filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

The Commission has determined that these reviews are extraordinarily complicated and therefore has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C.1675(c)(5)(B).

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission. Issued: October 18, 2022.

Jessica Mullan,

Attorney Advisor.

[FR Doc. 2022-22953 Filed 10-20-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731-TA-540-541 (Fifth Review)]

Certain Welded Stainless Steel Pipe From South Korea and Taiwan; Scheduling of Expedited Five-Year Reviews

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of expedited reviews pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty orders on certain welded stainless steel pipe from South Korea and Taiwan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time.

DATES: August 5, 2022.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Charles Cummings (202-708-1666), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (https:// www.usitc.gov). The public record for these reviews may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background—On August 5, 2022, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (87 FR 25668, May 2, 2022) of the subject five-year reviews was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting full reviews. Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct expedited reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)).

For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

Staff report—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the reviews has been placed in the nonpublic record, and will be made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for these reviews on November 3, 2022. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to § 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission's rules.

Written submissions.—As provided in § 207.62(d) of the Commission's rules, interested parties that are parties to the reviews and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,2 and any party other than an interested party to the reviews may file written comments with the Secretary on what determination the Commission should reach in the reviews. Comments are due on or before November 10, 2022 and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year reviews nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to these reviews by November 10, 2022. However, should the Department of Commerce ("Commerce") extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its reviews, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce's final

results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce's results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of §§ 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's *Handbook on Filing Procedures*, available on the Commission's website at https://www.usitc.gov/documents/handbook_on_filing_procedures.pdf, elaborates upon the Commission's procedures with respect to filings.

In accordance with §§ 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the reviews must be served on all other parties to the reviews (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Determination—The Commission has determined these reviews are extraordinarily complicated and therefore has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B).

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to § 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission. Issued: October 18, 2022.

Jessica Mullan,

Attorney Advisor.

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-1337]

Institution of Investigation; Certain Hazelnuts and Products Containing the Same

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission

ACTION: Notice

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on September 15, 2022, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, on behalf of Pratum Farm, LLC of Salem, Oregon. Letters supplementing the complaint were filed on September 15, October 3, and October 4, 2022. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States and sale of certain hazelnuts and products containing the same by reason of false

¹A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's website.

² The Commission has found the response filed on behalf of Bristol Metals, LLC; Felker Brothers Corporation; and Primus Pipe & Tube, Inc., domestic producers, to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (see 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).

is to destroy or substantially injure an industry in the United States or to prevent the establishment of such an industry. The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders. **ADDRESSES:** The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access

advertising, the threat or effect of which

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pathenia M. Proctor, The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S.

to the Commission should contact the

2000. General information concerning

the Commission may also be obtained

Office of the Secretary at (202) 205-

by accessing its internet server at

https://www.usitc.gov.

International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–2560. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2022).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on October 17, 2022, ordered that—

- (1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(A) of section 337 in the importation into the United States or in the sale of certain products identified in paragraph (2) by reason of false advertising, the threat or effect of which is to destroy or substantially injure an industry in the United States or to prevent the establishment of such an industry;
- (2) Pursuant to section 210.10(b)(1) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10(b)(1), the plain language description of the accused products or category of accused products, which defines the scope of the investigation, is "certain hazelnuts advertised as 'organic' or 'certified organic' including kernels, paste and flour, and hazelnut spread";

- (3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:
- (a) The complainant is: Pratum Farm, LLC, 120 95th Ave. NE, Salem, OR 97317
- (b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served: Arslanturk Tarim Urunleri San Ihr Ve
- Ihr A.S., Ozgen Mahallesi Bayburt Cad. No. 194, 61700 Arakli-Trabzon Turkey
- Balsu Gida San Ve Tic. A.S., Pasabahce Mahallesi, Cemal Bey, Sokak, No:11/ 1, 34800 Beykoz Istanbul Turkey Balsu USA, 3250 NE 1st Avenue, Ste.

305, Miami, FL 33137

Farmeks Tarim Urunleri San Ve Tic. A.S., Aegean Free Zone Mumtaz Sok. No:16, PK.35410 Gaziemir/Izmir Turkey

Nimeks Organik Tarim Urun San Ve Tic Ltd STI, A.O.S.B. 10001 Sk. No: 25, 35620 Cigli/Izmir Turkey

Natural Food Source Inc., 1139 Lehigh Ave., Suite 300, Whitehall, PA 18052 Progida Tarim Urunleri San Ve Tic. A.S., Iz Giz Plaza, Eski Buyukdere Cad. No: 9, Floor: 1, Flat 4, 34398 Maslak/Sariyer/Istanbul Turkey Ofi d/b/a Olam Edible Nuts, 205 East River Park Circle, Suite 310, Fresno, CA 93720

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), as amended in 85 FR 15798 (March 19, 2020), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the complainant of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission. Issued: October 18, 2022.

Jessica Mullan,

Attorney Advisor.

[FR Doc. 2022–22955 Filed 10–20–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-475 and 731-TA-1177 (Second Review)]

Aluminum Extrusions From China

Determinations

On the basis of the record ¹ developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission ("Commission") determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act"), that revocation of the antidumping and countervailing duty orders on aluminum extrusions from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on March 1, 2022 (87 FR 11470) and determined on June 6, 2022 that it would conduct expedited reviews (87 FR 57518, September 20, 2022).

The Commission made these determinations pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It completed and filed its determinations in these reviews on October 17, 2022. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 5375 (October 2022), entitled Aluminum Extrusions from China: Investigation Nos. 701–TA–475 and 731–TA–1177 (Second Review).

By order of the Commission.

¹The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).