

Program grantee will only be required to complete the sections of the form that pertain to its own specific activities.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* The total annual hour burden to complete the data collection forms is 170 hours, that is 85 grantees completing a form twice a year with an estimated completion time for the form being one hour.

If additional information is required contact: Lynn Bryant, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Suite 1600, Patrick Henry Building, 601 D Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: November 6, 2007.

Lynn Bryant,

Department Clearance Officer, PRA, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. E7-22078 Filed 11-9-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-FX-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Submission for OMB Review: Comment Request

October 26, 2007.

The Department of Labor (DOL) hereby announces the submission the following public information collection request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35). A copy of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation; including among other things a description of the likely respondents, proposed frequency of response, and estimated total burden may be obtained from the RegInfo.gov Web site at <http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain> or by contacting Darrin King on 202-693-4129 (this is not a toll-free number)/e-mail: king.darrin@dol.gov.

Interested parties are encouraged to send comments to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: Katherine Astrich, OMB Desk Officer for the Employment and Training Administration (ETA), Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, Telephone: 202-395-7316 / Fax: 202-395-6974 (these are not a toll-free numbers), E-mail:

OIRA_submission@omb.eop.gov within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**. In order to ensure the appropriate consideration,

comments should reference the OMB Control Number (see below).

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Agency: Employment and Training Administration.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.*

Title: Benefits Timeliness and Quality Review System.

OMB Control Number: 1205-0359.

Form Numbers: ETA-9050; ETA; 9051; ETA-9052; ETA-9054; ETA-9055; ETA-9056; and ETA-9057 (*the previously used Form ETA-9053 is being eliminated).

Affected Public: State Governments.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 53.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 37,532.

Estimated Total Annual Costs Burden: \$0.

Description: The information collected under the Benefits Timeliness and Quality (BTQ) Review System and associated forms (see above) is one of the primary means used by the Department to assess state Unemployment Insurance (UI) program performance levels and to ensure that the Secretary's oversight responsibilities for determining the proper and efficient administration of the UI program are carried out pursuant to the Social Security Act Title III, section 303(a)(1). State Workforce Agencies also use the BTQ performance measures for their internal UI program assessment.

Darrin A. King,

Acting Departmental Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. E7-22080 Filed 11-9-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FW-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

[TA-W-61,897]

Employment and Training Administration

Management Business Solutions, LLC, Applications Support Department, Fort Collins, Colorado; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated October 17, 2007, workers requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of Management Business Solutions, LLC, Applications Support Department, Fort Collins, Colorado (subject firm) to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA). The determination was issued on September 6, 2007. The Notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on September 21, 2007 (72 FR 54076).

The worker-filed TAA/ATAA petition was denied because the subject firm does not produce an article within the meaning of section 222(a)(2) of the Act. The determination stated that, because the workers did not produce an article, and did not support a firm or appropriate subdivision that produced an article domestically, the workers cannot be considered import impacted or affected by a shift of production abroad. Workers are engaged in support of internal business applications for the subject firm's clients.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c), administrative reconsideration may be granted if:

(1) It appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The request for reconsideration alleges that (1) the subject firm shifted production of an article ("application management service") overseas and (2) consulting firms, such as the subject firm, are covered by the Trade Act because it "does not differentiate between types of businesses that it covers."

It is the Department's policy that the subject firm must produce an article domestically. The Department's policy