

evidence is on record regarding Samsung's foreign investments?

d. Please explain how Samsung's proffered indicators of significance should be considered in determining whether Samsung's employment of labor or capital with respect to its DI products are significant under section 337(a)(3)(B).

The parties are invited to brief only the discrete issues requested above. The parties are not to brief other issues on review, which are adequately presented in the parties' existing filings.

In connection with the final disposition of this investigation, the statute authorizes issuance of, *inter alia*, (1) an exclusion order that could result in the exclusion of the subject articles from entry into the United States; and/or (2) a cease and desist order that could result in the respondent being required to cease and desist from engaging in unfair acts in the importation and sale of such articles. Accordingly, the Commission is interested in receiving written submissions that address the form of remedy, if any, that should be ordered. If a party seeks exclusion of an article from entry into the United States for purposes other than entry for consumption, the party should so indicate and provide information establishing that activities involving other types of entry either are adversely affecting it or likely to do so. For background, see *Certain Devices for Connecting Computers via Telephone Lines*, Inv. No. 337-TA-360, USITC Pub. No. 2843, Comm'n Op. at 7-10 (Dec. 1994).

The statute requires the Commission to consider the effects of that remedy upon the public interest. The public interest factors the Commission will consider include the effect that an exclusion order and cease and desist order would have on: (1) the public health and welfare, (2) competitive conditions in the U.S. economy, (3) U.S. production of articles that are like or directly competitive with those that are subject to investigation, and (4) U.S. consumers. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving written submissions that address the aforementioned public interest factors in the context of this investigation.

If the Commission orders some form of remedy, the U.S. Trade Representative, as delegated by the President, has 60 days to approve, disapprove, or take no action on the Commission's determination. See Presidential Memorandum of July 21, 2005, 70 FR 43251 (July 26, 2005). During this period, the subject articles would be entitled to enter the United States under bond, in an amount

determined by the Commission and prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving submissions concerning the amount of the bond that should be imposed if a remedy is ordered.

Written Submissions: The parties to the investigation are requested to file written submissions on the issues identified in this notice. Parties to the investigation, interested government agencies, and any other interested parties are encouraged to file written submissions on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. Such submissions should address the recommended determination by the ALJ on remedy and bonding.

In its initial submission, Complainants are also requested to identify the remedy sought and to submit proposed remedial orders for the Commission's consideration. Complainants are further requested to provide the HTSUS subheadings under which the accused products are imported, and to supply the identification information for all known importers of the products at issue in this investigation. The initial written submissions and proposed remedial orders must be filed no later than close of business on July 1, 2024. Reply submissions must be filed no later than the close of business on July 9, 2024. No further submissions on these issues will be permitted unless otherwise ordered by the Commission. Opening submissions are limited to 100 pages. Reply submissions are limited to 50 pages. No further submissions on any of these issues will be permitted unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document electronically on or before the deadlines stated above. The Commission's paper filing requirements in 19 CFR 210.4(f) are currently waived. 85 FR 15798 (Mar. 19, 2020). Submissions should refer to the investigation number (Inv. No. 337-TA-1349) in a prominent place on the cover page and/or the first page. (See Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures, https://www.usitc.gov/documents/handbook_on_filing_procedures.pdf). Persons with questions regarding filing should contact the Secretary, (202) 205-2000.

Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment by marking each document with a header indicating that the document contains confidential information. This marking will be deemed to satisfy the request procedure set forth in Rules 201.6(b) and

210.5(e)(2) (19 CFR 201.6(b) & 210.5(e)(2)). Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. Any non-party wishing to submit comments containing confidential information must serve those comments on the parties to the investigation pursuant to the applicable Administrative Protective Order. A redacted non-confidential version of the document must also be filed with the Commission and served on any parties to the investigation within two business days of any confidential filing. All information, including confidential business information and documents for which confidential treatment is properly sought, submitted to the Commission for purposes of this investigation may be disclosed to and used: (i) by the Commission, its employees and Offices, and contract personnel (a) for developing or maintaining the records of this or a related proceeding, or (b) in internal investigations, audits, reviews, and evaluations relating to the programs, personnel, and operations of the Commission including under 5 U.S.C. appendix 3; or (ii) by U.S. Government employees and contract personnel, solely for cybersecurity purposes. All contract personnel will sign appropriate nondisclosure agreements. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection on EDIS.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on June 17, 2024.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 17, 2024.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2024-13742 Filed 6-21-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Electrified Vehicle and Energy Storage Evaluation

Notice is hereby given that, on March 14, 2024, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Electrified Vehicle

and Energy Storage Evaluation (“EVESE”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, The Dow Chemical Company, Midland, MI, has withdrawn as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and EVESE intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On September 24, 2020, EVESE filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on October 15, 2020 (85 FR 65423).

