

produced in the United States or are otherwise available in the United States, with respect to the articles potentially subject to the orders; and

(iv) Indicate whether Complainant, Complainant's licensees, and/or third party suppliers have the capacity to replace the volume of articles potentially subject to an exclusion order and a cease and desist order within a commercially reasonable time.

Written submissions must be filed no later than by close of business, five business days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. There will be further opportunities for comment on the public interest after the issuance of any final initial determination in this investigation.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document and 12 true copies thereof on or before the deadlines stated above with the Office of the Secretary. Submissions should refer to the docket number ("Docket No. 2777") in a prominent place on the cover page and/or the first page. The Commission's rules authorize filing submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means only to the extent permitted by section 201.8 of the rules (see Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures, http://www.usitc.gov/secretary/fed_reg_notices/rules/documents/handbook_on_electronic_filing.pdf). Persons with questions regarding electronic filing should contact the Secretary (202-205-2000).

Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and of sections 201.10 and 210.50(a)(4) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.10, 210.50(a)(4)).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 28, 2010.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2010-33131 Filed 1-3-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-753]

Certain Semiconductor Chips and Products Containing Same; Notice of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on December 1, 2010, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Rambus Inc. of Sunnyvale, California. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain semiconductor chips and products containing same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,470,405 ("the '405 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 6,591,353 ("the '353 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 7,287,109 ("the '109 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 7,602,857 ("the '857 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 7,602,858 ("the '858 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 7,715,494 ("the '494 patent"). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue an exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Daniel L. Girdwood, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-3409.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2010).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on December 28, 2010, *Ordered That*—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain semiconductor chips and products containing same that infringe one or more of claims 11-13, 15, and 18 of the '405 patent; claims 11-13 of the '353 patent; claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 12, 13, 20, 21, and 24 of the '109 patent; claims 1, 2, 4-6, 9-13, 24-28, 31-36, 39-44, 47, and 49-53 of the '857 patent; claims 1, 2, 4, 7, and 20 of the '858 patent; and claims 1-3, 6, 8, 25, 26, 30, 39, 40, and 42 of the '494 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is:

Rambus Inc., 1050 Enterprise Way, Suite 700, Sunnyvale, CA 94089.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Freescale Semiconductor, Inc., 6501 William Cannon Drive West, Austin, TX 78735.

Broadcom Corporation, 5300 California Avenue, Irvine, CA 92617.

LSI Corporation, 1621 Barber Lane, Milpitas, CA 95035.

MediaTek Inc., No. 1, Dusing Road 1, Hsinchu Science Park, Hsin-Chu, Taiwan 30078.

nVidia Corporation, 2701 San Tomas Expressway, Santa Clara, CA 95050.

STMicroelectronics N.V., 39, Chemin du Champ des Filles, C. P. 21CH 1228 Plan-Les-Quates, Geneva, Switzerland.

STMicroelectronics Inc., 1310 Electronics Dr., Carrollton, TX 75006. Asustek Computer Inc., 15, Li The Road, Taipei City 11259, Taiwan.

