Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

Linda Mitry,

Deputy Secretary.
[FR Doc. E5–1299 Filed 3–24–05; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER04-230-009, et al.]

New York Independent System Operator, Inc., et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Filings

March 18, 2005.

The following filings have been made with the Commission. The filings are listed in ascending order within each docket classification.

1. New York Independent System Operator, Inc.

[Docket No. ER04-230-009]

Take notice that on March 9, 2005, the New York Independent Operator, Inc. (NYISO) submitted a request for waiver of tariff provisions as needed to enable the NYISO to correct errors in price determinations resulting from certain problems encountered in the implementation of the NYISO's Standard Market Design version 2 software. The NYISO has requested expedited action.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on March 28, 2005.

2. PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.

[Docket No. ER05-626-001]

Take notice that on March 4, 2005, PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. (PJM) submitted a clarification to its February 18, 2005, filing submitting revised tariff sheets in compliance with the Order No. 2003−B issued December 20, 2004, Standardization of Generator Interconnection Agreements and Procedures, 109 FERC ¶61,287 (2004). PJM states that the revised tariff sheets should have an effective date of January 19, 2005, not February 18, 2005 as previously stated in the notice issued February 24, 2005 in Docket No. ER05−626−000.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on March 25, 2005.

3. Premcor Power Marketing LLC

[Docket No. ER05-680-000]

Take notice that on March 4, 2005, Premcor Power Marketing LLC (Premcor Power) submitted for filing a petition for acceptance of its initial rate schedule and the grant of certain blanket approvals, including the authority to sell electricity at market-based rates, and the grant of waiver of certain Commission regulations. Premcor Power states that it intends to engage in wholesale electric power and energy purchases and sales as a marketer. Premcor Power further states it is not engaged in the business of generating or transmitting electric power.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on March 25, 2005.

Standard Paragraph

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a notice of intervention or motion to intervene, as appropriate. Such notices, motions, or protests must be filed on or before the comment date. Anyone filing a motion to intervene or protest must serve a copy of that document on the Applicant and all parties to this proceeding.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper using the "eFiling" link at http://www.ferc.gov. Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 14 copies of the protest or intervention to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

This filing is accessible on-line at http://www.ferc.gov, using the "eLibrary" link and is available for review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an "eSubscription" link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive e-mail notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please e-mail FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov, or call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

Linda Mitry,

Deputy Secretary.
[FR Doc. E5–1302 Filed 3–24–05; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6661-8]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act, as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in the **Federal Register** dated April 2, 2004 (69 FR 17403).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D–AFS–F65048–WI Rating EC2, Lakewood/Laona Plantation Thinning Project, To Implement Vegetation Management Activities, Chequamegon-Nicolet National Forest, Lakewood Ranger District, Forest, Langlade, and Oconto Counties, WI.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the proposed project's cumulative and indirect impacts.

ERP No. D—COE—B09801—MA Rating 3, Cape Wind Energy Project, Construct and Operate 130 Wind Turbine Generators on Horseshoe Shoal in Nantucket Sound, MA.

Summary: EPA commented that the information and analysis provided in the Draft EIS was inadequate, noting that the DEIS does not provide enough information to fully characterize baseline environmental conditions, the environmental impacts of the project, and alternatives that avoid or minimize those impacts.

ERP No. D–COE–G32057–TX Rating LO, Cedar Bayou Navigation Channel (CBNC) Improvements Project, Implementation, Near Baytown in Harris and Chambers Counties, TX.

Summary: EPA had no objections to the proposed alternative.

ERP No. D-SFW-K99034-CA Rating EC2, Coachella Valley Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP), Santa Rosa and San Jacinto Mountains Trails Plan, Issuance of Incidental Take Permits, Riverside County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about water and air quality impacts, and requested additional information regarding consultation with tribal governments, environmental justice issues, enforcement of the Plan, and impacts to cultural resources and migratory birds.