

10. Exchange gains and losses
11. Non-operating income and expenses
12. Scrap revenue
13. Short-term interest income

[FR Doc. 00-13580 Filed 5-30-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-854]

#### Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Cold-Rolled Flat-Rolled Carbon Quality Steel Products From The People's Republic of China

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of final determination of sales at less than fair value.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 31, 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Carrie Blozy, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue N.W., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0165.

#### The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations at 19 CFR part 351 (April 1999).

#### Final Determination

We determine that certain cold-rolled flat-rolled carbon quality steel products ("cold-rolled steel") from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") is being, or is likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value ("LTFV"), as provided in section 735 of the Act. The estimated margin of sales are shown in the "Continuation of Suspension of Liquidation" section of this notice.

#### Case History

We published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary determination in this investigation on January 7, 2000. See *Notice of Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Postponement of Final Determination: Cold-Rolled Flat-Rolled Carbon-Quality Steel Products from the People's Republic of China*, 65 FR 1117 (January

7, 2000) ("Preliminary Determination"). Since the publication of the *Preliminary Determination*, the following events have occurred.

The Department issued supplemental questionnaires to Shanghai Baosteel Group Corporation ("Baosteel") on February 14 and 29, 2000. Baosteel filed submissions on January 7, January 18, February 28, and March 14, 2000. The Department began its verification of Baosteel's sales and factor of production questionnaire responses on March 13, 2000. On March 16, 2000, Mr. Chen Delin, Vice-Director of the Legal Department of Baosteel, advised the verifiers that Baosteel was terminating the verification as a result of competing demands on Baosteel's time. Additionally, counsel for Baosteel requested that the verifiers return all documentation that had been provided by Baosteel in support of the Department's review of certain areas of Baosteel's response. Therefore, the verification team immediately terminated the verification and returned all documents collected during the course of verification to Baosteel's counsel. See *Memorandum For Edward Yang: "Verification of Sales and Factors of Production for Shanghai Baosteel Group Corporation (Baosteel) in the Antidumping Duty Investigation of Certain Cold-Rolled Flat-Rolled Carbon Quality Steel Products from the People's Republic of China,"* (March 22, 2000). This memorandum and all other Departmental memoranda referred to herein, are on file in the Central Records Unit, room B-099 of the main Commerce building.

On March 29, 2000, petitioners (Bethlehem Steel Corporation, Ispat Inland Inc., LTV Steel Company, Inc., National Steel Corporation, and U.S. Steel Group, a unit of USX Corporation, (collectively "petitioners") submitted their case brief with respect to Baosteel. Baosteel did not submit any comments.

#### Period of Investigation

The period of investigation is October 1, 1998, through March 31, 1999.

#### Analysis of Comment Received

All issues raised in the case brief by parties to this investigation are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" ("Decision Memorandum") from Joseph A. Spetrini, Deputy Assistant Secretary, Import Administration, to Troy H. Cribb, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated May 22, 2000, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded, all of which are in the

*Decision Memorandum*, is attached to this notice as an Appendix. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this investigation and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in B-099. In addition, a complete version of the *Decision Memorandum* can be accessed directly on the World Wide Web at [www.ita.doc.gov/import\\_admin/records/frn/](http://www.ita.doc.gov/import_admin/records/frn/). The paper copy and electronic version of the *Decision Memorandum* are identical in content.

#### Scope of Investigation

For a description of the scope of this investigation, see the "Scope of Investigation" section of the *Decision Memorandum*, which is on file in B-099 and available on the Web at [www.ita.doc.gov/import\\_admin/records/frn/](http://www.ita.doc.gov/import_admin/records/frn/).

#### Use of Facts Available

For a discussion of our application of facts available, see the "Facts Available" section of the *Decision Memorandum*, which is on file in B-099 and available on the Web at [www.ita.doc.gov/import\\_admin/records/frn/](http://www.ita.doc.gov/import_admin/records/frn/).

#### Continuation of Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with section 735(c)(1)(B) of the Act, we are directing the Customs Service to continue to suspend liquidation of all entries of subject merchandise from the PRC, that are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after January 7, 2000 (the date of publication of the *Preliminary Determination* in the **Federal Register**). The Customs Service shall continue to require a cash deposit or posting of a bond equal to the estimated amount by which the normal value exceeds the U.S. price as shown below. These suspension of liquidation instructions will remain in effect until further notice.