Asus Computer International Inc., 800 Corporate Way, Fremont, CA 94539.
 Audio Partnership Plc., Gallery Court, Hankey Place, London SE1 4BB, United Kingdom.
 Biostar Microtech (U.S.A.) Corp., 18551 East Gale Avenue, City of Industry, CA 91748.
 Biostar Microtech International Corp., 2 Fl., 108-2, Ming Chuan Road, Hsin Tien, Taiwan.
 Cisco Systems, Inc., 170 West Tasman Drive, San Jose, CA 95134-1706.
 Elitegroup Computer Systems, No. 239, Sec. 2, Ti Ding Blvd., Taipei, Taiwan 11493.
 EVGA Corporation, 2900 Saturn Street, Suite B, Brea, CA 92821.
 Galaxy Microsystems Ltd., Room 1101-1103, 11/F, Enterprise Square Two, 3 Sheung Yuet Road, Kowloon Bay, KLN., Hong Kong.
 Garmin International, 1200 E. 151st Street, Olathe, KS 66062-3426.
 G.B.T. Inc., 17358 Railroad St., City of Industry, CA 91748.
 Giga-Byte Technology Co., Ltd., No. 6, Bau Chiang Road, Hsin-Tien, Taipei 231, Taiwan.
 Gracom Technologies LLC, 1214 John Reed Ct., City of Industry, CA 91745.
 Hewlett-Packard Company, 3000 Hanover St., Palo Alto, CA 94304.
 Hitachi Global Storage Technologies, 3403 Yerba Buena Road, San Jose, CA 95135.
 Jatón Corporation, 47677 Lakeview Blvd., Fremont, CA 94538.
 Jatón Technology TPE, 10F, No. 194, Sec. 3, Ta-Tung Road, Hsi-Chih, Taiwan.
 Micro-Star International Co., Ltd., No. 69, Li-De St., Jung-He City, Taipei Hsien, Taiwan.
 MSI Computer Corporation, 901 Canada Court, City of Industry, California 91748.
 Motorola, Inc., 1303 East Algonquin Road, Schaumburg, IL 60196.
 Oppo Digital, Inc., 2629 Terminal Blvd., Suite B, Mountain View, CA 94043.
 Palit Microsystems Ltd., 21F, 88, Sec. 2, Chung Hsiao E. Rd., Taipei, Taiwan.
 Pine Technology Holdings, Ltd., Unit A, 32/F Manulife Tower, 169 Electric Road, North Point, Hong Kong.
 Seagate Technology, 920 Disc Drive, Scotts Valley, CA 95066.
 Sparkle Computer Co., Ltd., 5F.-7, No. 79, Sec. 1, Xintai 5th Rd., Xizhi City, Taipei County 221, Taiwan.
 Zotac International (MCO) Ltd., 19/F., Shatin Galleria, 18-24 Shan Mei Street, Fo Tan, Shatin, N.T. Hong Kong.
 Zotac USA Inc., 17921 Rowland Street, City of Industry, CA 91748.
 (c) The Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation, is

Daniel L. Girdwood, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Paul J. Luckern, Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d)-(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefore is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 29, 2010.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2010-33207 Filed 1-3-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act

In accordance with Departmental Policy, 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that a proposed Consent Decree in *United States of America v. The Boeing Company et al.*, Civil Action No. 10-457-LRS (E.D. Wa.), was lodged with the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington on December 23, 2010. The proposed

Consent Decree settles claims for, *inter alia*, response costs to address hazardous substances released at the Moses Lake Wellfield Superfund Site (Site).

The complaint asserts claims against the defendants—The Boeing Company, Lockheed Martin Corporation, and the City of Moses Lake—for response costs incurred at the Site by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the United States Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) pursuant to the section 107(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), 42 U.S.C. 9607(a). The complaint also seeks a declaratory judgment of liability against the defendants for future response costs incurred by EPA and the Corps at the Site. The State of Washington also filed a complaint on December 23, 2010, asserting claims for response costs against the defendants as well as against the United States.

Under the proposed Consent Decree, the defendants will make payments to the United States totaling \$3.25 million to resolve their CERCLA liability at the Site. The United States, on behalf of a group of settling Federal agencies including the United States Air Force, will make payments to EPA for the future response costs that are not covered by the defendants' payments. The United States will also pay, on behalf of the settling Federal agencies, future response costs incurred by the State of Washington. Based on cleanup cost estimates, the United States' payments on behalf of the settling Federal agencies, which will be largely to EPA, are expected to be approximately \$55 million. The payments by the United States resolve claims against it by the State of Washington as well as claims by the defendants. In addition, the United States, on behalf of the settling Federal agencies, will pay the City of Moses Lake approximately \$2.96 million to resolve claims for response costs and attorney fees asserted by the City of Moses Lake in its related pending lawsuit, No. 04-0376.

The Department of Justice will receive written comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of publication of this notice. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either e-mailed to pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, and should refer to *United States of America*