construction obligations in accordance with the Act of September 21, 1959. Contract amendment executed December 9, 2005.

- 2. (51) Bostwick ID, Superior-Courtland and Franklin Units, Bostwick Division, P–SMBP, Red Cloud, Nebraska: The district requested a deferment of its 2005 construction obligations in accordance with the Act of September 21, 1959. Contract amendment executed December 13, 2005.
- 3. (52) Kirwin ID No. 1, Kirwin Unit, Solomon Division, P–SMBP, Gaylord, Kansas: The district requested a deferment of its 2005 construction obligation in accordance with the Act of September 21, 1959. Contract amendment executed December 13, 2005.
- 4. (53) Webster ID, Webster Unit, Solomon Division, P–SMBP, Gaylord, Kansas: The district requested a deferment of its 2005 construction obligations in accordance with the Act of September 21, 1959. Contract amendment executed December 13, 2005.
- 5. (59) Nancy Ray, Lower Marias Unit, P–SMBP, Montana: Initiating a long-term contract for up to 144 acre-feet of storage water from Tiber Reservoir to irrigate 72 acres. The current contract expired December 31, 2005. Contract was executed November 15, 2005.

Dated: January 9, 2005.

### Roseann Gonzales,

Director, Office of Program and Policy Services.

[FR Doc. E6–2569 Filed 2–22–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–MN–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

## **Bureau of Reclamation**

## San Luis Unit Long-Term Contract Renewal

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

**ACTION:** Release of Draft Supplemental Information to the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for 45-day public review.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) is releasing Draft Supplemental Information to the DEIS for San Luis Unit Long-Term Contract Renewal. The notice of availability of the DEIS was published in the Federal Register on September 30, 2005 (70 FR 57324). The public comment period on the DEIS was originally to end on November 21, 2005. A notice to extend the comment period to January 17, 2006

was published in the **Federal Register** on December 6, 2005 (70 FR 72652). A second notice to extend the comment period to April 3, 2006 and to announce the preparation of the Supplemental Information was published in the **Federal Register** on January 24, 2006 (71 FR 3885).

**DATES:** Submit comments on the Draft Supplemental Information and the DEIS on or before April 10, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on the Draft Supplemental Information and the DEIS to Mr. Shane Hunt, Bureau of Reclamation, South-Central California Area Office, 1243 N Street, Fresno, CA 93721. Comments may also be emailed to shunt@mp.usbr.gov. The Supplemental Information and the DEIS is available on the Web at: http://www.usbr.gov/mp/nepa/nepa\_projdetails.cfm?Project\_ID=63. Copies of the Supplemental Information and the DEIS may be requested by calling Mr. Hunt at 559–487–5138, TDD 559–487–5933.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Shane Hunt at 559–487–5138, TDD 559–487 5933.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Reclamation has prepared Supplemental Information to address issues and concerns that have been identified following the preparation of the DEIS. The Supplemental Information will be available for a 45-day review period. The public and agencies can review this information concurrently with the DEIS released on September 30, 2005, and may provide comments on the DEIS and the supplemental information in a single response. The final environmental impact statement will consider and contain responses to all substantive comments received on the DEIS and supplement. Submit comments on the Supplemental Information and the DEIS on or before April 10, 2006.

Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home address from public disclosure, which we will honor to the extent allowable by law. There also may be circumstances in which we would withhold a respondent's identity from public disclosure, as allowable by law. If you wish us to withhold your name and/or address, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of

organizations or businesses, available for public disclosure in their entirety.

#### Frank Michny,

Regional Environmental Officer, Mid-Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. E6–2543 Filed 2–22–06; 8:45 am]

### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

# Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives

## Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

**ACTION:** 30-Day Notice of Information Collection Under Review: Certificate of Compliance With 18 U.S.C. 922(g)(5)(B).

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF) has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the Federal Register Volume 70, Number 234, page 72851 on December 7, 2005, allowing for a 60 day comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until March 27, 2006. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to The Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503. Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to (202) 395–5806.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the