

2. If, after reviewing the record, an individual believes that it is incorrect or incomplete in any respect and wishes to change, correct, or update the alleged deficiency, or to explain any matter in the record, the individual may initiate challenge procedures. These procedures include either direct application by the individual challenging the record to the agency (*i.e.*, law enforcement agency) that contributed the questioned information, or direct challenge as to the accuracy or completeness of any entry on the criminal history record to the Assistant Director, Federal Bureau of Investigation Identification Division, Washington, DC 20537–9700 (as set forth in 28 CFR 16.30 through 16.34). In the latter case, the FBI forwards the challenge to the agency that submitted the data and requests that agency to verify or correct the challenged entry. Upon receipt of an official communication directly from the agency that contributed the original information, the FBI Identification Division makes any changes necessary in accordance with the information supplied by that agency. The licensee must provide at least 10 days for an individual to initiate an action challenging the results of a FBI CHRC after the record is made available for his/her review. The licensee may make a final access determination based on the criminal history record only upon receipt of the FBI's ultimate confirmation or correction of the record. Upon a final adverse determination on access to an ISFSI, the licensee shall provide the individual its documented basis for denial. Access to an ISFSI shall not be granted to an individual during the review process.

#### G. Protection of Information

1. The licensee shall develop, implement, and maintain a system for personnel information management with appropriate procedures for the protection of personal, confidential information. This system shall be designed to prohibit unauthorized access to sensitive information and to prohibit modification of the information without authorization.

2. Each licensee who obtains a criminal history record on an individual pursuant to this Order shall establish and maintain a system of files and procedures, for protecting the record and the personal information from unauthorized disclosure.

3. The licensee may not disclose the record or personal information collected and maintained to persons other than the subject individual, his/her representative, or to those who have a need to access the information in

performing assigned duties in the process of determining suitability for unescorted access to the protected area of an ISFSI. No individual authorized to have access to the information may re-disseminate the information to any other individual who does not have the appropriate need to know.

4. The personal information obtained on an individual from a CHRC may be transferred to another licensee if the gaining licensee receives the individual's written request to re-disseminate the information contained in his/her file, and the gaining licensee verifies information such as the individual's name, date of birth, social security number, sex, and other applicable physical characteristics for identification purposes.

5. The licensee shall make criminal history records, obtained under this section, available for examination by an authorized representative of the NRC to determine compliance with the regulations and laws.

[FR Doc. 2015–00379 Filed 1–12–15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590–01–P**

### NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

**[Docket Nos. STN 50–456, STN 50–457, STN 50–454 and STN 50–455; NRC–2012–0203]**

#### Issuance; Exelon Generation Company, LLC

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Director's decision under 10 CFR 2.206; issuance.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has issued a director's decision with regard to a petition dated April 29, 2012, filed by Mr. Barry Quigley (the petitioner), requesting that the NRC take action with regard to Braidwood Station, Units 1 and 2, and Byron Station, Units 1 and 2. The petitioner's requests and the director's decision are included in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document.

**ADDRESSES:** Please refer to Docket ID NRC–2012–0203 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may obtain publicly-available information related to this document using any of the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking Web site:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC–2012–0203. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher; telephone: 301–287–3422; email: [Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov](mailto:Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov).

- *NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):* You may obtain publicly available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select "ADAMS Public Documents" and then select "Begin Web-based ADAMS Search." For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737, or by email to [pdr.resource@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr.resource@nrc.gov). The ADAMS accession number for each document referenced in this document (if that document is available in ADAMS) is provided the first time that a document is referenced.

- *NRC's PDR:* You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC's PDR, Room O1–F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Notice is hereby given that the Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, has issued a director's decision (ADAMS Accession No. ML14239A313), on a petition filed by the petitioner on April 20, 2012 (ADAMS Accession No. ML12130A318).

The petitioner requested that the NRC immediately shutdown Braidwood Station, Units 1 and 2, and Byron Station, Units 1 and 2, until all turbine building (TB) high-energy line break (HELB) concerns were identified and those important to safety were corrected.

As the basis of the request, the petitioner asserted:

- An adequate supply of combustion air for the emergency diesel generators (EDGs) is threatened because the combustion air can be diluted with steam. Although the combustion air is drawn from an air shaft (not the TB), it is also the same air shaft that supplies ventilation for the EDG room. Under certain conditions, the ventilation damper alignment is such that steam that enters the EDG room from the ventilation exhaust can back flow into the inlet air shaft. From there it can be drawn into the engine, potentially starving the engine of air.

- The effects of high temperature in the engineered safeguards features (ESF) switchgear (SWGR) rooms on the protective relaying setpoints have not been evaluated. The concern is that high temperatures could alter the setpoints such that protective actions occur under normal loading conditions.

