October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);

- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);
- Does not have federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- Is not subject to requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and
- Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land

or in any other area where EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the proposed rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small **Business Regulatory Enforcement** Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a Start Printed Page 11875 copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this action and other required information to the U.S. Senate. the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the Federal Register. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by May 6, 2022. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule

or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Reporting and record keeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: February 28, 2022.

Earthea Nance,

Regional Administrator, Region 6.

For the reasons stated in the preamble, the EPA amends 40 CFR part 52 as follows:

PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Subpart GG—New Mexico

■ 2. In § 52.1620 (e), the table titled "EPA-Approved Nonregulatory Provisions and Quasi-Regulatory Measures in the New Mexico SIP" is amended by adding the entry "2017 Emissions Inventory and Emissions Statement for the 2015 Ozone NAAQS" at the end of the table to read as follows:

§ 52.1620 Identification of plan.

(e) * * *

EPA-Approved Nonregulatory Provisions and Quasi-Regulatory Measures in the New Mexico SIP

Name of SIP provision		Applicable geographic or		State submittal/ effective date	EPA approval date		Explanation
* 2017 Emissions Inventory and Emissions Statement for the 2015 Ozone NAAQS.		* Sunland Park ozone nonattain- ment area.	*	9/20/2020	* 3/7/2022 [Ins	eert Federal Register	*

[FR Doc. 2022–04525 Filed 3–4–22; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 281

[EPA-R09-UST-2022-0197; FRL-9571-01-R9]

Approval of State Underground Storage Tank Program Revisions;

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notification of final determination on the State of Hawaii's application for final approval.

SUMMARY: Hawaii has applied to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for updated approval of changes made to its Underground Storage Tank Program under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, as amended, since the previous approval of Hawaii's Underground Storage Tank Program in September 2002. The EPA has reviewed Hawaii's application and

has determined that these changes satisfy all requirements needed to qualify for the requested updated approval. The EPA is correcting one citation identified as a result of public comment received on the proposal to approve Hawaii's Underground Storage Tank Program that was published in August 2020. All other aspects of the August 2020 proposed State Program Approval remain the same. Therefore, the EPA is granting final approval to the State of Hawaii to operate its Underground Storage Tank Program for petroleum and hazardous substances. **DATES:** This final approval is effective at

DATES: This final approval is effective at 1:00 p.m. HST March 7, 2022.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lyndsey Tu, Underground Storage Tanks Program Office, U.S. EPA, Region 9, *Tu.Lyndsey@epa.gov*, (415) 972–3269. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Approval of Revisions to Hawaii's Underground Storage Tank Program

A. Background

Section 9004 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, as amended, (RCRA), 42 U.S.C. 6991c, authorizes the EPA to approve a State Underground Storage Tank (UST) Program to operate in the State in lieu of the Federal UST program, subject to the authority retained by EPA in accordance with RCRA. Program approval may be granted by EPA pursuant to RCRA Section 9004(b), if EPA finds that the State program: (1) Is "no less stringent" than the Federal program for the seven elements set forth at RCRA Section 9004(a)(1) through (7); (2) includes the notification requirements of RCRA Section 9004(a)(8); and (3) provides for adequate enforcement of compliance with UST standards of RCRA Section 9004(a). Note that RCRA Sections 9005 (on information-gathering) and 9006 (on Federal enforcement) by their terms apply even in states with programs approved by EPA under RCRA Section 9004. Thus, EPA retains its authority under RCRA Sections 9005 and 9006, 42 U.S.C. 6991d and 6991e, and other applicable statutory and regulatory provisions to undertake inspections and enforcement actions in approved states. With respect to such an enforcement action, EPA will rely on Federal sanctions, Federal inspection authorities, and Federal procedures rather than the approved state analogues to these provisions.

