

may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This investigation was instituted on February 27, 2012, based upon a complaint filed on behalf of VIA Technologies, Inc. of New Taipei City, Taiwan; IP-First, LLC of Fremont, California; and Centaur Technology, Inc. of Austin, Texas (collectively, "VIA") on September 22, 2011, as amended on October 13, 2011, and as further amended on October 31, 2011. 76 FR 70490 (November 14, 2011). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the sale for importation, importation, or sale after importation in the United States of certain computing devices with associated instruction sets and software by reason of infringement of claims 1-4, 7-10, and 26-29 of U.S. Patent No. 6,253,312; claims 1, 14, and 21 of U.S. Patent No. 6,253,311; claims 20, 27, and 30 of U.S. Patent No. 6,754,810; claims 1-3 and 10-14 of U.S. Patent No. 7,185,180; and claims 23, 24 and 28-30 of U.S. Patent No. 7,155,598. The notice of institution named as respondent Apple Inc., a/k/a Apple Computer, Inc. of Cupertino, California ("Apple").

On November 19, 2012, VIA and Apple filed a joint motion seeking to terminate the investigation based upon a settlement agreement. On November 29, 2012, the Commission investigative attorney filed a response in support of the motion. On November 30, 2012, the administrative law judge granted the motion, finding that termination of the investigation based on a settlement between VIA and Apple does not impose any undue burdens on the public health and welfare, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or United States consumers. No petitions for review were filed.

Having considered the record in the investigation, the Commission has determined not to review the subject ID and to terminate the investigation.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.
Issued: January 2, 2013.

William R. Bishop,

Supervisory Hearing and Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2013-00070 Filed 1-7-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1121-NEW]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Extension of a Currently Approved Collection; Comment Request: Equal Employment Opportunity Plan Certification and Short Form

ACTION: 30-Day Notice.

The U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs (OJP) will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register**, Volume 77, Number 207, page 65204, on October 25, 2012, allowing for a 60-day comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until February 7, 2013. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503. Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to (202) 395-7285. Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology; e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Equal Employment Opportunity Plan Certification and Short Form.

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* The Office for Civil Rights, Office of Justice Programs, United States Department of Justice, is sponsoring the collection.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: State, and local, government instrumentalities. Other: For-profit Institutions. 28 CFR 42.301 et seq. authorizes the Department of Justice to collect information regarding employment practices from State or Local units of government, agencies of State and Local governments, and Private entities, institutions or organizations to which OJP, COPS or OVW extend Federal financial assistance.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond/reply:* There are a total of 6371 respondents. It is estimated that it will take 1,290 respondents receiving a grant of \$500,000 or more one hour to complete an Equal Employment Opportunity Plan Short Form and submit it to the Office of Justice Programs. In addition, an estimated 5,081 of respondents seeking grants ranging from \$25,000 up to \$500,000 will be required to complete Certification stating that they are maintaining a current Equal Employment Opportunity Plan on file and submit the certification to OJP. Completion and submission of the Certification will take ¼ hour.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* For the 6371 respondents, the total estimated burden hours on respondents would be 2,560 to complete the EEOP Short Form or Certification.

If additional information is required, contact Jerri Murray, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE., Room 3W-1407B, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: January 3, 2013.

Jerri Murray,

Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2013-00147 Filed 1-7-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-18-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1103-NEW]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed New Collection; Comments Requested; Stress Resiliency Study Questionnaires for Milwaukee Police Department

ACTION: 60-Day notice.

The Department of Justice (DOJ) Office of Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The revision of a previously approved information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for 60 days for public comment until March 11, 2013. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

If you have comments, especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Danielle Ouellette, Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, 145 N Street NE., Washington, DC 20530. Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

—Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

—Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

—Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

—Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Proposed new collection; comments requested.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Stress Resiliency Study Questionnaires for Milwaukee Police Department.

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* None. U.S. Department of Justice Office of Community Oriented Policing Services.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* The Milwaukee Police Department (MPD) will be the affected public who is subject to this survey through a COPS cooperative agreement with the MPD. These surveys will be used to collect data on MPD officers' perceived stress, responses to stressful experiences, stress and its relationship to biometrics and related questionnaires.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond/reply:* It is estimated that 120 respondents annually will complete the form within .57 hours (34 minutes).

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* There are an estimated 68 total annual burden hours associated with this collection.

If additional information is required contact: Jerri Murray, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE., Room 3W-1407B, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: January 3, 2013.

Jerri Murray,

Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2013-00148 Filed 1-7-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-AT-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act

On December 20, 2012, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts in the lawsuit entitled, *United States of America v. Solutia, Inc. and INEOS Melamines, LLC*, Civil Action No. 3:12-cv-12377-KPN.

In its Complaint, the United States alleged that the Defendant's actions at their chemical manufacturing plant violated the Clean Air Act, and regulations promulgated pursuant to the Clean Air Act. The alleged violations occurred at the Defendants' Indian Orchard Plant in Springfield, Massachusetts. The United States alleges in its Complaint that Defendants violated: (A) Sections 112 and 502 of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7412 and 7661a, and implementing regulations; (B) the Final Reasonably Available Control Technology Compliance Plan Conditional Approval issued by the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection ("Massachusetts DEP") on June 20, 1989 which contains requirements on the operation of the Plant; and (C) the Air Quality Operating Permit issued to Solutia Inc. on June 26, 2005 by the Massachusetts DEP pursuant to Title V of the Clean Air Act and 310 C.M.R. 7.00: Appendix C which also contains requirements on the operation of the Plant.

Upon entry of Consent Decree, the Defendants will pay a civil penalty in the amount of \$970,000 to the United States. In addition, under the terms of the Consent Decree, the Defendants will implement an enhanced leak detection and repair system to control and manage the air pollutants emitted at the facility. As part of this leak detection and repair system, the Defendants will undertake efforts above and beyond what is currently required by the Clean Air Act and the regulations that the United States alleged were violated at the Plant. Pursuant to the proposed Consent Decree, the Defendants will conduct more frequent monitoring for possible equipment leaks, use lower thresholds for the repairs of leaks, replace leaking equipment more quickly with improved equipment, and conduct third-party audits of its leak detection and repair program.

The proposed Consent Decree resolves both Solutia Inc.'s liability, and INEOS Melamines, LLC's liability for all of the violations of the Clean Air Act