA requests that you contact the individual listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION **CONTACT** section to view the hard copy of the docket. You may view the hard copy of the docket Monday through Friday, 9-5:00 PST, excluding Federal holidays.

C. Submitting Confidential Business Information

Do not submit CBI to EPA through http://www.regulations.gov or email. Clearly mark the part or all of the information that you claim as CBI. For CBI information in a disk or CD ROM that you mail to EPA, mark the outside of the disk or CD-ROM as CBI and identify electronically within the disk or CD-ROM the specific information that is claimed as CBI. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes information claimed as CBI, you must submit a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI for inclusion in the public docket. We will not disclose information so marked except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

D. Tips for Preparing Comments

When submitting comments, remember to:

- Identify the rulemaking by docket number and other identifying information (e.g., subject heading, Federal Register date and page number).
- Explain why you agree or disagree; suggest alternatives and substitute language for your requested changes.
- Describe any assumptions and provide any technical information and/ or data that you used.
- If you estimate potential costs or burdens, explain how you arrived at your estimate in sufficient detail to allow for it to be reproduced.
- Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns, and suggest alternatives.
- Explain your views as clearly as possible, avoiding the use of profanity or personal threats.

• Make sure to submit your comments by the identified comment period deadline.

Dated: January 28, 2013.

Alexis Strauss,

Acting Regional Administrator, EPA Region

[FR Doc. 2013–02394 Filed 2–1–13; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R09-OAR-2012-0728; FRL 9775-8]

Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, South Coast Air Quality Management District, Proposed

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve a revision to the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) portion of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). Under authority of the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act), we are proposing to approve local rules that address volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from municipal solid waste landfills and livestock waste. We are taking comments on this proposal and plan to follow with a final action.

DATES: Any comments must arrive by March 6, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments, identified by docket number, EPA-R09-OAR-2012-0728, by one of the following methods:

- 1. Federal eRulemaking Portal: www.regulations.gov. Follow the on-line instructions.
 - 2. Email: steckel.andrew@epa.gov.
- 3. Mail or deliver: Andrew Steckel (Air-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

Instructions: All comments will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Information that you consider CBI or otherwise protected should be clearly identified as such and should not be submitted through www.regulations.gov or email. www.regulations.gov is an "anonymous access" system, and EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send email directly to EPA, your email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the public comment. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment.

Docket: The index to the docket for this action is available electronically at www.regulations.gov and in hard copy at EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California. While all documents in the docket are listed in the index, some information may be publicly available only at the hard copy location (e.g., copyrighted material), and some may not be publicly available in either location (e.g., CBI). To inspect the hard copy materials, please schedule an appointment during normal business hours with the contact listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: La Weeda Ward, Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, (213) 244–1812, email: ward.laweeda@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document, "we," "us" and "our" refer to EPA.

Table of Contents

- I. The State's Submittal
 - A. What rules did the State submit?
 - B. Are there other versions of these rules?
 - C. What is the purpose of the submitted rule and rule revision?
- II. EPA's Evaluation and Action.
 - A. How is EPA evaluating the rules?
 - B. Do the rules meet the evaluation criteria?
 - C. EPA Recommendations to Further Improve the Rules.
- D. Public Comment and Final Action. III. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

The State's Submittal

A. What rules did the State submit?

Table 1 lists the rules we are approving with the dates that they were adopted by the local air agency and submitted by the California Air Resources Board (CARB).

TABLE 1—SUBMITTED RULES

Local agency	Rule #	Rule title	Adopted	Submitted
SCAQMD	1150.1	Control of Gaseous Emissions from Municipal Solid Waste Landfills.	04/01/11	09/27/11
SCAQMD	1127	Emissions Reductions from Livestock Waste	08/06/04	10/05/06

On October 24, 2011 and October 24, 2006, EPA determined that the submittals for SCAQMD Rules 1150.1 and 1127, respectively, met the completeness criteria in 40 CFR part 51 Appendix V, which must be met before formal EPA review.

B. Are there other versions of these rules?

We approved an earlier version of Rule 1150.1 into the SIP on July 1, 2002 (67 FR 44062). SCAQMD adopted revisions to the SIP-approved version on April 1, 2011 and CARB submitted them to us on September 27, 2011. C. What is the purpose of the submitted rule and rule revision?

VOCs help produce ground-level ozone and smog, which harm human health and the environment. Section 110(a) of the CAA requires States to submit regulations that control VOC emissions. EPA's technical support documents (TSD) have more information about these rules.

SCAQMD Rule 1150.1, "Control of Gaseous Emissions from Municipal Solid Waste Landfills," is an amended rule that regulates landfill gas emissions. The submitted rule applies to both active and inactive municipal solid waste (MSW) landfills and defines an active MSW landfill as one that has received solid waste on or after November 8, 1987.

The two previous versions of Rule 1150.1 (April 10, 1998 and March 10, 2000) focused on controlling VOC emissions, as well as toxic air contaminant (TAC) emissions. SCAQMD's intent was to reduce the contribution of these pollutants to atmospheric ozone, avoid public nuisance complaints from odorous compounds, and prevent the detriment to public health caused by such emissions.