which are in the *Decision Memorandum*, is attached to this notice as an appendix. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file in the CRU. In addition, a complete version of the *Decision Memorandum* can be accessed directly on the Web at http://ia.ita.doc.gov. The paper copy and electronic version of the *Decision Memorandum* are identical in content.

Final Results of the Review

We determine the following weightedaverage dumping margin exists for the period February 1, 1999, through January 31, 2000:

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (percent)
Panchmahal Steel Limited	19.54

Assessment Rates

The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to the Customs Service to assess antidumping duties on appropriate entries by applying the assessment rate to the entered value of the merchandise.

Cash Deposit Rates

The following deposit requirements will be required on all shipments of stainless steel bar from India entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption, effective on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the reviewed company will be the rate indicated above; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in the original less-than-fair-value investigation or a previous review, the cash deposit will continue to be the most recent rate published in the final determination or final results for which the manufacturer or exporter received an individual rate; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, the previous review, or the original investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous reviews, the cash deposit rate will be 12.45 percent, the "all others" rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation (see Stainless Steel Bar from India; Final Determination of Sales at Less Than

Fair Value, 59 FR 66915 (December 28, 1994)).

These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding APOs

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders ("APOs") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections section 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: June 6, 2001.

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix

List of Comments and Issues in the Decision Memorandum

Comment 1: Home Market Sales of Bright Bar Comment 2: Preparation and Availability of Information

Comment 3: Availability of Company Staff During Verification

Comment 4: Timing of Verification Comment 5: Use of Adverse Facts Available

Comment 5: Use of Adverse Facts Available
Comment 6: Other Factual Allegations

[FR Doc. 01–14649 Filed 6–8–01; 8:45 am] $\tt BILLING\ CODE\ 3510-DS-P$

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A–580–829]

Stainless Steel Wire Rod From the Republic of Korea: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 11, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Alexander Amdur or Karine Gziryan at (202) 482–5346 and (202) 482–4081, respectively, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Time Limits

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order/finding for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary determination to a maximum of 365 days and for the final determination to 180 days (or 300 days if the Department does not extend the time limit for the preliminary determination) from the date of publication of the preliminary determination.

Background

On November 30, 2000, the Department published a notice of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel wire rod from the Republic of Korea, covering the period September 1, 1999, through August 31, 2000 (65 FR 71299). The preliminary results are currently due no later than June 2, 2001.

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Review

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this review within the original time limit. Therefore the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results until no later

than September 30, 2001. See Decision Memorandum from Holly A. Kuga to Bernard T. Carreau dated concurrently with this notice, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, Room B–099 of the main Commerce building. We intend to issue the final results no later than 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results notice.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: May 22, 2001.

Bernard T. Carreau,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, Import Administration, Group II.

[FR Doc. 01–14647 Filed 6–8–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-201-828]

Welded Large Diameter Line Pipe From Mexico: Postponement of Preliminary Determination of Antidumping Duty Investigation

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of postponement of preliminary determination of antidumping duty investigation.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 25, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rick Johnson at (202) 482–3818; Import

Johnson at (202) 482–3818; Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Statutory Time Limits

Section 733(b)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department of Commerce (the Department) to issue the preliminary determination of an antidumping duty investigation within 140 days after the date of initiation. However, if the case is extraordinarily complicated and additional time is necessary to make the preliminary determination, and the parties concerned are cooperating in the investigation, section 733(c)(1)(B) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary determination until not later than 190 days after the date of initiation.

Background

On January 30, 2001, the Department initiated the above-referenced investigation. See Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigations: Welded Large Diameter Line Pipe from

Mexico and Japan, 66 FR 11266 (February 23, 2001). The preliminary determinations are currently due no later than June 19, 2001.

Extension of Preliminary Determination

The Department has now concluded, consistent with section 733(c)(1)(B) of the Act, that this investigation is extraordinarily complicated, and that additional time is necessary to issue the preliminary determination due to the complexity of certain issues raised in these cases, including the complexity of the transactions to be investigated and adjustments to be considered and the novelty of the issues presented. Specifically, the Department must investigate complicated matters of affiliation between the respondent and another producer. Simultaneously, it must analyze and respond to petitioners' recent allegation of salesbelow-cost.

Therefore, in light of the fact that the parties to this proceeding have been cooperating, pursuant to section 733(c)(1) of the Act, and that additional time is necessary to make this preliminary determination in accordance with section 733(c)(1)(B)(ii) of the Act, the Department is postponing the deadline for issuing this determination until August 8, 2001.

Dated: June 4, 2001.

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 01–14646 Filed 6–8–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

Pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether an instrument of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instrument shown below is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be filed within 20 days with the Statutory Import Programs Staff, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 A.M. and 5 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of

Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 01-011. Applicant: The Burnham Institute, 10901 North Torrey Pines Road, Building #7, La Jolla, CA 92037. Instrument: Brain Slice Physiology Setup. Manufacturer: Luigs and Neumann, Germany. Intended Use: The instrument is intended to be used to prepare acute slices of the rat and mouse brain. These slices will then be visualized under the microscope, microelectrodes will be inserted into single, optically identified nerve cells and stimulation electrodes placed in other identified regions of the brain slice. The main research objective is to understand neuronal information acquisition, processing and storage in the mammalian brain under physiological and pathological conditions. A main focus will be on brain structures involved in sensory perception, memory storage and motor control. In addition, the instrument will be used for guided research training for graduate and undergraduate students in the course BISP 199. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: May 4, 2001.

Gerald A. Zerdy,

Program Manager, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. 01–14651 Filed 6–8–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), Article 1904 Binational Panel Reviews: Notice of Termination of Panel Review

AGENCY: NAFTA Secretariat, United States Section, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of consent motion to terminate the panel review of the final antidumping duty administrative review made by the International Trade Administration, respecting cut-to-length carbon steel plate from Canada (Secretariat File No. USA–CDA–00–1904–01).

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Notice of Consent Motion to Terminate the Panel Review by the complainants, the panel review is terminated as of May 11, 2001. No panel has been appointed to this panel review. Pursuant to Rule 71(2) of the Rules of Procedure for Article 1904 Binational Panel Review, this panel review is terminated.