Trade and Investment Queensland, San Francisco, CA; TruGenomix Health, Inc., dba Polaris Genomics, Gaithersburg, MD; Unveil LLC, Cincinnati, OH; Ursus Medical Designs LLC, Pittsburgh, PA; and Vaxxas Pty, Ltd., Hamilton, AUSTRALIA, have been added as parties to this venture.

Also, ImmersiveTouch, Inc., Chicago, IL; Neuromersive, Inc., Fort Worth, TX; Precisio Biotix Technologies, Dover, DE; and Sepsis Scout, Inc., San Francisco, CA, have withdrawn as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and MTEC intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On May 9, 2014, MTEC filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on June 9, 2014(79 FR 32999).

The last notification was filed with the Department on April 2, 2024. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on June 21, 2024(89 FR 52090).

Suzanne Morris,

Deputy Director Civil Enforcement Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2024–20967 Filed 9–13–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—America's DataHub Consortium

Notice is hereby given that, on June 28, 2024, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (''the Act''), America's DataHub Consortium (''ADC'') has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, ADACEN FEDERAL LLC, Albuquerque, NM; AT Worthy Technology, Fairfax, VA; Brightquery, Inc., Irvine, CA; Careplots, Inc., Malvern, PA; CAS a division of American Chemical Society, Columbus, OH; Data Point LLC, Orange, NJ; Data Products LLC, Chicago, IL; Generative Medical, Inc., Palo Alto, CA; K8R Applications, Inc. dba Future Perfect Engineering, Seattle, WA; Node.Digital, Leesburg, VA; Omnicom Consulting Group, Inc., Tarrytown, NY; Polaron Technologies, Inc., Miamisburg, OH; Prism Lab at Cornell University, Ithaca, NY; and Vistra Communications LLC, Lutz, FL, have been added as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and ADC intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On November 11, 2021, ADC filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on December 22, 2021 (86 FR 72628).

The last notification was filed with the Department on April 4, 2024. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on June 21, 2024 (89 FR 52092).

Suzanne Morris,

Deputy Director Civil Enforcement Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2024–20968 Filed 9–13–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. 23–31]

Mary A. Vreeke, M.D.; Decision and Order

On February 13, 2023, the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA or Government) issued an Order to Show Cause (OSC) to Mary A. Vreeke, M.D. (Respondent), of Oxnard, CA. OSC, at 1, 5. The OSC proposed the revocation of Respondent's DEA Certificate of Registration (Registration) No. FV3660037, alleging that Respondent's continued registration is inconsistent with the public interest. *Id.* at 1 (citing 21 U.S.C. 823(g)(1), 824(a)(4)).

A hearing was held before DEA Administrative Law Judge Paul E. Soeffing (the ALJ), who, on October 19, 2023, issued his Recommended Rulings, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Decision (Recommended Decision or RD). The RD recommended that Respondent's Registration be suspended for six months, and then reinstated with restrictions to ensure that Respondent remains sober and continues with her current treatment program.[1] RD, at 27. Neither party filed Exceptions to the RD. Having reviewed the entire record, the Agency adopts and hereby incorporates by reference the ALJ's credibility findings,[2] findings of fact, and conclusions of law, and clarifies and expands upon portions thereof herein. However, the Agency has determined that revocation is the appropriate sanction based on the egregiousness of Respondent's conduct, her recidivism, and the Agency's interests in deterring intentional violations of the Controlled Substances Act (CSA).

I. Findings of Fact

Respondent is an anesthesiologist currently practicing at St. John's Hospital in Oxnard, California. Respondent testified that she has a substance abuse disorder that began with abusing alcohol in her mid-30s. RD, at 18; Tr. 234–35.[3] Respondent later began abusing zolpidem[4] and diazepam[5] which she obtained without a prescription either from a friend or by going into Mexico. Tr. 235. Respondent was arrested and convicted in 2009 for

[1] The restrictions that the ALJ recommends imposing on Respondent's registration require her to: (1) limit her controlled substance administering, prescribing, and dispensing to the practice of anesthesiology; (2) comply with the terms of the Medical Board of California's (MBC's) Stipulated Interim Order imposing restrictions on her Registration; (3) comply with the terms of her probation with the MBC and refrain from seeking early termination of her probation; (4) notify DEA's Los Angeles Field Division of any action taken against her license and immediately surrender her Registration if her California medical license is suspended or revoked; (5) remain in monitoring for substance abuse and submit to regular urine drug screens; (6) provide DEA with copies of all quarterly reports issued by her practice monitor; (7) maintain a detailed record of controlled substances prescribed, administered, or dispensed; (8) report all activity involving Schedule II controlled substances to DEA on a monthly basis; (9) allow DEA personnel to enter her registered location during normal business hours without prior notice or a warrant. RD, at 42–43.

[2] The Agency adopts the ALJ's summary of each of the witnesses' testimonies as well as the ALJ's assessment with respect to each of the witnesses' credibility. RD, at 4–23.

[3] The Agency agrees with the ALJ that Respondent's testimony was ''genuine and generally consistent,'' despite Respondent having a significant personal interest in the outcome of these proceedings. RD, at 23. The ALJ found that ''to the extent that [Respondent's testimony] differs from the testimony of other testifying witnesses, [he would] consider her personal interest in this case, and [he would] give her testimony the weight that it deserves in light of other evidence and testimony presented during the hearing.'' *Id.* The Agency agrees with the amount of weight that the ALJ afforded Respondent's testimony.

[4] Zolpidem is a Schedule IV controlled substance sold under the brand name Ambien. The generic name (zolpidem) is used in this decision.

[5] Diazepam is a Schedule IV controlled substance sold under the brand name Valium. The generic name (diazepam) is used in this decision.