

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the Rural Utilities Service (RUS), an agency that administers the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Rural Development Utilities Programs, has made a finding of no significant impact (FONSI) with respect to a request from Earth Resources Inc. for assistance from RUS to finance the construction and operation of a twenty (20) MW power generating station utilizing chicken litter and woody biomass as fuel. The proposal would be constructed on a 140-acre property in Franklin County, Georgia.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephanie A. Strength, Environmental Protection Specialist, Engineering and Environmental Staff, Stop 1571, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250-1571, *telephone:* (202) 720-0468 or *e-mail:* Stephanie.strength@wdc.usda.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Earth Resources Inc. is proposing to install a twenty (20) MW GE ID # 8287108 and 114939 steam driven turbine generation station and accessory structures, fuel storage and handling areas, traffic circulation and parking areas, waste holding areas, zero discharge system, and stormwater management features. A new 85-foot tall stack will be required. The proposal is located in Franklin County, Georgia. The generating station will be sited on a previously graded five-(5) acre portion of a 139-acre property. The remaining acres will be maintained as natural area buffers.

Alternatives considered by the USDA Rural Development and ERI included: (a) No action, (b) alternate locations, (c) alternate methods to provide service, (d) alternate construction methods and materials, (e) alternate designs, (f) load management and energy conservation options, and (g) alternate generation technologies.

Copies of the Environmental Assessment and FONSI are available at, or can be obtained from, RUS at the address provided herein, or from Mr. Billy Jones (706) 384-4933, at Earth Resources Inc.'s headquarters office located at 774 Highway 320, Carnesville, Georgia 30521.

Dated: March 2, 2007.

James R. Newby,

Assistant Administrator—Electric Program, Rural Development—Utilities Programs.
[FR Doc. E7-4110 Filed 3-7-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

[Docket No. 070215037-7038-01]

Department of Commerce FY 2007–2012 Strategic Plan

AGENCY: Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Request for comment.

SUMMARY: The Department is updating its current FY 2004–2009 Strategic Plan. As part of this process, the Department is inviting comments on its draft FY 2007–2012 Strategic Plan.

DATES: Public comments on this document must be received at the appropriate mailing or e-mail address (see **ADDRESSES**) on or before April 9, 2007.

ADDRESSES: Please send comments to Mr. Stephen Shapiro, Chief, Systems, Policy, and Performance Division, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Room 5312, Washington, DC 20230. Comments may be submitted via e-mail to sshapiro@doc.gov. Commerce's draft Strategic Plan is posted at <http://www.osec.doc.gov/bmi/budget/>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. William Tatter, phone 202-482-5979, fax 202-482-2903, e-mail btatter@doc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, each Federal agency must develop a Strategic Plan describing the agency's mission, strategic goals, and those means and strategies that will be used to achieve the agency's mission for the current and next five years. The plan must, additionally, describe the relationship between annual performance goals and the agency's strategic goal framework. These Strategic Plans must be updated and reviewed at least every three years, a key part of which includes consultation with Congress and other interested and potentially affected parties.

The draft FY 2007–2012 Strategic Plan updates the key challenges, means, and strategies documented in the FY 2004–2009 Strategic Plan. It also revises the goals, objectives, and outcomes to reflect current emphasis and to achieve greater consistency in style and format across the Department. Finally, it updates the measures used to track and report attainment of goals and objectives.

Dated: March 2, 2007.

Neil K. Shapiro,

Deputy Director, Office of Budget.

[FR Doc. E7-4116 Filed 3-7-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-07-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.

International Trade Administration

A-570-831

Fresh Garlic from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Partial Rescission of the 12th Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 8, 2007

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Matthew Renkey, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2312.

Background

On December 27, 2006, the Department published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of fresh garlic from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"), covering the period November 1, 2005, through October 31, 2006. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 71 FR 77720 (December 27, 2006).

On January 23, 2007, the Fresh Garlic Producers Association (the "Petitioner") withdrew its request for an administrative review for the following nine companies: Jinan Yipin Corporation, Ltd. ("Jinan Yipin"), Linshu Dading Private Agricultural Products Co., Ltd., ("Linshu Dading"), Qingdao Titan Shipping LLC ("Qingdao Titan"), Shandong Wonderland Organic Food Co., Ltd. ("Shandong Wonderland"), Shenzhen Xinboda Industrial Co., Ltd. ("Shenzhen Xinboda"), Taian Fook Huat Tong Kee Pte Ltd. ("Taian Fook Huat"), Weifang Hongqiao International Logistic Co., Ltd. ("Weifang Hongqiao"), Xuzhou Simple Garlic Industry Co., Ltd. ("Xuzhou Simple"), and Omni Decor China Ltd. ("Omni Decor").

Partial Rescission

Pursuant to section 351.213(d)(1) of the Department's regulations, the Secretary will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if a party who requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review.

Therefore, because the Petitioner's withdrawal of requests for review was timely and no other party requested a review of the aforementioned companies, in accordance with section