B. What decisions has EPA made in this approval?

On October 8, 2018, in accordance with 40 CFR 281.51(a), Hawaii

submitted a complete program revision application seeking approval for its UST program revisions corresponding to the EPA final rule published on July 15, 2015 (80 FR 41566), which finalized revisions to the 1988 UST regulations and to the 1988 state program approval regulations. As required by 40 CFR 281.20, the State submitted the following: A transmittal letter from the Governor requesting approval, a description of the program and operating procedures, a demonstration of the State's procedures to ensure adequate enforcement, a Memorandum of Agreement outlining the roles and responsibilities of EPA and the implementing agency, a statement of certification from the Attorney General, and copies of all relevant State statutes and regulations. EPA reviewed the Hawaii application for updated UST Program approval and, on August 14, 2020 (85 FR 49611), issued a tentative determination that the revisions to Hawaii's UST program are equivalent to, consistent with, and no less stringent than the corresponding Federal requirements in subpart C of 40 CFR part 281, and that the Hawaii program provides for adequate enforcement of compliance (40 CFR 281.11(b)). EPA received public comment on its tentative determination and, as a result, has made one correction to the scope of the approval as proposed, which is described in Section I.C. of this document, below. Therefore, EPA grants Hawaii approval to operate its UST program with the changes described in the program revision application as outlined in EPA's August 14, 2020 tentative determination and amended by this notification. Specifically, as noted below, EPA finds that Hawaii Administrative Rules (HAR) Section 11-280.1–67 is equivalent to and part of the approved Hawaii UST program, but HAR Section 11-280.1-65.1 is broader in scope than the Federal UST program and is not a part of the approved Hawaii UST program.

C. Significant Public Comments and Responses

EPA received one significant public comment on its proposed approval of the updated Hawaii UST program within the public comment period.

Comment: In the "Authorization of Underground Storage Tank Program Revisions: Hawaii" under H. "Where are the State's revised rules different from the Federal rules," the text reads: "[Hawaii Administrative Rules (HAR)] Section 11–280.1–67 requires public notification in the event of a confirmed release. This requirement is broader in scope than the Federal UST program,

which only requires public notification when an implementing agency requires a corrective action plan." This is not correct. HAR Section 11–280.1–67 is titled "Public participation for corrective action plans" and does NOT require public notification in the event of a confirmed release, but instead only when a corrective action plan is required. This is in line with EPA's rules.

Response: EPA agrees with this comment. HAR Section 11-280.1-67 does not require public notification in the event of a confirmed release but does require public participation when a corrective action plan is required. This aspect of Hawaii's program is not broader in scope than the Federal program and is in alignment with EPA's rules. However, in its August 2020 proposal, EPA cited HAR Section 11-280.1-67 incorrectly and should have cited HAR Section 11-280.1-65.1 instead. HAR Section 11-280.1-65.1 requires notification of members of the public directly affected by a confirmed release. See also Hawaii revised statute 342L-35(4). There is no counterpart in the Federal regulations for HAR Section 11-280.1-65.1. As a result, this final approval includes HAR Section 11-280.1-67, which has a counterpart at 40 CFR 280.67. However, this final approval does not include HAR Section 11-280.1-65.1, which EPA finds is broader in scope than the Federal program.

Additionally, EPA received a set of comments outside of the public comment period. This set of comments was submitted by email to the Underground Storage Tanks Program at EPA Region 9 shortly after the comment period closed. The full text of this set of comments is included as a part of this docket to ensure the public has access to this set of comments as part of the record for this decision. The comments, which are focused on the State's and EPA's underlying requirements for fieldconstructed tanks, do not implicate EPA's decision whether to approve Hawaii's revised UST Program. UST State Program Approval is intended for states to obtain the authority to operate their programs in lieu of the Federal program and is not an opportunity to reopen comment on either the underlying Federal or state rules. The public comment period for the 2015 Federal UST regulations closed on April 16, 2012, and the public comment period for the Hawaii UST regulations closed on June 5, 2018.

II. Codification

A. What is codification, and will EPA codify Hawaii's UST program?

Codification is the process of placing citations and references to the state's statutes and regulations that comprise the state's approved UST program into the Code of Federal Regulations. EPA does this by adding those citations and references to the approved state rules in 40 CFR part 282. EPA is not codifying the approval of Hawaii's changes at this time. However, EPA intends to amend 40 CFR part 282, subpart B, to reflect the updated approval of Hawaii's program changes at a later date.