The weighted-average dumping margin is as follows:

| Manufacturer/exporter | Weighted-average margin (percent) |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| PRC-Wide rate .....   | 23.72                             |

#### ITC Notification

In accordance with section 735(d) of the Act, we have notified the International Trade Commission ("ITC") of our determination. As our final determination is affirmative, the ITC will, within 45 days, determine whether these imports are materially injuring, or

threaten material injury to, the U.S. industry. If the ITC determines that material injury, or threat of material injury does not exist, the proceeding will be terminated and all securities posted will be refunded or canceled. If the ITC determines that such injury does exist, the Department will issue an antidumping duty order directing Customs officials to assess antidumping duties on all imports of the subject merchandise entered for consumption on or after the effective date of the suspension of liquidation.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 735(d) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 22, 2000.

**Troy H. Cribb,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

#### **Appendix I—Issues in Decision Memo Comments and Responses**

1. Adverse Facts Available.

[FR Doc. 00–13581 Filed 5–30–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

### **International Trade Administration**

[A–484–801] [A–588–806]

#### **Revocation of Antidumping Duty Orders: Electrolytic Manganese Dioxide From Greece and Japan**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of revocation of Antidumping Duty Orders: Electrolytic manganese dioxide from Greece and Japan.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), the United States International Trade Commission (“the Commission”) determined that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on electrolytic manganese dioxide from Greece and Japan are not likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time (65 FR 31348 (May 17, 2000)). Therefore, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(1), the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) is revoking the antidumping duty orders on electrolytic manganese dioxide from Greece and Japan. Pursuant to section 751(c)(6)(A)(iv) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(2)(ii), the effective date of revocation is January 1, 2000.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** January 1, 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Eun W. Cho or Carole Showers, Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1698 or (202) 482–3217, respectively.

#### **Background**

On May 3, 1999, the Department initiated, and the Commission instituted, sunset reviews (64 FR 23596 and 64 FR 23675, respectively) of the antidumping duty orders on electrolytic manganese dioxide from Greece and Japan, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. As a result of the reviews, the Department found that revocation of the antidumping duty orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and notified the Commission of the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail were the antidumping orders revoked.<sup>1</sup>

On May 17, 2000, the Commission determined, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on electrolytic manganese dioxide from Greece and Japan would not likely lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. (See *Electrolytic Manganese Dioxide from Greece and Japan*, 65 FR 31348 (May 17, 2000) and USITC Publication 3296, Investigations Nos. 731–TA–406 and 408 (Review) (May 2000).)

#### **Scope**

Imports covered by these orders are electrolytic manganese dioxide (“EMD”) from Greece and Japan. EMD is manganese dioxide (MnO sub2) that has been refined in an electrolysis process. The subject merchandise is an intermediate product used in the production of dry-cell batteries. EMD is sold in three physical forms, powder, chip, or plate, and two grades, alkaline and zinc chloride. EMD in all three forms and both grades is included in the scope of the order.<sup>2</sup> This merchandise is

<sup>1</sup> See Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review: *Electrolytic Manganese Dioxide From Greece*, 64 FR 67861 (December 3, 1999); and Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review: *Electrolytic Manganese Dioxide From Japan*, 64 FR 67858 (December 3, 1999).

<sup>2</sup> There has been one scope clarification with regard to EMD from Japan. On January 6, 1992, the Department ruled that high-grade chemical manganese dioxide (CMD–U) is within the scope of the order. See *Electrolytic Manganese Dioxide from Japan*; Final Scope Ruling, 57 FR 395 (January 6, 1992).

currently classifiable under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (“HTS”) item number 2820.10.0000. The HTS item number is provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

#### **Determination**

As a result of the determination by the Commission that revocation of these antidumping duty orders is not likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States, the Department, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(1), is revoking the antidumping duty orders on electrolytic manganese dioxide from Greece and Japan. Pursuant to section 751(c)(6)(A)(iv) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(2)(ii), this revocation is effective on January 1, 2000.

The Department will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to discontinue the suspension of liquidation and collection of cash deposits rate and to refund with interest any cash deposits on entries of the subject merchandise entered or withdrawn from warehouse on or after January 1, 2000 (the effective date). The Department will complete any pending administrative reviews of these orders and will conduct administrative reviews of subject merchandise entered prior to the effective date of revocation in response to appropriately filed requests for review.

Dated: May 24, 2000.

**Troy H. Cribb,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 00–13583 Filed 5–30–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

### **International Trade Administration**

[A–580–807]

#### **Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip From the Republic of Korea, Final Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of final results of changed circumstances antidumping duty administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** On March 7, 2000, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the notice of initiation and preliminary results of its changed circumstances administrative review concerning whether Toray