The last notification was filed with the Department on August 29, 2023. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on December 15, 2023 (88 FR 86930).

Suzanne Morris,

Deputy Director Civil Enforcement Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2024–13736 Filed 6–21–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—PXI Systems Alliance, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on May 23, 2024, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), PXI Systems Alliance, Inc. (“PXI Systems”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, DEICO, Ankara, TURKEY, has been added as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned

activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and PXI Systems intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On November 22, 2000, PXI Systems filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on March 8, 2001 (66 FR 13971).

The last notification was filed with the Department on November 17, 2023. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on December 15, 2023 (88 FR 86935).

Suzanne Morris,

Deputy Director Civil Enforcement Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2024–13739 Filed 6–21–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Defense Industrial Based Consortium

Notice is hereby given that, on February 21, 2024, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), Defense Industrial Based Consortium (“DIBC”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties to the venture and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

Pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties to the venture are: AAPlasma LLC, Philadelphia, PA; Accipiter Systems, Inc., Wexford, PA; ACMI Austin LLC, Austin, TX; Adaptive Dynamics, Inc., San Diego, CA; ADDX Corp., Alexandria, VA; AI Strategy Corp., Babylon, NY; Allegheny Technologies, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA; Amyris, Inc., Emeryville, CA; Applied Research Associates, Inc., Albuquerque, NM; ARCHEM LLC, Shreveport, LA; ARCTOS Technology Solutions LLC, Beavercreek, OH; AURA Technologies LLC, Carrboro, NC; Aveox, Inc., Simi Valley, CA; Barber-Nichols LLC, Arvada, CO; Bath Iron Works Corp.,

Bath, ME; Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus, OH; Big Metal Additive, Denver, CO; BlackSky Geospatial Solutions, Inc., Herndon, VA; Boarhog LLC, San Diego, CA; Booz Allen Hamilton, Inc., McLean, VA; Bren-Tronics, Inc., Commack, NY; Cambium Biomaterials, Inc., Mojave, CA; Castelion Corp., El Segundo, CA; CFD Research Corp., Huntsville, AL; Combustion Research & Flow Technology, Inc., Pipersville, PA; Concurrent Technologies Corp., Johnstown, PA; Corvid Technologies LLC, Mooresville, NC; CR Access Consulting LLC, Virginia Beach, VA; Crean & Associates, Inc., Lakeway, TX; Cryptic Vector LLC, Liberty Township, OH; Curtiss-Wright EMD, Cheswick, PA; CVX Instruments LLC, Charlevoix, MI; CyKor LLC, Annapolis, MD; D’Angelo Technologies LLC, Beavercreek, OH; Decision Sciences, Inc., Fort Walton Beach, FL; Defense Industry Advisors LLC, St. Petersburg, FL; Delta Development Team, Inc., Tucson, AZ; Design West Technologies, Inc., Tustin, CA; DuPont Specialty Products USA LLC, Circleville, OH; Eduworks, Corvallis, OR; ElbitAmerica, Inc., Fort Worth, TX; Elder, Robert James, Shreveport, LA; Ellwood Material Technologies, New Castle, PA; Empirical Systems Aerospace, Inc., San Luis Obispo, CA; Enduralock LLC, Lenexa, KS; Espey Mfg & Electronics Corp., Saratoga Springs, NY; Exosonic, Inc., Torrance, CA; Fabrisonic LLC, Lewis Center, OH; Foursyte LLC, Ashburn, VA; General Dynamics Ordnance & Tactical Systems, Inc., St. Petersburg, FL; GIRD Systems, Inc., Cincinnati, OH; GLOBAL CIRCUIT INNOVATIONS, Inc., Colorado Springs, CO; Gradient Technology, ELK RIVER, MN; Granite State Manufacturing, Manchester, NH; Hawk Technologies LLC, Hancock, MI; HDT Expeditionary Systems, Inc., Fredericksburg, VA; Heka Aero LLC, Melbourne, FL; Hermeus Corp., Atlanta, GA; Idaho Scientific LLC, Boise, ID; Infinity Systems Engineering, Colorado Springs, CO; Innoveering LLC, Bohemia, NY; Integra Technologies, Wichita, KS; Integration Innovation, Inc., Huntsville, AL; Intertek Laboratories, Inc., Stirling, NJ; iWorks Corp., Reston, VA; JR3 Consulting LLC, Huntsville, AL; Keselowski Advanced Manufacturing LLC, Statesville, NC; Kinnami Software Corp., Braintree, MA; Kratos Defense & Rocket Support Services, Inc., Huntsville, AL; Kratos SRE, Inc., San Diego, CA; Leidos, Inc., Reston, VA; Leonardo DRS Naval Power Systems, Menomonee Falls, WI; Lockheed Martin-Missiles & Fire Control, Orlando,