III. Statutory and Executive Order (E.O.) Reviews

This action only applies to Hawaii's UST Program requirements pursuant to RCRA Section 9004 and imposes no requirements other than those imposed by state law. It complies with applicable EOs and statutory provisions as follows:

A. Executive Order 12866 Regulatory Planning and Review, Executive Order 13563: Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has exempted this action from the requirements of Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011). This action approves state requirements for the purpose of RCRA Section 9004 and imposes no additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. Therefore, this action is not subject to review by OMB.

B. Executive Order 13771: Reducing Regulations and Controlling Regulatory Costs

This action is not an Executive Order 13771 (82 FR 9339, February 3, 2017) regulatory action because actions such as this approval of Hawaii's revised underground storage tank program under RCRA are exempted under Executive Order 12866. Accordingly, I certify that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.).

C. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act and Executive Order 13175: Consultation and Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments

Because this action approves preexisting requirements under state law and does not impose any additional enforceable duty beyond that required by state law, it does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538). For the same reason, and because there are no federally recognized Tribes within the State, this action also does not significantly or uniquely affect the communities of tribal governments, as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

D. Executive Order 13132: Federalism

This action will not have substantial direct effects on the states, on the relationship between the National Government and the states, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999), because it merely approves state requirements as part of the State RCRA Underground Storage Tank Program without altering the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established by RCRA.

E. Executive Order 13045: Protection of Children From Environmental Health and Safety Risks

This action also is not subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant, and it does not make decisions based on environmental health or safety risks.

F. Executive Order 13211: Actions That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use

This action is not subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001) because it is not a "significant regulatory action" as defined under Executive Order 12866.

G. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act

Under RCRA Section 9004(b), EPA grants a state's application for approval as long as the state meets the criteria required by RCRA. It would thus be inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, when it reviews a state approval application, to require the use of any particular voluntary consensus standard in place of another standard that otherwise satisfies the requirements of RCRA. Thus, the requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply.

H. Executive Order 12988: Civil Justice Reform

As required by Section 3 of Executive Order 12988 (61 FR 4729, February 7,

1996), in taking this action, EPA has taken the necessary steps to eliminate drafting errors and ambiguity, minimize potential litigation, and provide a clear legal standard for affected conduct.

I. Executive Order 12630: Governmental Actions and Interference With Constitutionally Protected Property Rights

EPA has complied with Executive Order 12630 (53 FR 8859, March 15, 1988) by examining the takings implications of the action in accordance with the "Attorney General's Supplemental Guidelines for the Evaluation of Risk and Avoidance of Unanticipated Takings" issued under the Executive order.

J. Paperwork Reduction Act

This action does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*). "Burden" is defined at 5 CFR 1320.3(b).

K. Executive Order 12898: Federal Actions To Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low Income Populations

Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994) establishes Federal executive policy on environmental justice. Its main provision directs Federal agencies, to the greatest extent practicable and permitted by law, to make environmental justice part of their mission by identifying and addressing, as appropriate, disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects of their programs, policies, and activities on minority populations and low-income populations in the United States. Because this action approves preexisting state rules which are at least equivalent to, consistent with, and no less stringent than existing Federal requirements, and imposes no additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law, and there is no anticipated significant adverse human health or environmental effects, the action is not subject to Executive Order 12898.

L. Congressional Review Act

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801–808, generally provides that, before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. This action is not considered a "rule" within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. 804, since it does not substantially affect the rights or

obligations of non-agency parties. However, this action will be effective at 1:00 p.m. HST March 7, 2022, because it is a final approval.

Authority: This action is issued under the authority of Sections 2002(a), 7004(b), and 9004, 9005 and 9006 of RCRA, also known as the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 6912(a), 6974(b), and 6991c, 6991d, and 6991e.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 281

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Hazardous substances, Petroleum, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, State program approval, Underground storage tanks.

Dated: February 26, 2022.

Martha Guzman Aceves,

Regional Administrator, Region 9. [FR Doc. 2022-04723 Filed 3-4-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration

49 CFR Part 393

[Docket No. FMCSA-2021-0037]

RIN 2126-AC42

Parts and Accessories Necessary for Safe Operation; Authorized Windshield Area for the Installation of Vehicle Safety Technology

AGENCY: Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: FMCSA amends the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations (FMCSRs) to increase the area on the interior of commercial motor vehicle (CMV) windshields where certain vehicle safety technology devices may be mounted. In addition, FMCSA adds items to the definition of vehicle safety technology. This final rule responds to a rulemaking petition from Daimler Trucks North America (DTNA).