- The current method of analysis for TB HELB uses a "lumped volume" approach wherein the mass and energy (M&E) of the ruptured line mixes instantly with the entire volume before flowing into the areas of concern. Because this substantially reduces the energy flow, it does not always give conservative results. For example, the petitioner's preliminary assessment using the

subdivided volume feature in GOTHIC showed that the structural limits on the block wall between the ESF SWGR rooms would be substantially exceeded.

- There has been no structured and detailed review of the licensing requirements for HELB.

On May 14, 2012, the petitioner and the licensee met with the NRC's Petition Review Board. The meeting provided the petitioner and the licensee an opportunity to provide additional information and to clarify issues cited in the petition. On November 15, 2012, the petitioner and the licensee again met with the NRC's Petition Review Board at the request of the Petition Review Board. The transcripts of these meetings were treated as supplements to the petition and are available in the ADAMS (Accession Nos. ML 12145A633 and ML 12347A354, respectively).

The NRC sent a copy of the proposed director's decision to the petitioner and the licensee for comment on June 18, 2014. The petitioner and the licensee were asked to provide comments within 30 days on any part of the proposed director's decision that was considered to be erroneous or any issues in the petition that were not addressed. The staff did not receive any comments on the proposed director's decision.

The Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation has determined that the request, to require immediate shutdown of Braidwood Station, Units 1 and 2, and Byron Station, Units 1 and 2, until all turbine building (TB) high-energy line break (HELB) concerns were identified and those important to safety were corrected be denied. The Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation has determined to partially grant the petition in that the licensing basis requirements for high energy line break were reviewed during the review of the application for the Braidwood/Byron measurement uncertainty recapture uprate, which was completed in the February 7, 2014 (ADAMS accession No. ML13281A000). The reasons for this decision are explained in the director's decision NRC-2012-0203 pursuant to Section 2.206 of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) of the Commission's regulations.

The NRC will file a copy of the director's decision with the Secretary of the Commission for the Commission's

review in accordance with 10 CFR 2.206. As provided by this regulation, the director's decision will constitute the final action of the Commission 25 days after the date of the decision unless the Commission, on its own motion, institutes a review of the director's decision in that time.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 22nd day of December, 2014.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**William Dean,**

*Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 2015-00369 Filed 1-12-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## POSTAL SERVICE

### Temporary Emergency Committee of the Board of Governors: Sunshine Act Meeting

**DATES AND TIMES:** On January 6, 2015, members of the Temporary Emergency Committee of the Board of Governors of the United States Postal Service met in Washington, DC, via teleconference, and voted unanimously to move their previously announced January 7, 2015, meeting to January 6, 2015. In addition, the members voted to close the meeting to public observation and to revise the items to be considered. The Committee determined that no earlier public notice was possible.

#### MATTERS CONSIDERED:

1. Strategic Issues.
2. Pricing.
3. Financial Matters.
4. Governors' Executive Session.

**GENERAL COUNSEL CERTIFICATION:** The General Counsel of the United States Postal Service certified that the meeting might be closed under the Government in the Sunshine Act.

#### CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Requests for information about the meeting should be addressed to the Secretary of the Board, Julie S. Moore, at 202-268-4800.

**Julie S. Moore,**

*Secretary, Board of Governors.*

[FR Doc. 2015-00506 Filed 1-9-15; 4:15 pm]

**BILLING CODE P**

## RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

### Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the requirement of section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 which provides opportunity for public comment on new or revised data collections, the Railroad Retirement Board (RRB) will publish periodic summaries of proposed data collections.

*Comments are invited on:* (a) Whether the proposed information collection is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information has practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the RRB's estimate of the burden of the collection of the information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden related to the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

*Title and purpose of information collection:* Evidence for Application of Overall Minimum: OMB 3220-0083.

Under section 3(f)(3) of the Railroad Retirement Act (RRA), the total monthly benefits payable to a railroad employee and his/her family are guaranteed to be no less than the amount which would be payable if the employee's railroad service had been covered by the Social Security Act. This is referred to as the Social Security Overall Minimum Guarantee, which is prescribed in 20 CFR 229. To administer this provision, the Railroad Retirement Board (RRB) requires information about a retired employee's spouse and child(ren) who would not be eligible for benefits under the RRA but would be eligible for benefits under the Social Security Act if the employee's railroad service had been covered by that Act. The RRB obtains the required information by the use of Forms G-319, Statement Regarding Family and Earnings for Special Guaranty Computation, and G-320, Student Questionnaire for Special Guaranty Computation. One response is required of each respondent. Completion is required to obtain or retain benefits. The RRB proposes non-burden impacting editorial changes to Forms G-319 and G-320.

## ESTIMATE OF ANNUAL RESPONDENT BURDEN

Form number	Annual responses	Time (minutes)	Burden (hours)
G-319 (completed by the employee) With assistance .....	5	26	2