

eligibility requirements of Section 250 of the Trade Act must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, (including workers in any agricultural firm or appropriate subdivision thereof) have become totally or partially separated from employment and either—

(2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely,

(3) That imports from Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have increased, and that the increased imports contributed importantly to such workers' separations or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision; or

(4) That there has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by the firm or subdivision.

#### Negative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criteria (3) and (4) were not met. Imports from Canada or Mexico did not contribute importantly to workers' separations. There was no shift in production from the subject firm to Canada or Mexico during the relevant period.

NAFTA-TAA-05332; *Mercury Marine, Brunswick Corp., Fond du Lac, WI*  
 NAFTA-TAA-05501; *Huhtamaki, Food Services Div., Formerly Known as Packaging Resources, Mt. Carmel, PA*  
 NAFTA-TAA-05481; *Texfi Industries, Jefferson, GA*  
 NAFTA-TAA-05405; *W.G. Benjey, Inc., Alpena, MI*  
 NAFTA-TAA-05471; *Syst-A-Matic Tool and Design, Inc., Meadville, PA*  
 NAFTA-TAA-05514; *Pennsylvania Tool and Gages, Inc., Meadville, PA*  
 NAFTA-TAA-05605; *Hershey Foods Corp., Pennsburg, PA*  
 NAFTA-TAA-05291; *Kraft Foods North America, Inc., Lehigh Valley, PA*  
 NAFTA-TAA-05060; *ABC-NACO, Inc., Superior, WI*  
 NAFTA-TAA-05037; *Precision Mold, Inc., Kent, WA*  
 NAFTA-TAA-05218; *Chipman Union, Inc., Union Point, GA*  
 NAFTA-TAA-05327; *Parker Hannifin Corp., Brass Department, Otsego, MI*  
 NAFTA-TAA-04569; *Blount, Inc., Prentice, WI*  
 NAFTA-TAA-05298; *Craftsman Fabrics, Phoenix Mills, Concord, NC*  
 NAFTA-TAA-04914; *Boss Industries, Inc., Erie, PA*

NAFTA-TAA-05453; *Fibermark, Inc., Rochester, MI*

The workers firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 250(a), Subchapter D, Chapter 2, Title II, the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

NAFTA-TAA-05512; *Sunbrand, A Div. Of Wilcox and Gibbs, Inc., Norcross, GA*

#### Affirmative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

NAFTA-TAA-05136; *Federal Mogul Corp., Powertrain Systems, St. Johns, MI: July 26, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-05473; *Madill Corp., Kalama, WA: October 25, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-05419; *Thermatex Corp., Newton Falls, OH: August 28, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-05028; *Parker Hannifin Corp., Engineered Seals Div., Goshen, IN: June 29, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-05497; *Cardinal Brands, Inc., Hazel Promotional Products, Washington, MO: October 23, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-05538; *Leased Workers of Employment Group at St. Clair Technologies, Charlotte, MI: November 2, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-05569; *NACCO Materials Handling Group, Inc., Americas Div., Greenville, NC: November 15, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-04932; *Kentucky Electric Steel, Ashland, KY: April 25, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-05425; *Solelectron Corp., Durham, NC: October 9, 2000.*

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the month of December, 2001. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in room C-5311, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: January 2, 2002.

**Edward A. Tomchick,**  
 Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02-719 Filed 1-11-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

#### DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

##### Employment and Training Administration

[Docket No. TA-W-40,096]

##### Crenlo, Inc. Rochester, Minnesota; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on September 24, 2001, in response to a petition filed on behalf of

workers at CRENLO, Inc., Rochester, Minnesota.

Further examination of the Trade Adjustment Assistance petition form shows that the filing does not meet the Trade Act requirements for a valid petition. The petition is invalid because it contains the signature of only one worker, not the required three. Consequently, further investigation would serve no purpose and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC this 3rd day of January, 2002.

**Linda G. Poole,**  
 Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02-718 Filed 1-10-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

#### DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

##### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-40,452]

##### N & H Corporation, Mohnton, Pennsylvania; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on December 17, 2001, in response to a worker petition which was filed on behalf of workers at N & H Corporation, Mohnton, Pennsylvania.

A petition for this worker group is currently under investigation (TA-W-40,394). Consequently, further investigation would serve no purpose and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 27th day of December, 2001.

**Linda G. Poole,**  
 Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02-715 Filed 1-10-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

#### DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

##### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-40, 202]

##### Renaissance Woodworking, Inc. Brooklyn, New York; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on October 15, 2001, in response to a petition that was filed on behalf of workers at Renaissance Woodworking, Inc., Brooklyn, New York.