DATES: Effective May 6, 2022.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Luke W. Loy, Vehicle and Roadside Operations Division, Office of Policy, Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590-0001; (202) 366-0676; Luke.Loy@ dot.gov. If you have questions on viewing or submitting material to the docket, call Dockets Operations at (202) 366-9826.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: FMCSA organizes this final rule as follows:

- I. Availability of Rulemaking Documents II. Executive Summary
 - A. Purpose and Summary of the Regulatory Action
 - B. Costs and Benefits
- III. Abbreviations
- IV. Legal Basis
- V. Discussion of Proposed Rulemaking
- VI. Changes From the NPRM
- VII. Section-by-Section Analysis
- VII. Regulatory Analyses
 - A. E.O. 12866 (Regulatory Planning and Review), E.O. 13563 (Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review), and **DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures**
- B. Congressional Review Act
- C. Regulatory Flexibility Act (Small Entities)
- D. Assistance for Small Entities
- E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995
- F. Paperwork Reduction Act (Collection of Information)
- G. E.O. 13132 (Federalism)
- H. Privacy
- I. E.O. 13175 (Indian Tribal Governments) J. National Environmental Policy Act of

I. Availability of Rulemaking **Documents**

To view any documents mentioned as being available in the docket, go to https://www.regulations.gov/docket/ FMCSA-2021-0037/document and choose the document to review. To view comments, click this final rule, then click "Browse Comments." If you do not have access to the internet, you may view the docket online by visiting Dockets Operations at U.S. Department of Transportation, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590-0001, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. To be sure someone is there to help you, please call (202) 366–9317 or (202) 366–9826 before visiting Dockets Operations.

II. Executive Summary

A. Purpose and Summary of the Regulatory Action

Section 393.60(e)(1)(i) of the FMCSRs prohibits obstruction of the driver's field of view by devices mounted at the top of the windshield. Antennas and similar devices must not be mounted more than 152 mm (6 inches) below the upper edge of the windshield and must be outside the driver's sight lines to the road and highway signs and signals.

Section 393.60(e)(1)(i) does not apply to vehicle safety technologies, as defined in § 393.5, that include "a fleetrelated incident management system, performance or behavior management system, speed management system, forward collision warning or mitigation system, active cruise control system,

and transponder." Section 393.60(e)(1)(ii) requires devices with vehicle safety technologies to be mounted (1) not more than 100 mm (4 inches) below the upper edge of the area swept by the windshield wipers, or (2) not more than 175 mm (7 inches) above the lower edge of the area swept by the windshield wipers, and (3) outside the driver's sight lines to the road and highway signs and signals.

The Agency modifies § 393.60(e)(1)(ii) to increase from 100 mm (4 inches) to 216 mm (8.5 inches) the distance below the upper edge of the area swept by the windshield wipers within which vehicle safety technologies may be mounted. The Agency also amends § 393.5 by revising the definition of vehicle safety technology to add technologies that had been granted temporary exemptions from § 393.60(e). The amendments do not impose new or more stringent requirements, but simply codify the temporary exemptions granted pursuant to 49 CFR part 381 that allow the use of the devices/ technologies in locations that would previously have been a violation of § 393.60(e)(1). More importantly, the amendments do not mandate the use of any devices/technologies, but simply permit their voluntary use while mounted in a location that maximizes their effectiveness without impairing operational safety.

B. Costs and Benefits

The Agency expects that the final rule will generate cost savings for both industry and the Federal Government by reducing the overall time burden associated with the exemption request and approval process associated with 49 U.S.C. 31315(b) and the implementing regulations under 49 CFR part 381. The Agency estimates this final rule will result in total annualized cost savings of \$10,903 at 3 percent and 7 percent discount rates, respectively.

III. Abbreviations

ANPRM Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking BLS U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics CE Categorical Exclusion

CIB Crash Imminent Braking CMV Commercial Motor Vehicle

DOT Department of Transportation Dynamic Brake Support DBS DTNA Daimler Trucks North America

ECEC Employer Costs for Employee Compensation

ELD Électronic Logging Devices E.O. Executive Order

FAST Act Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act FMCSA Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration

FMCSRs Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations