#### TABLE 1—INITIAL COMPLIANCE TIME

| For airplanes on which Structural S | Significant |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| Items (SSIs) F-25G, F-25H, and I    | F–25I—      |

Inspect—

- Have not been inspected in accordance with paragraph (i) of AD 2004–07–22 R1, amendment 39–15326, using the HFEC method.
- (2) Have been inspected in accordance with paragraph (i) of AD 2004–07–22 R1, using the HFEC method.

Before the accumulation of 22,000 total flight cycles, or within 1,000 flight cycles after April 13, 2006 (the effective date of AD 2006–05–09), whichever occurs later.

Within 3,000 flight cycles after the most recent supplemental structural inspection document (SSID) inspection of each applicable structural significant item (as given in Boeing Document D6–35022, "SSID for Model 747 Airplanes," Revision G, dated December 2000), or within 1,000 flight cycles after April 13, 2006, whichever occurs later.

#### **Repetitive Inspections**

(h) Repeat the applicable inspections required by paragraph (g) of this AD thereafter at intervals not to exceed those specified in paragraph 1.E., "Compliance," (including the note) of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747–53A2499, dated August 11, 2005; or Revision 1, dated October 30, 2008. As of the effective date of this AD, only Revision 1, dated October 30, 2008, of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747–53A2499 may be used.

#### New Requirements of This AD

### Repetitive Inspections/Investigative and Corrective Actions

(i) For all airplanes: Do an external HFEC inspection of the lap joints in Sections 41, 42, and 46 for cracks, by doing all the actions, including all applicable related investigative and corrective actions, specified in the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747-53A2499, Revision 1, dated October 30, 2008. Do the inspection at the applicable time specified in paragraph 1.E. of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747-53A2499, Revision 1, dated October 30, 2008; except as required by paragraph (m) of this AD. Do all applicable related investigative and corrective actions before further flight. Repeat the inspection thereafter at the times specified in paragraph 1.E. of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747-53A2499, Revision 1, dated October 30, 2008. Accomplishment of the inspections required by this paragraph terminates the inspections required by paragraphs (g) and (h) of this AD.

(j) For areas on which a lap joint repair was installed and the repair doubler is greater than or equal to 40 inches long: Do initial and repetitive internal HFEC inspections for cracks by doing all the actions, including all applicable corrective actions, specified in the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747–53A2499, Revision 1, dated October 30, 2008, except as required by paragraph (l) of this AD. Do the inspections and corrective actions at the times specified in paragraph 1.E. of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747–53A2499, Revision 1, dated October 30, 2008, except as required by paragraph (m) of this AD.

#### **Terminating Action**

(k) Modify the applicable lap joints in sections 41 and 42 by doing all the applicable actions specified in the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747–53A2499, Revision 1, dated October 30, 2008, at the time specified in paragraph 1.E.

of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747—53A2499, Revision 1, dated October 30, 2008; except as required by paragraphs (l) and (m) of this AD. Accomplishing this modification terminates the repetitive inspections of the skin lap joints in sections 41 and 42 required by paragraphs (i) and (j) of this AD for the length of lap joint that is modified.

#### **Exceptions to Service Bulletin Procedures**

(l) Where Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747–53A2499, Revision 1, dated October 30, 2008, specifies to contact Boeing for appropriate action, before further flight, repair the crack using a method approved in accordance with the procedures specified in paragraph (n) of this AD.

(m) Where Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747–53A2499, Revision 1, dated October 30, 2008, specifies a compliance time after the date of the service bulletin, this AD requires compliance within the specified compliance time after the effective date of this AD.

### Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(n)(1) The Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. Send information to Ivan Li, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM–120S, FAA, Seattle ACO, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98057–3356; telephone (425) 917–6437; fax (425) 917–6590. Information may be e-mailed to: 9-ANM-Seattle-ACO-AMOC-Requests@faa.gov.

(2) To request a different method of compliance or a different compliance time for this AD, follow the procedures in 14 CFR 39.19. Before using any approved AMOC on any airplane to which the AMOC applies, notify your principal maintenance inspector (PMI) or principal avionics inspector (PAI), as appropriate, or lacking a principal inspector, your local Flight Standards District Office. The AMOC approval letter must specifically reference this AD.

(3) An AMOC that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used for any repair required by this AD, if it is approved by the Boeing Commercial Airplanes Organization Designation Authorization (ODA) or other person authorized by the Manager, Seattle ACO, to make those findings. For a repair method to be approved, the repair must meet the certification basis of the airplane and the approval must specifically refer to this AD.

(4) AMOCS approved previously in accordance with AD 2006–05–09 are approved as alternative methods of

compliance with the corresponding requirements of this AD.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on March 10, 2010.

#### Jeffrey E. Duven,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 2010–5940 Filed 3–17–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

#### 14 CFR Part 71

[Docket No. FAA-2010-0049; Airspace Docket No. 08-AWA-1]

#### RIN 2120-AA66

## Proposed Modification of Class B Airspace; Charlotte, NC

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM); correction.

**SUMMARY:** This action provides the graphic chart for the proposed rule published in the **Federal Register** of March 3, 2010, regarding the modification of Class B airspace, Charlotte, NC. This correction adds the chart that was inadvertently omitted from the NPRM.


**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before May 3, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Gallant, Airspace and Rules Group, Office of System Operations Airspace and AIM, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone: (202) 267–8783.

#### Correction

In proposed rule FR Doc. 2010–4377, beginning on page 9538 in the issue of March 3, 2010, make the following correction: On page 9544 in the first column, add the attached graphic chart before the Issue Date line.

# PROPOSED MODIFICATION CHARLOTTE, NC. CLASS B AIRSPACE



(Docket No. 08-AWA-1)

NOT FOR NAVIGATION

Issued in Washington, DC, on March 9, 2010.

#### Edith V. Parish,

Manager, Airspace and Rules Group. [FR Doc. 2010–5879 Filed 3–17–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

## National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

#### 15 CFR Part 904

[Docket No. 100216090-0123-01] RIN 0648-AY66

## Regulations to Amend the Civil Procedures

AGENCY: Office of General Counsel (OGC), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** This rule amends the procedures governing NOAA's administrative proceedings for the

assessment of civil penalties; suspension, revocation, modification, or denial of permits; issuance and use of written warnings; and release or forfeiture of seized property. The principal change removes the requirement that an Administrative Law Judge state good reason(s) for departing from the civil penalty or permit sanction assessed by NOAA in its charging document. This revision eliminates any presumption in favor of the civil penalty or permit sanction assessed by NOAA. The other change corrects a clerical error in a citation to rules pertaining to protective orders issued by Administrative Law Judges.

**DATES:** Written comments on this proposed rule must be submitted by April 16, 2010.