Mineral Leasing Act of 1920 (30 U.S.C. 188), and the BLM is proposing to reinstate the lease effective August 1, 2009, under the original terms and conditions of the lease and the increased rental and royalty rates cited above.

#### Steven Wells,

Deputy State Director, Division of Natural Resources.

[FR Doc. 2010–18213 Filed 7–23–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–GJ–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

## **Bureau of Land Management**

[LLMT922200-10-L13100000-FI0000-P;MTM 97827]

# Notice of Proposed Reinstatement of Terminated Oil and Gas Lease MTM 97827, Montana

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** As provided for under the Mineral Lands Leasing Act of 1920, as amended, Longshot Oil LLC timely filed a petition for reinstatement of competitive oil and gas lease MTM 97827, Carbon County, Montana. The lessee paid the required rental accruing from the date of termination.

No leases were issued that affect these lands. The lessee agrees to new lease terms for rentals and royalties of \$10 per acre and 162/3 percent. The lessee paid the \$500 administration fee for the reinstatement of the lease and \$163 cost for publishing this Notice.

The lessee met the requirements for reinstatement of the lease per Section 31 (d) and (e) of the Mineral Leasing Act of 1920 (30 U.S.C. 188). We are proposing to reinstate the lease, effective the date of termination, subject to:

- The original terms and conditions of the lease;
- The increased rental of \$10 per acre:
- $\bullet$  The increased royalty of 16<sup>2</sup>/<sub>3</sub> percent; and
- The \$163 cost of publishing this Notice.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Teri Bakken, Chief, Fluids Adjudication Section, Bureau of Land Management Montana State Office, 5001 Southgate Drive, Billings, Montana 59101–4669, 406–896–5091.

#### Teri Bakken,

Chief, Fluids Adjudication Section. [FR Doc. 2010–18215 Filed 7–23–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–DN–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

# **Bureau of Land Management**

[LLNV9230000 L13100000.Fl0000; NVN-83789 et al; 10-08807; MO#4500013563; TAS: 14x1109]

Notice of Proposed Reinstatement of Terminated Oil and Gas Leases, Nevada

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of Proposed Reinstatement of Terminated Oil and Gas Lease.

**SUMMARY:** Under the provisions of the Mineral Lands Leasing Act of 1920, the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) received a petition for reinstatement from Heyser Gas Field, Inc., for competitive oil and gas leases NVN-83789, NVN-83790, NVN-85288, NVN-85299, NVN-85303, NVN-85318, NVN-85324, NVN-85325, NVN-85328, NVN-85332, NVN-85409, NVN-85410, NVN-85411, NVN-85416, NVN-85423, NVN-85424, NVN-85440, NVN-85446, and NVN-85518 for land in White Pine County, Nevada. The petition was timely filed and was accompanied by all the rentals due since the date the lease terminated under the law.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Atanda Clark, BLM Nevada State Office, 775–861–6632, or e-mail: Atanda Clark@blm.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The lessee has agreed to the amended lease terms for rental and royalties at rates of \$5 per acre or fraction thereof, per year and 16<sup>2</sup>/<sub>3</sub> percent, respectively. The lessee has paid the required \$500 administrative fee for each lease and has reimbursed the Department for the cost of this Federal Register notice. The lessee has met all the requirements for reinstatement of the lease as set out in Sections 31(d) and (e) of the Mineral Leasing Act of 1920 (30 U.S.C. 188), and the BLM is proposing to reinstate the leases effective September 1, 2009, under the original terms and conditions of the leases and the increased rental and royalty rates cited above. The BLM has not issued a valid lease affecting the lands to any other interest in the interim.

Authority: 43 CFR 3108.2-3(a).

### Gary Johnson,

Deputy State Director, Minerals Management. [FR Doc. 2010–18218 Filed 7–23–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-HC-P

# INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-501]

In the Matter of Certain Encapsulated Integrated Circuit Devices and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Final Determination of No Violation of Section 337; Termination of Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined that there is no violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), in the above-captioned investigation. The Commission has terminated the investigation.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael Liberman, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-3112. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http:// edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337 ("section 337"), on December 19, 2003, based on a complaint filed by Amkor Technology, Inc. ("Amkor") alleging a violation of section 337 in the importation, sale for importation, and sale within the United States after importation of certain encapsulated integrated circuit devices and products containing same in connection with several claims of three patents owned by Amkor, i.e, U.S. Patent Nos. 6,433,277 ("the '277 patent"); 6,630,728 ("the '728 patent"); and 6,455,356 ("the '356 patent"). The complainant named Carsem (M) Sdn Bhd; Carsem Semiconductor Sdn Bhd;